

AN EXTENSION OF THE METHOD OF CHARACTERISTIC
TO A SYSTEM OF PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS
– AN APPLICATION
TO THE WEYL EQUATION WITH EXTERNAL FIELD
BY “SUPER HAMILTONIAN PATH-INTEGRAL METHOD”

ATSUSHI INOUE

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Masaya Yamaguti

ABSTRACT. A system of PDOs(=Partial Differential Operators) has **two non-commutativities**, (i) one from $[\partial_q, q] = 1$ (Heisenberg relation), (ii) the other from $[A, B] \neq 0$ for A, B being matrices in general. Non-commutativity from Heisenberg relation is nicely controlled by using Fourier transformations (i.e. the theory of Ψ DOs=pseudo-differential operators).

Here, we give a **new method of treating non-commutativity** $[A, B] \neq 0$, and explain by taking the Weyl equation with external electro-magnetic potentials as **the simplest representative for a system of PDOs**. More precisely, we construct a Fourier Integral Operator with “matrix-like phase and amplitude” which gives a parametrix for that Weyl equation. To do this, we first reduce the usual matrix valued Weyl equation on the Euclidian space to the one on the superspace, called the super Weyl equation. Using analysis on superspace, we may associate a function, called the super Hamiltonian function, corresponding to that super Weyl equation. Starting from this super Hamiltonian function, we define phase and amplitude functions which are solutions of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation and the continuity equation on the superspace, respectively. Then, we define a Fourier integral operator with these phase and amplitude functions which gives a good parametrix for the initial value problem of that super Weyl equation. After taking the Lie-Trotter-Kato limit with respect to the time slicing, we get the desired evolutional operator of the super Weyl equation. Bringing back this result to the matrix formulation, we have the final result. Therefore, we get a quantum mechanics with spin from a classical mechanics on the superspace which answers partly the problem of Feynman.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and Result	2
1.1. The method of characteristics	2
1.2. Weyl equation	2
1.3. Important Remark	3
1.4. Superspace setting and Result	3
2. Outline of Proof	6
2.1. Interpretation of the method of characteristics	6
2.2. Our procedure	7
3. Classical Mechanics: Proofs of Theorems 2.1–2.5.	12
3.1. Hamiltonian flows	12
3.2. Action integral.	27
3.3. Continuity equation.	30
4. Quantum part: Composition formulas of FIOs and Ψ DOs	33
4.1. Super differential operators associated with $\mathcal{H}(X, \Xi)$	33

Date: August 15, 1999, revised February 7, 2008.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35F10, 35L45, 36Q40, 70H99, 81S40.

Key words and phrases. quantization, spin, odd and even variables, superanalysis, matrix-like phase, Feynman’s problem.

This work is partially supported by Monbusho Grand-in-aid No.08304010.

4.2. Fourier Integral Operators associated with $\mathcal{H}(t, X, \Xi)$	34
4.3. Composition of FIOs with Ψ DOs	35
5. Proofs of Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.7.	40
5.1. The infinitesimal generator	40
5.2. Evolutional property	41
5.3. Proof of Theorem 2.6	47
5.4. Proof of Theorem 2.7	47
6. Concluding remarks	47
References	47

1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULT

1.1. The method of characteristics. It is well-known that the following initial value problem on the region Ω in $\mathbb{R}^{1+m}$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(t, q) + \sum_{j=1}^m a_j(t, q) \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} u(t, q) = b(t, q)u(t, q) + f(t, q), \\ u(\underline{t}, q) = \underline{u}(q), \end{cases}$$

is solved by the method of characteristics. Denoting the solution of the characteristic equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}q_j(t) = a_j(t, q(t)), \\ q_j(\underline{t}) = \underline{q}_j \quad (j = 1, \dots, m), \end{cases}$$

by

$$q(t) = q(t, \underline{t}; \underline{q}) = (q_1(t), \dots, q_m(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^m,$$

we get

Proposition 1.1. *Let $a_j \in C^1(\Omega : \mathbb{R})$ and $b, f \in C(\Omega : \mathbb{R})$. For any point $(\underline{t}, \underline{q}) \in \Omega$, we assume that $\underline{u}$ is C^1 in a neighbourhood of $\underline{q}$.*

Then, in a neighbourhood of $(\underline{t}, \underline{q})$, there exists uniquely a solution $u(t, q)$. More precisely, putting

$$U(t, \underline{q}) = e^{\int_{\underline{t}}^t d\tau B(\tau, q)} \left\{ \int_{\underline{t}}^t ds e^{-\int_{\underline{t}}^s d\tau B(\tau, q)} F(s, \underline{q}) + \underline{u}(\underline{q}) \right\},$$

that solution is represented by

$$u(t, \bar{q}) = U(t, y(t, \underline{t}; \bar{q}))$$

where $B(t, \underline{q}) = b(t, q(t, \underline{t}; \underline{q}))$, $F(t, \underline{q}) = f(t, q(t, \underline{t}; \underline{q}))$ and $\underline{q} = y(t, \underline{t}; \bar{q})$ is a inverse function derived from $\bar{q} = q(t, \underline{t}; \underline{q})$.

Problem: Is it possible to extend the method of characteristics to the system of PDOs?

1.2. Weyl equation. We take the Weyl equation as the simplest representative of a system of PDOs and we give an answer of the following problem.

Problem: Find if possible, an explicit representation of $\psi(t, q) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(t, q) = \mathbb{H}(t) \psi(t, q), \\ \psi(\underline{t}, q) = \underline{\psi}(q), \end{cases} \quad (\text{W})$$

where, $\underline{t}$ is an arbitrarily fixed initial time and

$$\mathbb{H}(t) = \mathbb{H}\left(t, q, \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^3 c\sigma_k \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_k} - \frac{\varepsilon}{c} A_k(t, q) \right) + \varepsilon A_0(t, q). \quad (1.1)$$

In the above, the Pauli matrices $\{\sigma_j\}$ is represented by

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_0 = \mathbb{I}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Remark. Though the meaning of explicit representation is not so clear, but it's meaning will be clarified in the sequel.

Claim: We define a **good parametrix** for the initial value problem for the Weyl equation with a given external time-dependent electro-magnetic field $(A_0(t, q), A_1(t, q), A_2(t, q), A_3(t, q))$ by **Super Hamiltonian Path-Integral Method**. Here, essential is to introduce “the Hamiltonian mechanics corresponding to the Weyl equation”, and to define Fourier Integral Operators using quantities based on the Hamilton-Jacobi equation.

1.3. Important Remark. In general, if one wants to study the precise properties of the solution of PDO, one uses the properties of corresponding classical mechanics defined by the symbol of PDO to mention the fine structure of the solution of PDOs. But this theory of Ψ DOs isn't applicable directly to a system of PDOs. For example, one doesn't know **how one defines the Hamilton flow for a system of PDOs**. Therefore, one needs to apply the technique of Ψ DOs after diagonalizing the given system.

In this paper, we treat the aforementioned **two non-commutativities on equal footing** by introducing odd variables, therefore, we treat matrices as they are.

Our object of this paper is **not** to prove the well-posedness of (W) as a symmetric hyperbolic system. Rather, we treat a system of PDOs **as it is**, in other word, we never concern with the properties of characteristic roots of the given system, but we **define the Hamilton flow** for that system after reformulating it on the superspace. (As is well-known, we may apply the so-called energy methods to a symmetric hyperbolic system without regarding characteristic roots.)

1.4. Superspace setting and Result. By introducing odd variables to decompose the matrix structure, we first reduce the usual matrix valued Weyl equation (W) on the Euclidian space $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$ to the one on the superspace $\mathbb{R} \times \mathfrak{R}^{3|2}$, called **the super Weyl equation** (SW) on the superspace $\mathbb{R} \times \mathfrak{R}^{3|2}$:

$$\begin{cases} i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t, x, \theta) = \mathcal{H}\left(t, x, \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \theta, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\right) u(t, x, \theta), \\ u(\underline{t}, x, \theta) = \underline{u}(x, \theta). \end{cases} \quad (\text{SW})$$

Remark : The most important thing here is that every quantities appeared above (SW) are **like scalars though non-commutative!**

Claim: There exists the classical mechanics corresponding to the (super) Weyl equation and that a parametrix of it is constructed as a Fourier integral operator using phase and amplitude functions defined by that classical mechanics. (We call this a **good parametrix** because not only it gives a parametrix but also its dependence on the quantities from classical mechanics is explicit.)

Therefore, the (super) Weyl equation is regarded as to be obtained by **quantizing that classical mechanics after Feynman's procedure**. Because that (super) Weyl equation is “of first order” both in “even and odd variables”, we need to modify Feynman's argument from Lagrangian to Hamiltonian

formulated “path-integral” (compare arguments between Fujiwara [7] and Inoue [15] for the Schrödinger equation and see also Inoue and Maeda [19] for the heat equation with the scalar curvature term).

The precise meaning of the above claim is formulated as follows:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\{A_j(t, q)\}_{j=0}^3 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 : \mathbb{R})$ satisfy, for any $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,*

$$\|A_j\|_{k, \infty} = \sup_{t, q, |\gamma|=k} |(1 + |q|)^{|\gamma|-1} \partial_q^\gamma A_j(t, q)| < \infty \quad \text{for } j = 0, \dots, 3. \quad (1.2)$$

For $|t - \underline{t}|$ sufficiently small, we have a good parametrix for (SW) represented by

$$\mathcal{U}(t, \underline{t}) u(x, \theta) = (2\pi\hbar)^{-3/2} \hbar \int_{\mathfrak{R}^{3|2}} d\xi d\pi \mathcal{D}^{1/2}(t, \underline{t}; x, \theta, \xi, \pi) e^{i\hbar^{-1} \mathcal{S}(t, \underline{t}; x, \theta, \xi, \pi)} \mathcal{F} \underline{u}(\xi, \pi),$$

for $u(x, \theta) \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{SS, ev}}$ and is extended to $\mathcal{L}_{\text{SS, ev}}^2(\mathfrak{R}^{3|2})$. Here, $\mathcal{S}(t, \underline{t}; x, \theta, \xi, \pi)$ and $\mathcal{D}(t, \underline{t}; x, \theta, \xi, \pi)$ satisfy the Hamilton-Jacobi equation and the continuity equation, respectively:

$$\text{(H-J)} \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathcal{S} + \mathcal{H}\left(t, x, \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}}{\partial x}, \theta, \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}}{\partial \theta}\right) = 0, \\ \mathcal{S}(t, \underline{t}; x, \theta, \xi, \pi) = \langle x | \xi \rangle + \langle \theta | \pi \rangle, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{(C)} \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathcal{D} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\mathcal{D} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \xi} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\mathcal{D} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \pi} \right) = 0, \\ \mathcal{D}(t, \underline{t}; x, \theta, \xi, \pi) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Remark. The assumption (1.2) guarantees the suitable estimates for phase and amplitude functions.

Using the identification maps

$$\sharp : L^2(\mathbb{R}^3 : \mathbb{C}^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\text{SS, ev}}^2(\mathfrak{R}^{3|2}) \quad \text{and} \quad \flat : \mathcal{L}_{\text{SS, ev}}^2(\mathfrak{R}^{3|2}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^3 : \mathbb{C}^2),$$

we get

Corollary 1.3. *Let $\{A_j(t, q)\}_{j=0}^3 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 : \mathbb{R})$ satisfy (1.2). For $|t - \underline{t}|$ sufficiently small, we have a good parametrix for (W) represented by*

$$\mathbb{U}(t, \underline{t}) \underline{\psi}(q) = \flat(2\pi\hbar)^{-3/2} \hbar \int_{\mathfrak{R}^{3|2}} d\xi d\pi \mathcal{D}^{1/2}(t, \underline{t}; x, \theta, \xi, \pi) e^{i\hbar^{-1} \mathcal{S}(t, \underline{t}; x, \theta, \xi, \pi)} \mathcal{F}(\sharp \underline{\psi})(\xi, \pi) \Big|_{x_B=q}.$$

Remarks. (0) The result of this paper is announced in Inoue [18]. Though the notion of superanalysis on Fréchet-Grassmann algebra seems not so familiar even today, we have no space to present a part of elements of superanalysis in this paper. If the reader is strange to the notion such as even and odd variables, elementary and real analysis on super-smooth functions, please consult, [10, 13, 14, 18, 22]. We hope the procedure employed here will help to study the propagation of singularities of a system of PDOs and others, after developping theories of Ψ DOs and FIOs to those on superspaces.

(1) **The problem how to regard the spin system following the Feynman’s principle**, is posed in p.355 of the book of Feynman & Hibbs [6]. J.L. Martin [30, 31] gave an idea of how to make the correspondence from the Fermi oscillator to the classical objects on a non-commutative algebra. On the other hand, without knowing Martin’s results, Berezin & Marinov [2] tried to construct the classical mechanics which produces both boson and fermion equally by “quantization”.

We answer a part of **the problem of Feynman** using superanalysis, by taking the Weyl equation as the typical and the simplest example of the spin system.

Feynman proposed that the solution of Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2M^2} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial q_i^2} + V(t, q)u$$

is represented by

$$u(t, q) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} dq' F(t, q, q') u(0, q')$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} F(t, q, q') &= \int_{\mathcal{P}_{t,q,q'}} [d_F \gamma] \exp \left[i\hbar^{-1} \int_0^t ds L(s, \gamma(s), \dot{\gamma}(s)) \right], \\ L(t, q, \dot{q}) &= \frac{\dot{q}^2}{2M^2} + V(t, q), \\ \mathcal{P}_{t,q,q'} &= \{ \gamma \in AC([0, t] : \mathbb{R}^m) \mid \gamma(0) = q', \gamma(t) = q \}, \\ &\text{with } d_F \gamma = \text{notorious Feynman measure on } \mathcal{P}_{t,q,q'}. \end{aligned}$$

Feynman-Hibbs [6] posed a question whether this derivation of quantum mechanics from classical quantities is applicable to spin systems, such as Dirac and Pauli equations.

Since there exists no non-trivial Feynman measure $[d_F \gamma]$ on $\mathcal{P}_{t,q,q'}$, we try to give a mathematical meaning to the above expression. Under certain condition on V , Fujiwara [7] defines

$$F(t, 0)u(q) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} dq' D(t, 0, q, q')^{1/2} e^{i\hbar^{-1} S(t, 0, q, q')} u(q')$$

with

$$S(t, 0, q, q') = \inf_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_{t,q,q'}} \int_0^t ds L(s, \gamma(s), \dot{\gamma}(s)), \quad D(t, 0, q, q') = \det \left(\frac{\partial^2 S(t, 0, q, q')}{\partial q_i \partial q'_j} \right),$$

and he proves that $F(t, 0)$ gives a good parametrix. Moreover, Fujiwara [8] gives a kernel representation of the fundamental solution.

Since the above procedure is based on the Lagrangian formulation, we **need to reformulate** it in the Hamiltonian setting as Inoue [15], called Hamiltonian path-integral method. After reformulating a certain first order system of PDOs in the superspace, we claim to apply the above Hamiltonian path-integral procedure to that first order system of PDOs. But this paper gives a partial answer to the Feynman's problem, because we have not yet constructed an “explicit integral representation” of the fundametal solution itself. To do this, we need to prepare more elaborated composition formulas of FIOs as in [8].

(2) We may extend our procedure to the case where the electro-magnetic potentials are valued in 2×2 -matrices, if the quantity $\tilde{A}_0$ defined below is real valued and the condition (1.2) is satisfied for all $\{A_j^{[*]}\}$.

In fact, by decomposing

$$\mathbf{A}_j(t, q) = A_j^{[0]} \mathbb{I}_2 + A_j^{[1]} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 + A_j^{[2]} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 + A_j^{[3]} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_3 \quad \text{for } j = 0, \dots, 3, \quad \text{with } A_j^{[*]} = A_j^{[*]}(t, q) \in \mathbb{R},$$

we have

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_j \mathbf{A}_j(t, q) + \mathbf{A}_0(t, q) \mathbb{I}_2 = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j \tilde{A}_j(t, q) + \tilde{A}_0(t, q) \mathbb{I}_2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_1 &= A_1^{[0]} + A_0^{[1]} + i(A_2^{[3]} - A_3^{[2]}), \quad \tilde{A}_2 = A_2^{[0]} + A_0^{[2]} + i(A_3^{[1]} - A_1^{[3]}), \quad \tilde{A}_3 = A_3^{[0]} + A_0^{[3]} + i(A_1^{[2]} - A_2^{[1]}), \\ \tilde{A}_0 &= A_0^{[0]} + A_1^{[1]} + A_2^{[2]} + A_3^{[3]}. \end{aligned}$$

(3) Even if the electro-magnetic field is time-independent, that is, $\mathbb{H}(t) = \mathbb{H}$, and the existence of a self-adjoint realization of $\mathbb{H}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3 : \mathbb{C}^2)$ is assured, our result above is new in the following sense. It is well-known that $e^{-i\hbar^{-1}t\mathbb{H}}\underline{\psi}$ gives a solution of (W) by Stone's theorem. Moreover, by the kernel theorem of Schwartz, there exists a distribution $\mathbb{E}(t, q, q')$ such that $e^{-i\hbar^{-1}t\mathbb{H}}\underline{\psi}(q) = \langle \mathbb{E}(t, q, \cdot), \underline{\psi}(\cdot) \rangle$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the duality between $\mathcal{D}'$ and $\mathcal{D}$. Therefore, our result here answers partly **the problem how one may express the kernel of operator $e^{-i\hbar^{-1}t\mathbb{H}}$ using “classical quantities”**.

(4) Especially, in case $\varepsilon = 0$, $\mathbb{U}(t, 0)$ gives an explicit solution of (SW) with

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_t &= c\hbar^{-1}t|\underline{\xi}|, \quad \delta_t = |\underline{\xi}| \cos \gamma_t - i\underline{\xi}_3 \sin \gamma_t, \\ \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon=0}(t, 0; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) &= \langle \bar{x} | \underline{\xi} \rangle + \frac{|\underline{\xi}| \langle \bar{\theta} | \underline{\pi} \rangle - \hbar(\underline{\xi}_1 + i\underline{\xi}_2) \sin \gamma_t \bar{\theta}_1 \bar{\theta}_2 - \hbar^{-1}(\underline{\xi}_1 - i\underline{\xi}_2) \sin \gamma_t \underline{\pi}_1 \underline{\pi}_2}{\delta_t}, \\ \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon=0}(t, 0; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) &= |\underline{\xi}|^{-2} [|\underline{\xi}| \cos \gamma_t - i\underline{\xi}_3 \sin \gamma_t]^2. \end{aligned}$$

It is worth remarking that “classical quantities” above contain the parameter $\hbar$. This means in a sense that “a spinning particle has no classical counter-part” as Pauli claimed one day.

(5) We use Einstein’s convention to summing up repeated indices unless there occurs confusion.

2. OUTLINE OF PROOF

2.1. Interpretation of the method of characteristics. To explain the meaning of “explicit representation”, we reconsider the method of characteristics by taking the simplest example:

$$\begin{cases} i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t, q) = a \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q} u(t, q) + bqu(t, q), & \text{for } a, b \in \mathbb{R}. \\ u(0, q) = \underline{u}(q), \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

From the right-hand side of above, we define a Hamiltonian as follows (more precisely, the Weyl symbol should be derived):

$$H(q, p) = e^{-i\hbar^{-1}qp} \left(a \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q} + bq \right) e^{i\hbar^{-1}qp} = ap + bq.$$

The classical mechanics (or bicharacteristic) associated to this Hamiltonian is given by

$$\begin{cases} \dot{q}(t) = H_p = a, \\ \dot{p}(t) = -H_q = -b \end{cases} \quad \text{with the initial data } \begin{pmatrix} q(0) \\ p(0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{q} \\ \underline{p} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.2)$$

which is readily solved as

$$q(s) = \underline{q} + as, \quad p(s) = \underline{p} - bs.$$

From above Proposition, putting $\underline{t} = 0$, we get readily that

$$U(t, \underline{q}) = \underline{u}(\underline{q}) e^{-i\hbar^{-1}(b\underline{q}t + 2^{-1}abt^2)}.$$

As the inverse function of $\bar{q} = q(t, \underline{q})$ is given by $\underline{q} = y(t, \bar{q}) = \bar{q} - at$, we get

$$u(t, \bar{q}) = U(t, \underline{q})|_{\underline{q}=y(t, \bar{q})} = \underline{u}(\bar{q} - at) e^{-i\hbar^{-1}(b\bar{q}t - 2^{-1}abt^2)}.$$

We remark that there is no flavor of classical mechanics of this expression because we use only a part $q(\cdot)$ of bicharacteristics $(q(\cdot), p(\cdot))$.

Another point of view from **Hamiltonian path-integral method**: Put

$$\begin{aligned} S_0(t, \underline{q}, \underline{p}) &= \int_0^t ds [\dot{q}(s)p(s) - H(q(s), p(s))] = -b\underline{q}t - 2^{-1}abt^2, \\ S(t, \bar{q}, \underline{p}) &= \left(\underline{q}\underline{p} + S_0(t, \underline{q}, \underline{p}) \right) \Big|_{\underline{q}=y(t, \bar{q})} = \bar{q}\underline{p} - a\underline{p}t - b\bar{q}t + 2^{-1}abt^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the classical action $S(t, \bar{q}, \underline{p})$ satisfies the Hamilton-Jacobi equation.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} S + H(\bar{q}, \partial_{\bar{q}} S) = 0, \\ S(0, \bar{q}, \underline{p}) = \bar{q}\underline{p}. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, the van Vleck determinant (though scalar in this case) is calculated as

$$D(t, \bar{q}, \underline{p}) = \frac{\partial^2 S(t, \bar{q}, \underline{p})}{\partial \bar{q} \partial \underline{p}} = 1.$$

This quantity satisfies the continuity equation:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} D + \partial_{\bar{q}}(DH_p) = 0 & \text{where } H_p = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}(\bar{q}, \frac{\partial S}{\partial \bar{q}}), \\ D(0, \bar{q}, \underline{p}) = 1. \end{cases}$$

As an interpretation of Feynman's idea, we regard that **the transition from classical to quantum mechanics** is to study the following quantity or the one represented by this procedure (the term “quantization” is not so well-defined mathematically):

$$u(t, \bar{q}) = (2\pi\hbar)^{-1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\underline{p} D^{1/2}(t, \bar{q}, \underline{p}) e^{i\hbar^{-1}S(t, \bar{q}, \underline{p})} \hat{u}(\underline{p}). \quad (2.3)$$

That is, in our case at hand, we should study the quantity defined by

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, \bar{q}) &= (2\pi\hbar)^{-1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\underline{p} e^{i\hbar^{-1}S(t, \bar{q}, \underline{p})} \hat{u}(\underline{p}) \\ &= (2\pi\hbar)^{-1} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\underline{p} d\underline{q} e^{i\hbar^{-1}(S(t, \bar{q}, \underline{p}) - \underline{q}\underline{p})} \underline{u}(\underline{q}) = \underline{u}(\bar{q} - at) e^{i\hbar^{-1}(-b\bar{q}t + 2^{-1}abt^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we may say that this second construction (2.3) gives the explicit connection between the solution (2.1) and the classical mechanics given by (2.2). We feel the above expression “good” because there appear two classical quantities $S(t, \bar{q}, \underline{p})$ and $D(t, \bar{q}, \underline{p})$ explicitly and we regard this procedure as an honest follower of Feynman's spirit.

Claim: Applying superanalysis, we may extend the second argument above to a system of PDOs e.g. quantum mechanical equations with spin such as Dirac, Weyl or Pauli equations, (and if possible, any other system of PDOs), after interpreting these equations as those on superspaces.

2.2. Our procedure. (I) We identify a “spinor” $\psi(t, q) = {}^t(\psi_1(t, q), \psi_2(t, q)) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ with an even supersmooth function $u(t, x, \theta) = u_0(t, x) + u_1(t, x)\theta_1\theta_2 : \mathbb{R} \times \mathfrak{R}^{3|2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ev}}$. Here, $\mathfrak{R}^{3|2}$ is the superspace and $u_0(t, x)$, $u_1(t, x)$ are the Grassmann continuation of $\psi_1(t, q)$, $\psi_2(t, q)$, respectively. (The reason why we don't identify $\psi(t, q)$ with $u(t, x, \theta) = u_0(t, x) + u_1(t, x)\theta$ for one odd variable θ , is clarified in [13].)

(II) We represent matrices $\{\sigma_j\}$ as (even) operators acting on $u(t, x, \theta)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_1\left(\theta, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\right) = \theta_1\theta_2 - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_1 \partial \theta_2}, \\ \sigma_2\left(\theta, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\right) = i\left(\theta_1\theta_2 + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_1 \partial \theta_2}\right), \\ \sigma_3\left(\theta, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\right) = 1 - \theta_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} - \theta_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_2}. \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

(III) Therefore, we may introduce the differential operator which corresponds to $\mathbb{H}(t, q, -i\hbar\partial_q)$:

$$\mathcal{H}\left(t, x, \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \theta, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^3 c\sigma_j\left(\theta, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\right) \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\varepsilon}{c} A_j(t, x)\right) + \varepsilon A_0(t, x). \quad (2.5)$$

It yields the superspace version of the Weyl equation represented by

$$\begin{cases} i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t, x, \theta) = \mathcal{H}\left(t, x, \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \theta, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\right) u(t, x, \theta), \\ u(\underline{t}, x, \theta) = \underline{u}(x, \theta). \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

(IV) Using the Fourier transformation on superspace $\mathfrak{R}^{m|n}$, we have the “complete Weyl symbol” of (2.5) as ordinary case. In our case, we put $\hbar = \hbar$, $n = 2$ and $v(\theta) = v_0 + v_1\theta_1\theta_2$, $w(\pi) = w_0 + w_1\pi_1\pi_2$:

$$\begin{aligned} (F_o v)(\pi) &= \hbar \int_{\mathfrak{R}^{0|2}} d\theta e^{-i\hbar^{-1}\langle \theta | \pi \rangle} v(\theta) = \hbar v_1 + \hbar^{-1} v_0 \pi_1 \pi_2, \\ (\bar{F}_o w)(\theta) &= \hbar \int_{\mathfrak{R}^{0|2}} d\pi e^{i\hbar^{-1}\langle \theta | \pi \rangle} w(\pi) = \hbar w_1 + \hbar^{-1} w_0 \theta_1 \theta_2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the Weyl symbols of $\sigma_j(\theta, \partial_\theta)$ are given by

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_1(\theta, \pi) = \theta_1 \theta_2 + \hbar^{-2} \pi_1 \pi_2, \\ \sigma_2(\theta, \pi) = i(\theta_1 \theta_2 - \hbar^{-2} \pi_1 \pi_2), \\ \sigma_3(\theta, \pi) = -i\hbar^{-1}(\theta_1 \pi_1 + \theta_2 \pi_2). \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

Moreover, we put

$$\mathcal{H}(t, x, \xi, \theta, \pi) = \sum_{j=1}^3 c\sigma_j(\theta, \pi) \left(\xi_j - \frac{\varepsilon}{c} A_j(t, x) \right) + \varepsilon A_0(t, x). \quad (2.8)$$

(V) As $\mathcal{H}$ is even, we may consider the classical mechanics corresponding to $\mathcal{H}(t, x, \xi, \theta, \pi)$:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} x_j = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}(t, x, \xi, \theta, \pi)}{\partial \xi_j} = c\sigma_j(\theta, \pi), \\ \frac{d}{dt} \xi_j = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}(t, x, \xi, \theta, \pi)}{\partial x_j} = \sum_{k=1}^3 \varepsilon \sigma_k(\theta, \pi) \frac{\partial A_k(t, x)}{\partial x_j} - \varepsilon \frac{\partial A_0(t, x)}{\partial x_j}, \end{cases} \quad (2.6)_{\text{ev}}$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} \theta_1 = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}(t, x, \xi, \theta, \pi)}{\partial \pi_1} = -c\hbar^{-2}(\eta_1 - i\eta_2)\pi_2 - i\hbar^{-1}\eta_3\theta_1, \\ \frac{d}{dt} \theta_2 = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}(t, x, \xi, \theta, \pi)}{\partial \pi_2} = c\hbar^{-2}(\eta_1 - i\eta_2)\pi_1 - i\hbar^{-1}\eta_3\theta_2, \\ \frac{d}{dt} \pi_1 = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}(t, x, \xi, \theta, \pi)}{\partial \theta_1} = -c(\eta_1 + i\eta_2)\theta_2 + i\hbar^{-1}\eta_3\pi_1, \\ \frac{d}{dt} \pi_2 = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}(t, x, \xi, \theta, \pi)}{\partial \theta_2} = c(\eta_1 + i\eta_2)\theta_1 + i\hbar^{-1}\eta_3\pi_2. \end{cases} \quad (2.6)_{\text{od}}$$

In the above, we put

$$\eta_j(t) = \xi_j(t) - \frac{\varepsilon}{c} A_j(t, x(t)) \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, 3, \quad (2.10)$$

and at time $t = \underline{t}$, the initial data are given by

$$(x(\underline{t}), \xi(\underline{t}), \theta(\underline{t}), \pi(\underline{t})) = (\underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi}). \quad (2.11)$$

Then, we have the following existence theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\{A_j(t, q)\}_{j=0}^3 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 : \mathbb{R})$.*

(0) For any $T > 0$ and any initial data $(\underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) \in \mathfrak{R}^{6|4} = \mathcal{T}^\mathfrak{R}^{3|2}$, there exists a unique solution $(x(t), \xi(t), \theta(t), \pi(t))$ of (2.6)_{ev} and (2.6)_{od} on $[-T, T]$.*

(1) The solution $(x(t), \xi(t), \theta(t), \pi(t))$ of (2.6)_{ev} and (2.6)_{od} on $[-T, T]$ is “s-smooth” in $(t, \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi})$. That is, smooth in t for fixed $(\underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi})$ and supersmooth in $(\underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi})$ for fixed t .

(2) Assume, moreover, that $\{A_j(t, q)\}_{j=0}^3$ satisfy (1.2).

(i) Then, we have, for $t, \underline{t} \in [-T, T]$, and $k = |\alpha + \beta| = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\begin{cases} |\pi_B \partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta (x(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) - \underline{x})| = 0, \\ |\pi_B \partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta (\xi(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) - \underline{\xi})| \leq \varepsilon |t - \underline{t}| \delta_{0|\beta|} \|A_0\|_{|\alpha|+1, \infty}. \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

(ii) Let $|t - \underline{t}| \leq 1$. If $|a + b| = 1$ and $k = |\alpha + \beta| = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, there exist constants $C_1^{(k)}$ (with $C_1^{(0)} = 0$) independent of $(t, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta})$ such that

$$\begin{cases} |\pi_B \partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^a \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^b (\theta(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) - \underline{\theta})| \leq C_1^{(k)} |t - \underline{t}|^{(1/2)(1-(1-k)_+)}, \\ |\pi_B \partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^a \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^b (\pi(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) - \underline{\pi})| \leq C_1^{(k)} |t - \underline{t}|^{(1/2)(1-(1-k)_+)}. \end{cases} \quad (2.13)$$

(iii) Let $|t - \underline{t}| \leq 1$. If $|a + b| = 2$ and $k = |\alpha + \beta| = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, there exist constants $C_2^{(k)}$ independent of $(t, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta})$ such that

$$\begin{cases} |\pi_B \partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^a \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^b (x(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) - \underline{x})| \leq C_2^{(k)} |t - \underline{t}|^{1+(1/2)(1-(1-k)_+)}, \\ |\pi_B \partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^a \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^b (\xi(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) - \underline{\xi})| \leq C_2^{(k)} |t - \underline{t}|^{1+(1/2)(1-(1-k)_+)}. \end{cases} \quad (2.14)$$

(iv) Let $|t - \underline{t}| \leq 1$. If $|a + b| = 3$ and $k = |\alpha + \beta| = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, there exist constants $C_3^{(k)}$ independent of $(t, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta})$ such that

$$\begin{cases} |\pi_B \partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^a \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^b (\theta(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) - \underline{\theta})| \leq C_3^{(k)} |t - \underline{t}|^{3/2+(1/2)(1-(1-k)_+)}, \\ |\pi_B \partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^a \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^b (\pi(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) - \underline{\pi})| \leq C_3^{(k)} |t - \underline{t}|^{3/2+(1/2)(1-(1-k)_+)}. \end{cases} \quad (2.15)$$

(v) Let $|t - \underline{t}| \leq 1$. If $|a + b| = 4$ and $k = |\alpha + \beta| = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, there exist constants $C_4^{(k)}$ independent of $(t, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta})$ such that

$$\begin{cases} |\pi_B \partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^a \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^b (x(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) - \underline{x})| \leq C_4^{(k)} |t - \underline{t}|^{5/2+(1/2)(1-(1-k)_+)}, \\ |\pi_B \partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^a \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^b (\xi(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) - \underline{\xi})| \leq C_4^{(k)} |t - \underline{t}|^{5/2+(1/2)(1-(1-k)_+)}. \end{cases} \quad (2.16)$$

Remark. In the following, we denote the solution $x(t) = (x_j(t))$ by $x(t, \underline{t}) = (x_j(t, \underline{t}))$ with $x_j(t) = x_j(t, \underline{t}) = x_j(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi})$, etc. if necessary.

On the other hand, we have

Theorem 2.2. Let $\{A_j(t, q)\}_{j=0}^3 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 : \mathbb{R})$ satisfy (1.2).

For $x(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi})$, $\theta(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi})$, $\xi(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi})$, $\pi(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi})$ solutions of (2.6)_{ev} and (2.6)_{od} obtained in Theorem 2.1, there exists a constant $0 < \delta \leq 1$ such that the followings hold:

(i) For any fixed $(t, \underline{t}; \underline{\xi}, \underline{\pi})$, $|t - \underline{t}| < \delta$, the mapping

$$\mathfrak{R}^{3|2} \ni (\underline{x}, \underline{\theta}) \mapsto (x = x(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi}), \theta = \theta(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi})) \in \mathfrak{R}^{3|2} \quad (2.17)$$

gives a supersmooth diffeomorphism. We denote the inverse mapping defined by

$$\mathfrak{R}^{3|2} \ni (\bar{x}, \bar{\theta}) \mapsto (y = y(t, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}), \omega = \omega(t, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi})) \in \mathfrak{R}^{3|2}, \quad (2.18)$$

which is supersmooth in $(\bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi})$ for fixed $(t, \underline{t})$.

(ii) Let $|a + b| = 0$. We have

$$\begin{cases} |\pi_B \partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta (y(t, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) - \bar{x})| = 0, \\ |y^{[0]}(t, \underline{t}; \bar{x}^{[0]}, \underline{\xi}^{[0]}) - \bar{x}^{[0]}| \leq C_2 |t - \underline{t}| (1 + |\bar{x}^{[0]}| + |\underline{\xi}^{[0]}|). \end{cases} \quad (2.19)$$

(iii) Let $|a + b| = 1$. For $k = |\alpha + \beta|$, there exists a constant $\tilde{C}_1^{(k)}$ such that

$$|\pi_B \partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^a \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^b (\omega(t, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) - \bar{\theta})| \leq \tilde{C}_1^{(k)} |t - \underline{t}|^{(1/2)(1-(1-k)_+)}. \quad (2.20)$$

(iv) Let $|a + b| = 2$. For $k = |\alpha + \beta|$, there exists a constant $\tilde{C}_2^{(k)}$ such that

$$|\pi_B \partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^a \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^b (y(t, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) - \bar{x})| \leq \tilde{C}_2^{(k)} |t - \underline{t}|^{1+(1/2)(1-(1-k)_+)}. \quad (2.21)$$

(v) Let $|a + b| = 3$. For $k = |\alpha + \beta|$, there exists a constant $\tilde{C}_3^{(k)}$ such that

$$|\pi_B \partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^a \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^b (\omega(t, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) - \bar{\theta})| \leq \tilde{C}_3^{(k)} |t - \underline{t}|^{3/2+(1/2)(1-(1-k)_+)}. \quad (2.22)$$

(vi) Let $|a + b| = 4$. For $k = |\alpha + \beta|$, there exists a constant $\tilde{C}_4^{(k)}$ such that

$$|\pi_B \partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^a \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^b (y(t, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) - \bar{x})| \leq \tilde{C}_4^{(k)} |t - \underline{t}|^{5/2+(1/2)(1-(1-k)_+)}. \quad (2.23)$$

(VI) Now, we put

$$\mathcal{S}_0(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) = \int_{\underline{t}}^t ds \{ \langle \dot{x}(s) | \xi(s) \rangle + \langle \dot{\theta}(s) | \pi(s) \rangle - \mathcal{H}(s, x(s), \xi(s), \theta(s), \pi(s)) \}, \quad (2.24)$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}(t, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) = \left(\langle \underline{x} | \underline{\xi} \rangle + \langle \underline{\theta} | \underline{\pi} \rangle + \mathcal{S}_0(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) \right) \Big|_{\substack{\underline{x}=y(t, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) \\ \underline{\theta}=\omega(t, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi})}}. \quad (2.25)$$

Theorem 2.3. $\mathcal{S}(t, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi})$ satisfies the following Hamilton-Jacobi equation:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathcal{S} + \mathcal{H}\left(t, \bar{x}, \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}}{\partial \bar{x}}, \bar{\theta}, \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}}{\partial \bar{\theta}}\right) = 0, \\ \mathcal{S}(\underline{t}, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) = \langle \bar{x} | \underline{\xi} \rangle + \langle \bar{\theta} | \underline{\pi} \rangle. \end{cases} \quad (2.26)$$

Moreover, decomposing

$$\mathcal{S}(t, s; x, \xi, \theta, \pi) = \mathcal{S}_B(t, s; x, \xi) + \mathcal{S}_S(t, s; x, \xi, \theta, \pi) \quad (2.27)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_B(t, s; x, \xi) &= \mathcal{S}(t, s; x, \xi, 0, 0) = \mathcal{S}_{0\bar{0}}(t, s; x, \xi), \\ \mathcal{S}_S(t, s; x, \theta, \xi, \pi) &= \sum_{|c|+|d|=even \geq 2} \mathcal{S}_{cd}(t, s; x, \xi) \theta^c \pi^d \\ &= \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} \theta_1 \theta_2 + \sum_{j,k=1}^2 \mathcal{S}_{c_j d_k} \theta^{c_j} \pi^{d_k} + \mathcal{S}_{\bar{0}\bar{1}} \pi_1 \pi_2 + \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{1}} \theta_1 \theta_2 \pi_1 \pi_2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

$$\text{where } \bar{0} = (0, 0), \bar{1} = (1, 1), c_1 = (1, 0) = d_1, c_2 = (0, 1) = d_2 \in \{0, 1\}^2,$$

we get the following estimates: For any α, β , there exist constants $C_{\alpha\beta} > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta (\mathcal{S}_{0\bar{0}}(t, s; x, \xi) - \langle x | \xi \rangle)| &\leq C_{\alpha\beta} (1 + |x|)^{(1-|\alpha|)+} \delta_{0|\beta|} |t - s| \\ |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}}(t, s; x, \xi)| &\leq C_{\alpha\beta} |t - s|, \\ |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta (\mathcal{S}_{c_j d_j}(t, s; x, \xi) - 1)| &\leq C_{\alpha\beta} |t - s| \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \\ |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \mathcal{S}_{\bar{0}\bar{1}}(t, s; x, \xi)| &\leq C_{\alpha\beta} |t - s|, \\ |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{1}}(t, s; x, \xi)| &\leq C_{\alpha\beta} |t - s|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

Defining (sdet denotes the super-determinant)

$$\mathcal{D}(t, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) = \text{sdet} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{S}}{\partial \bar{x} \partial \underline{\xi}} & \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{S}}{\partial \bar{x} \partial \underline{\pi}} \\ \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{S}}{\partial \bar{\theta} \partial \underline{\xi}} & \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{S}}{\partial \bar{\theta} \partial \underline{\pi}} \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{A}^2(t, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}), \quad (2.30)$$

we get

Theorem 2.4. $\mathcal{D}(t, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi})$ or $\mathcal{A}(t, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi})$ satisfies the following continuity equation:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathcal{D} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}} \left(\mathcal{D} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \underline{\xi}} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\theta}} \left(\mathcal{D} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \underline{\pi}} \right) = 0, \\ \mathcal{D}(\underline{t}, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) = 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.31)$$

Or, we have

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{A}_t + \{ \mathcal{A}_{x_j} \mathcal{H}_{\xi_j} + \mathcal{A}_{\theta_k} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_k} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A} [\partial_{x_j} \mathcal{H}_{\xi_j} + \partial_{\theta_k} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_k}] \} = 0, \\ \mathcal{A}(s, s; x, \xi, \theta, \pi) = 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.32)$$

Here, the argument of $\mathcal{D}$ or $\mathcal{A}$ is $(t, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi})$, those of $\partial_\xi \mathcal{H}$ and $\partial_\pi \mathcal{H}$ are $(\bar{x}, \partial_{\bar{x}} \mathcal{S}, \bar{\theta}, \partial_{\bar{\theta}} \mathcal{S})$, respectively.

Decomposing

$$\mathcal{A}(t, s; x, \xi, \theta, \pi) = \sum_{|c|+|d|=even \geq 0} \mathcal{A}_{cd}(t, s; x, \xi) \theta^c \pi^d = \mathcal{A}_B(t, s; x, \xi) + \mathcal{D}_S(t, s; x, \xi, \theta, \pi), \quad (2.33)$$

as before, we get the following: If $|t - s|$ is sufficiently small, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta (\mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}}(t, s; x, \xi) - 1)| &\leq C_{\alpha\beta} |t - s|, \\ |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}}(t, s; x, \xi)| &\leq C_{\alpha\beta} |t - s|, \\ |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \mathcal{A}_{c_j d_j}(t, s; x, \xi)| &\leq C_{\alpha\beta} |t - s| \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2 \\ |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \mathcal{A}_{0\bar{1}}(t, s; x, \xi)| &\leq C_{\alpha\beta} |t - s|, \\ |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}\bar{1}}(t, s; x, \xi)| &\leq C_{\alpha\beta} |t - s|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

In the following argument of this section, we change the order of variables and rewrite them from $(t, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\bar{x}}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\bar{\theta}}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\pi})$ to $(t, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\pi})$, and then to $(t, s; x, \theta, \xi, \pi)$.

(VII) We define an operator

$$(\mathcal{U}(t, s)u)(x, \theta) = c_{3,2} \iint_{\mathfrak{R}^{3|2} \times \mathfrak{R}^{3|2}} d\xi d\pi \mathcal{A}(t, s; x, \theta, \xi, \pi) e^{i\hbar^{-1}S(t, s; x, \theta, \xi, \pi)} \mathcal{F}u(\xi, \pi). \quad (2.35)$$

On the other hand, we show readily

$$\mathcal{H}\left(t, x, \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \theta, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\right) = \hat{\mathcal{H}}(t) \quad (2.36)$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{H}}(t)$ is a (Weyl type) pseudo-differential operator with symbol $\mathcal{H}(t, x, \theta, \xi, \pi)$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{\mathcal{H}}(t)u)(x, \theta) &= c_{3,2}^2 \iint_{\mathfrak{R}^{3|2} \times \mathfrak{R}^{3|2}} d\xi d\pi dy d\omega e^{i\hbar^{-1}(\langle x-y|\xi\rangle + \langle \theta-\omega|\pi\rangle)} \\ &\quad \times \mathcal{H}\left(t, \frac{x+y}{2}, \frac{\theta+\omega}{2}, \xi, \pi\right) u(y, \omega). \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

Claim: The operator (2.35) is a good parametrix for (2.6).

[good parametrix]: We call (2.35) as a good parametrix because it has the following properties:

- (a) This (2.35) gives a parametrix of the problem (2.6), which has the explicit dependence on the classical quantities. That is, the Bohr correspondence is exemplified even with spin structure.
- (b) As the infinitesimal generator of that parametrix, we have an operator $\hat{\mathcal{H}}(t)$ which corresponds to the the Weyl quantization for the symbol $\mathcal{H}(t, x, \xi, \theta, \pi)$, that is, a certain symmetry is preserved naturally.
- (c) By Trotter-Kato's time-slicing method, products of (2.35) yield a fundamental solution as is presented in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.5. Let $\{A_j(t, q)\}_{j=0}^3 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 : \mathbb{R})$ satisfy (1.2).

(1) There exists a positive number δ such that if $|t - s| < \delta$ then $\mathcal{U}(t, s)$ is a well defined bounded operator in $\mathcal{L}_{\text{SS}}^2(\mathfrak{R}^{3|2})$. Moreover, if $|t - r| + |r - s| < \delta$, then there exists a constant C such that

$$\|\mathcal{U}(t, r)\mathcal{U}(r, s) - \mathcal{U}(t, s)\|_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{L}_{\text{SS}}^2(\mathfrak{R}^{3|2}), \mathcal{L}_{\text{SS}}^2(\mathfrak{R}^{3|2}))} \leq C(|t - r|^2 + |r - s|^2). \quad (2.38)$$

(2) Let Δ be an arbitrary subdivision of the interval $[s, t]$ or $[t, s]$ for any $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$\Delta : s = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_N = t \quad \text{or} \quad \Delta : s = t_0 > t_1 > \cdots > t_N = t$$

with $|\Delta| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |t_i - t_{i-1}|$. We put

$$\mathcal{U}_\Delta(t, s) = \mathcal{U}(t_N, t_{N-1})\mathcal{U}(t_{N-1}, t_{N-2}) \cdots \mathcal{U}(t_1, t_0).$$

Then, $\mathcal{U}_\Delta(t, s)$ converges when $|\Delta| \rightarrow 0$ to an unitary operator $\mathcal{E}(t, s)$ in the uniform operator topology in $\mathcal{L}_{\text{SS}}^2(\mathfrak{R}^{3|2})$. More precisely, there exist constants γ_1, γ_2 such that

$$\|\mathcal{E}(t, s) - \mathcal{U}_\Delta(t, s)\|_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{L}_{\text{SS}}^2(\mathfrak{R}^{3|2}), \mathcal{L}_{\text{SS}}^2(\mathfrak{R}^{3|2}))} \leq \gamma_1 |\Delta| e^{\gamma_2 |\Delta|}. \quad (2.39)$$

- (3) (i) $\mathbb{R}^2 \ni (t, s) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(t, s) \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{L}_{\text{SS}}^2(\mathfrak{R}^{3|2}), \mathcal{L}_{\text{SS}}^2(\mathfrak{R}^{3|2}))$ is continuous and satisfies $\mathcal{E}(t, r)\mathcal{E}(r, s) = \mathcal{E}(t, s)$.
(ii) For $u \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{SS},0}(\mathfrak{R}^{3|2})$, we have

$$\begin{cases} i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}(t, s)u = \hat{\mathcal{H}}(t) \mathcal{E}(t, s)u, \\ \mathcal{E}(s, s)u = u. \end{cases} \quad (2.40)$$

Remark: The reason for preparing complicated estimates in Theorems 2.1–2.4, is to apply the known L^2 -bounded theorem to our FIO.

On the other hand, remarking that

$$\flat \hat{\mathcal{H}}(t) \sharp \psi = \mathbb{H}(t) \psi, \quad (2.41)$$

and putting that

$$\mathbb{U}(t, s)\psi = \flat \mathcal{U}(t, s) \sharp \psi, \quad \mathbb{U}_{\Delta}(t, s) = \flat \mathcal{U}_{\Delta}(t, s) \sharp, \quad \mathbb{E}(t, s)\psi = \flat \mathcal{E}(t, s) \sharp \psi, \quad (2.42)$$

we have

Theorem 2.6. Let $\{A_j(t, q)\}_{j=0}^3 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 : \mathbb{R})$ satisfy (1.2).

- (1) There exists a positive number δ such that if $|t - s| < \delta$ then $\mathbb{U}(t, s)$ is well defined bounded operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3 : \mathbb{C}^2)$. Moreover, if $|t - r| + |r - s| < \delta$, then there exists a constant C such that

$$\|\mathbb{U}(t, r)\mathbb{U}(r, s) - \mathbb{U}(t, s)\|_{\mathbb{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3 : \mathbb{C}^2), L^2(\mathbb{R}^3 : \mathbb{C}^2))} \leq C(|t - r|^2 + |r - s|^2). \quad (2.43)$$

- (2) For any $(t, s) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $\mathbb{U}_{\Delta}(t, s)$ converges when $|\Delta| \rightarrow 0$ to an unitary operator $\mathbb{E}(t, s)$ in the uniform operator topology in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3 : \mathbb{C}^2)$. More precisely, there exist constants γ_1, γ_2 such that

$$\|\mathbb{E}(t, s) - \mathbb{U}_{\Delta}(t, s)\|_{\mathbb{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3 : \mathbb{C}^2), L^2(\mathbb{R}^3 : \mathbb{C}^2))} \leq \gamma_1 |\Delta| e^{\gamma_2 |\Delta|}. \quad (2.44)$$

- (3) (i) $\mathbb{R}^2 \ni (t, s) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(t, s) \in \mathbb{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3 : \mathbb{C}^2), L^2(\mathbb{R}^3 : \mathbb{C}^2))$ is continuous and satisfies $\mathbb{E}(t, r)\mathbb{E}(r, s) = \mathbb{E}(t, s)$.

- (ii) For $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 : \mathbb{C}^2)$, we have

$$\begin{cases} i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}(t, s)\psi = \mathbb{H}(t) \mathbb{E}(t, s)\psi, \\ \mathbb{E}(s, s)\psi = \psi. \end{cases} \quad (2.45)$$

Problem: Construct a kernel representation of $\mathbb{E}(t, s)$ (i.e. a fundamental solution). If we could do so properly, then we would give an answer of the problem posed by Feynman in p.355 of [6]. To do so, we need to develop the theory of FIO on superspace more precisely. For example, we must extend the $\sharp$ -product formula for two FIP with different phases which is done for FIO on ordinary Euclidian space.

3. CLASSICAL MECHANICS: PROOFS OF THEOREMS 2.1–2.5.

3.1. Hamiltonian flows.

3.1.1. *Proof of Theorem 2.1(Existence).* We rewrite (2.6)_{od} as

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \\ \pi_1 \\ \pi_2 \end{pmatrix} = i\hbar^{-1} \mathbb{X}(t) \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \\ \pi_1 \\ \pi_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1(\underline{t}) \\ \theta_2(\underline{t}) \\ \pi_1(\underline{t}) \\ \pi_2(\underline{t}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\theta}_1 \\ \underline{\theta}_2 \\ \underline{\pi}_1 \\ \underline{\pi}_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\mathbb{X}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} -\eta_3(t) & 0 & 0 & i\hbar^{-1}(\eta_1(t) - i\eta_2(t)) \\ 0 & -\eta_3(t) & -i\hbar^{-1}(\eta_1(t) - i\eta_2(t)) & 0 \\ 0 & i\hbar(\eta_1(t) + i\eta_2(t)) & \eta_3(t) & 0 \\ -i\hbar(\eta_1(t) + i\eta_2(t)) & 0 & 0 & \eta_3(t) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.2)$$

Moreover, defining $\sigma_j(t) = \sigma_j(\theta(t), \pi(t))$, we have, by simple calculations,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_2 \\ \sigma_3 \end{pmatrix} = 2c\hbar^{-1} \mathbb{Y}(t) \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_2 \\ \sigma_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(\underline{t}) \\ \sigma_2(\underline{t}) \\ \sigma_3(\underline{t}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\sigma}_1 \\ \underline{\sigma}_2 \\ \underline{\sigma}_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\theta}_1 \underline{\theta}_2 + \hbar^{-2} \underline{\pi}_1 \underline{\pi}_2 \\ i(\underline{\theta}_1 \underline{\theta}_2 - \hbar^{-2} \underline{\pi}_1 \underline{\pi}_2) \\ -i\hbar^{-1}(\underline{\theta}_1 \underline{\pi}_1 + \underline{\theta}_2 \underline{\pi}_2) \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$\mathbb{Y}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\eta_3(t) & \eta_2(t) \\ \eta_3(t) & 0 & -\eta_1(t) \\ -\eta_2(t) & \eta_1(t) & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.4)$$

Now, we start our existence proof. We decompose variables, using degree, as follow:

$$x_j(t) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} x_j^{[2\ell]}(t), \quad \xi_j(t) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \xi_j^{[2\ell]}(t), \quad \theta_k(t) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \theta_k^{[2\ell+1]}(t), \quad \pi_k(t) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \pi_k^{[2\ell+1]}(t). \quad (3.5)$$

Then, we get, for $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} x_j^{[2m]} = c\sigma_j^{[2m]} & \text{where } \sigma_j^{[0]} = 0, \\ \frac{d}{dt} \xi_j^{[2m]} = \varepsilon \sum_{\ell=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^3 \sigma_k^{[2\ell]} \frac{\partial A_k^{[2m-2\ell]}}{\partial x_j} - \varepsilon \frac{\partial A_0^{[2m]}}{\partial x_j} \end{cases} \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{pmatrix} x^{[2m]}(\underline{t}) \\ \xi^{[2m]}(\underline{t}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{x}^{[2m]} \\ \underline{\xi}^{[2m]} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1^{[2m+1]} \\ \theta_2^{[2m+1]} \\ \pi_1^{[2m+1]} \\ \pi_2^{[2m+1]} \end{pmatrix} = i\hbar^{-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^m \mathbb{X}^{[2\ell]}(t) \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1^{[2m+1-2\ell]} \\ \theta_2^{[2m+1-2\ell]} \\ \pi_1^{[2m+1-2\ell]} \\ \pi_2^{[2m+1-2\ell]} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1^{[2m+1]}(\underline{t}) \\ \theta_2^{[2m+1]}(\underline{t}) \\ \pi_1^{[2m+1]}(\underline{t}) \\ \pi_2^{[2m+1]}(\underline{t}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\theta}_1^{[2m+1]} \\ \underline{\theta}_2^{[2m+1]} \\ \underline{\pi}_1^{[2m+1]} \\ \underline{\pi}_2^{[2m+1]} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^{[2m]} \\ \sigma_2^{[2m]} \\ \sigma_3^{[2m]} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} 2c\hbar^{-1} \mathbb{Y}^{[2\ell]}(t) \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^{[2m-2\ell]} \\ \sigma_2^{[2m-2\ell]} \\ \sigma_3^{[2m-2\ell]} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^{[2m]}(\underline{t}) \\ \sigma_2^{[2m]}(\underline{t}) \\ \sigma_3^{[2m]}(\underline{t}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\sigma}_1^{[2m]} \\ \underline{\sigma}_2^{[2m]} \\ \underline{\sigma}_3^{[2m]} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.8)$$

Here, $\mathbb{X}^{[2\ell]}(t)$, $\mathbb{Y}^{[2\ell]}(t)$, $\sigma^{[2\ell]}(t)$ are the degree of 2ℓ parts of $\mathbb{X}(t)$, $\mathbb{Y}(t)$, $\sigma(t)$, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_k^{[2\ell]}(t) &= \xi_k^{[2\ell]}(t) - \frac{\varepsilon}{c} A_k^{[2\ell]}(t, x), \\ A_k^{[2\ell]}(t, x) &= \sum_{\substack{|\alpha| \leq 2\ell \\ \ell_1 + \ell_2 + \ell_3 = \ell}} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_q^\alpha A_k(t, x^{[0]}) \cdot (x_1^{\alpha_1})^{[2\ell_1]} (x_2^{\alpha_2})^{[2\ell_2]} (x_3^{\alpha_3})^{[2\ell_3]}, \\ \frac{\partial A_0^{[2\ell]}(t, x)}{\partial x_j} &= \sum_{\substack{|\alpha| \leq 2\ell \\ \ell_1 + \ell_2 + \ell_3 = \ell}} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_q^\alpha \partial_{q_j} A_0(t, x^{[0]}) \cdot (x_1^{\alpha_1})^{[2\ell_1]} (x_2^{\alpha_2})^{[2\ell_2]} (x_3^{\alpha_3})^{[2\ell_3]} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_1^{[2m]} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \left(\theta_1^{[2\ell+1]} \theta_2^{[2m-2\ell-1]} + \hbar^{-2} \pi_1^{[2\ell+1]} \pi_2^{[2m-2\ell-1]} \right), \\ \sigma_2^{[2m]} = i \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \left(\theta_1^{[2\ell+1]} \theta_2^{[2m-2\ell-1]} - \hbar^{-2} \pi_1^{[2\ell+1]} \pi_2^{[2m-2\ell-1]} \right), \\ \sigma_3^{[2m]} = -i\hbar^{-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \left(\theta_1^{[2\ell+1]} \pi_1^{[2m-2\ell-1]} + \theta_2^{[2\ell+1]} \pi_2^{[2m-2\ell-1]} \right). \end{cases}$$

[0] From (3.6) with $m = 0$, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} x_j^{[0]}(t) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d}{dt} \xi_j^{[0]}(t) = -\varepsilon \frac{\partial A_0^{[0]}(t, x^{[0]})}{\partial x_j} = -\varepsilon \partial_{q_j} A_0^{[0]}(t, x^{[0]}) \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, 3.$$

Therefore, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$x_j^{[0]}(t) = \underline{x}_j^{[0]} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_j^{[0]}(t) = \underline{\xi}_j^{[0]} - \varepsilon \int_{\underline{t}}^t ds \partial_{q_j} A_0^{[0]}(s, \underline{x}^{[0]}) \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, 3.$$

[1] We put these into (3.7) with $m = 0$, to have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1^{[1]} \\ \theta_2^{[1]} \\ \pi_1^{[1]} \\ \pi_2^{[1]} \end{pmatrix} = i\hbar^{-1} \mathbb{X}^{[0]}(t) \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1^{[1]} \\ \theta_2^{[1]} \\ \pi_1^{[1]} \\ \pi_2^{[1]} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1^{[1]}(\underline{t}) \\ \theta_2^{[1]}(\underline{t}) \\ \pi_1^{[1]}(\underline{t}) \\ \pi_2^{[1]}(\underline{t}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\theta}_1^{[1]} \\ \underline{\theta}_2^{[1]} \\ \underline{\pi}_1^{[1]} \\ \underline{\pi}_2^{[1]} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.9)$$

Here, $\mathbb{X}^{[0]}(t)$ is a 4×4 -matrix whose arguments depend on $(t, \underline{t}, \underline{x}^{[0]}, \underline{\xi}^{[0]}, \partial_q^\beta A_0, \partial_q^\alpha A; |\alpha| = 0, |\beta| \leq 1)$ with values in $\mathbb{C}$. Or more precisely, $\mathbb{X}^{[0]}(t)$ has components given by

$$\eta_j^{[0]}(t) = \xi_j^{[0]}(t) - \frac{\varepsilon}{c} A_j(t, \underline{x}^{[0]}) = \underline{\xi}_j^{[0]} - \varepsilon \int_{\underline{t}}^t ds \partial_{q_j} A_0^{[0]}(s, \underline{x}^{[0]}) - \frac{\varepsilon}{c} A_j^{[0]}(t, \underline{x}^{[0]}).$$

As (3.9) is the linear ODE in $(\mathfrak{R}^{0|1})^4$ with smooth coefficients in t , there exists a unique global (in time) solution, which has the following dependence. Putting $A = (A_1, A_2, A_3)$, we have

$$\begin{cases} \theta_j^{[1]}(t) = \theta_j^{[1]}(t, \underline{x}^{[0]}, \underline{\xi}^{[0]}, \underline{\theta}^{[1]}, \underline{\pi}^{[1]}, \partial_q^\beta A_0, \partial_q^\alpha A; |\alpha| = 0, |\beta| \leq 1), \text{ linear in } \underline{\theta}^{[1]}, \underline{\pi}^{[1]}, \\ \pi_j^{[1]}(t) = \pi_j^{[1]}(t, \underline{x}^{[0]}, \underline{\xi}^{[0]}, \underline{\theta}^{[1]}, \underline{\pi}^{[1]}, \partial_q^\beta A_0, \partial_q^\alpha A; |\alpha| = 0, |\beta| \leq 1), \text{ linear in } \underline{\theta}^{[1]}, \underline{\pi}^{[1]}. \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

[2] For (3.6) with $m = 1$, we have

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} x_j^{[2]} = c\sigma_j^{[2]}, \\ \frac{d}{dt} \xi_j^{[2]} = \varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^3 \sigma_k^{[2]} \frac{\partial A_k^{[0]}}{\partial x_j} - \varepsilon \frac{\partial A_0^{[2]}}{\partial x_j} \end{cases} \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{pmatrix} x^{[2]}(\underline{t}) \\ \xi^{[2]}(\underline{t}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{x}^{[2]} \\ \underline{\xi}^{[2]} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, using (3.8) with $m = 1$ and (3.10), we have, for $j = 1, 2, 3$,

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_1^{[2]} = \theta_1^{[1]} \theta_2^{[1]} + \hbar^{-2} \pi_1^{[1]} \pi_2^{[1]}, \\ \sigma_2^{[2]} = i(\theta_1^{[1]} \theta_2^{[1]} - \hbar^{-2} \pi_1^{[1]} \pi_2^{[1]}), \\ \sigma_3^{[2]} = -i\hbar^{-1}(\theta_1^{[1]} \pi_1^{[1]} + \theta_2^{[1]} \pi_2^{[1]}) \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} A_0^{[2]}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^3 \partial_{q_k} A_0(x^{[0]}) x_k^{[2]}, \\ \frac{\partial A_0^{[2]}}{\partial x_j} = \sum_{k=1}^3 \partial_{q_k q_j} A_0(x^{[0]}) x_k^{[2]}. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we have, for $j = 1, 2, 3$,

$$\begin{cases} x_j^{[2]}(t) = x_j^{[2]}(t, \underline{x}^{[2\ell]}, \underline{\xi}^{[0]}, \underline{\theta}^{[1]}, \underline{\pi}^{[1]}, \partial_q^\beta A_0, \partial_q^\alpha A; 0 \leq \ell \leq 1, |\alpha| = 0, |\beta| \leq 1), \\ \xi_j^{[2]}(t) = \xi_j^{[2]}(t, \underline{x}^{[2\ell]}, \underline{\xi}^{[2\ell]}, \underline{\theta}^{[1]}, \underline{\pi}^{[1]}, \partial_q^\beta A_0, \partial_q^\alpha A; 0 \leq \ell \leq 1, |\alpha| \leq 1, |\beta| \leq 2). \end{cases}$$

[3] For (3.7) with $m = 1$, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1^{[3]} \\ \theta_2^{[3]} \\ \pi_1^{[3]} \\ \pi_2^{[3]} \end{pmatrix} = i\hbar^{-1} \mathbb{X}^{[0]}(t) \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1^{[3]} \\ \theta_2^{[3]} \\ \pi_1^{[3]} \\ \pi_2^{[3]} \end{pmatrix} + i\hbar^{-1} \mathbb{X}^{[2]}(t) \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1^{[1]} \\ \theta_2^{[1]} \\ \pi_1^{[1]} \\ \pi_2^{[1]} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1^{[3]}(\underline{t}) \\ \theta_2^{[3]}(\underline{t}) \\ \pi_1^{[3]}(\underline{t}) \\ \pi_2^{[3]}(\underline{t}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1^{[3]} \\ \theta_2^{[3]} \\ \underline{\pi}_1^{[3]} \\ \underline{\pi}_2^{[3]} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here, $\mathbb{X}^{[2]}(t)$ is a 4×4 -matrix whose arguments depend on $(t, \underline{x}^{[2\ell]}, \underline{\xi}^{[2\ell]}, \underline{\theta}^{[1]}, \underline{\pi}^{[1]}, \partial_q^\beta A_0, \partial_q^\alpha A; 0 \leq \ell \leq 1, |\alpha| \leq 1, |\beta| \leq 2)$ with values in $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ev}}$.

Therefore, we get, for $k = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{cases} \theta_k^{[3]}(t) = \theta_k^{[3]}(t, \underline{x}^{[2\ell]}, \underline{\xi}^{[2\ell]}, \underline{\theta}^{[2\ell+1]}, \underline{\pi}^{[2\ell+1]}, \partial_q^\beta A_0, \partial_q^\alpha A; 0 \leq \ell \leq 1, |\alpha| \leq 1, |\beta| \leq 2), \\ \pi_k^{[3]}(t) = \pi_k^{[3]}(t, \underline{x}^{[2\ell]}, \underline{\xi}^{[2\ell]}, \underline{\theta}^{[2\ell+1]}, \underline{\pi}^{[2\ell+1]}, \partial_q^\beta A_0, \partial_q^\alpha A; 0 \leq \ell \leq 1, |\alpha| \leq 1, |\beta| \leq 2). \end{cases}$$

[4] Proceeding inductively, we get,

$$\begin{cases} x^{[2m]}(t) = x^{[2m]}(t, \underline{x}^{[2\ell]}, \underline{\xi}^{[2\ell]}, \underline{\theta}^{[2\ell-1]}, \underline{\pi}^{[2\ell-1]}, \partial_q^\beta A_0, \partial_q^\alpha A; 0 \leq \ell \leq m, |\alpha| \leq m-1, |\beta| \leq m), \\ \xi^{[2m]}(t) = \xi^{[2m]}(t, \underline{x}^{[2\ell]}, \underline{\xi}^{[2\ell]}, \underline{\theta}^{[2\ell-1]}, \underline{\pi}^{[2\ell-1]}, \partial_q^\beta A_0, \partial_q^\alpha A; 0 \leq \ell \leq m, |\alpha| \leq m, |\beta| \leq m+1), \\ \theta^{[2m+1]}(t) = \theta^{[2m+1]}(t, \underline{x}^{[2\ell]}, \underline{\xi}^{[2\ell]}, \underline{\theta}^{[2\ell+1]}, \underline{\pi}^{[2\ell+1]}, \partial_q^\beta A_0, \partial_q^\alpha A; 0 \leq \ell \leq m, |\alpha| \leq m, |\beta| \leq m+1), \\ \pi^{[2m+1]}(t) = \pi^{[2m+1]}(t, \underline{x}^{[2\ell]}, \underline{\xi}^{[2\ell]}, \underline{\theta}^{[2\ell+1]}, \underline{\pi}^{[2\ell+1]}, \partial_q^\beta A_0, \partial_q^\alpha A; 0 \leq \ell \leq m, |\alpha| \leq m, |\beta| \leq m+1). \end{cases}$$

This gives the existence proof (Proof of Theorem 2.1). Remarking that at each degree, the solution of (3.6) and (3.7) is defined uniquely, we have the uniqueness of the solution of (2.6)_{ev} and (2.6)_{od}. $\square$

Moreover, we have easily

Corollary 3.1. *Let $(x(t), \xi(t), \theta(t), \pi(t)) \in C^1(\mathbb{R} : \mathcal{T}^*\mathfrak{R}^{3|2})$ be a solution of (2.6)_{ev} and (2.6)_{od}. Then, it satisfies*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{H}(t, x(t), \xi(t), \theta(t), \pi(t)) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial t}(t, x(t), \xi(t), \theta(t), \pi(t)). \quad (3.11)$$

Using (2.10) and putting

$$B_{jk}(t, x) = \frac{\partial A_k(t, x)}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial A_j(t, x)}{\partial x_k},$$

we rewrite (2.6)_{ev} as

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} x_j = c\sigma_j(\theta, \pi), \\ \frac{d}{dt} \eta_j = \sum_{k=1}^3 \varepsilon \sigma_k(\theta, \pi) B_{jk}(t, x) - \varepsilon \frac{\partial A_0(t, x)}{\partial x_j}. \end{cases} \quad (3.6)'_{\text{ev}}$$

Corollary 3.2. *Let $\{A_j(t, q)\}_{j=0}^3 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 : \mathbb{R})$ satisfy (1.2). There exists a unique solution $(\tilde{x}(t), \tilde{\eta}(t), \tilde{\theta}(t), \tilde{\pi}(t)) \in C^1(\mathbb{R} : \mathcal{T}^*\mathfrak{R}^{3|2})$ of (3.6)'_{ev} and (2.6)_{od} with initial data*

$$(\tilde{x}(\underline{t}), \tilde{\eta}(\underline{t}), \tilde{\theta}(\underline{t}), \tilde{\pi}(\underline{t})) = (\underline{x}, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) \quad \text{where} \quad \underline{\eta}_j = \underline{\xi}_j - \frac{\varepsilon}{c} A_j(\underline{t}, \underline{x}).$$

Moreover, they are related to $(x(t), \xi(t), \theta(t), \pi(t))$ as

$$\begin{cases} x_j(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) = \tilde{x}_j(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi} - \frac{\varepsilon}{c} A(\underline{t}, \underline{x}), \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi}), \\ \xi_j(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) = \tilde{\eta}_j(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi} - \frac{\varepsilon}{c} A(\underline{t}, \underline{x}), \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{c} A_j(t, \tilde{x}(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi} - \frac{\varepsilon}{c} A(\underline{t}, \underline{x}), \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi})), \\ \theta_k(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) = \tilde{\theta}_k(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi} - \frac{\varepsilon}{c} A(\underline{t}, \underline{x}), \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi}), \\ \pi_k(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) = \tilde{\pi}_k(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi} - \frac{\varepsilon}{c} A(\underline{t}, \underline{x}), \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi}). \end{cases}$$

3.1.2. *Smoothness: Proof of Theorem 2.1 continued.* For notational simplicity, we represent $x(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi})$ as $x(t)$ or x , etc. We investigate the smoothness of $(x(t), \xi(t), \theta(t), \pi(t))$ with respect to the initial data $(\underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi})$. In the following, we put $(1 - k)_+ = \max(0, 1 - k)$ for $k \geq 0$.

s-smoothness: In order to prove the smoothness w.r.t. the initial data, we differentiate (2.6)_{ev} and (2.6)_{od} formally w.r.t. $(\underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi})$, which gives us the following differential equation:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{J}^{(1)}(t) = \mathcal{H}^{(2)}(t) \mathcal{J}^{(1)}(t) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{J}^{(1)}(0) = I. \quad (3.12)$$

Here

$$\mathcal{J}^{(1)}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{\underline{x}} x & \partial_{\underline{\xi}} x & \partial_{\underline{\theta}} x & \partial_{\underline{\pi}} x \\ \partial_{\underline{x}} \xi & \partial_{\underline{\xi}} \xi & \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \xi & \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \xi \\ \partial_{\underline{x}} \theta & \partial_{\underline{\xi}} \theta & \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \theta & \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \theta \\ \partial_{\underline{x}} \pi & \partial_{\underline{\xi}} \pi & \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \pi & \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \pi \end{pmatrix}, \quad \partial_{\underline{x}} x = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{\underline{x}_1} x_1 & \partial_{\underline{x}_2} x_1 & \partial_{\underline{x}_3} x_1 \\ \partial_{\underline{x}_1} x_2 & \partial_{\underline{x}_2} x_2 & \partial_{\underline{x}_3} x_2 \\ \partial_{\underline{x}_1} x_3 & \partial_{\underline{x}_2} x_3 & \partial_{\underline{x}_3} x_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{etc.} \quad (3.13)$$

with arguments $(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi})$ and

$$\mathcal{H}^{(2)}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x \partial_{\underline{\xi}} \mathcal{H} & \partial_{\underline{\xi}} \partial_{\underline{\xi}} \mathcal{H} & -\partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_{\underline{\xi}} \mathcal{H} & -\partial_{\underline{\pi}} \partial_{\underline{\xi}} \mathcal{H} \\ -\partial_x \partial_x \mathcal{H} & -\partial_{\underline{\xi}} \partial_x \mathcal{H} & \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_x \mathcal{H} & \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \partial_x \mathcal{H} \\ \partial_x \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H} & \partial_{\underline{\xi}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H} & -\partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H} & -\partial_{\underline{\pi}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H} \\ \partial_x \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \mathcal{H} & \partial_{\underline{\xi}} \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \mathcal{H} & -\partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \mathcal{H} & -\partial_{\underline{\pi}} \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \mathcal{H} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.14)$$

where

$$\partial_x \partial_{\underline{\xi}} \mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{x_1} \partial_{\xi_1} \mathcal{H} & \partial_{x_2} \partial_{\xi_1} \mathcal{H} & \partial_{x_3} \partial_{\xi_1} \mathcal{H} \\ \partial_{x_1} \partial_{\xi_2} \mathcal{H} & \partial_{x_2} \partial_{\xi_2} \mathcal{H} & \partial_{x_3} \partial_{\xi_2} \mathcal{H} \\ \partial_{x_1} \partial_{\xi_3} \mathcal{H} & \partial_{x_2} \partial_{\xi_3} \mathcal{H} & \partial_{x_3} \partial_{\xi_3} \mathcal{H} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{etc.}$$

with arguments $(t, x(t), \xi(t), \theta(t), \pi(t))$. Remarking that each component of $\mathcal{H}^{(2)}(t)$ is differentiable w.r.t. t for fixed $(\underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi})$ and proceeding as in the proof of the first part of Theorem 2.1, we get the unique global (in time) solution of (3.12). On the other hand, taking the difference quotient of (2.6)_{ev} and (2.6)_{od} w.r.t. the small perturbation of the initial data, making that perturbation tends to 0 and remarking that each component of $\mathcal{H}^{(2)}(t)$ is continuous w.r.t. $(\underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi})$, we may prove that the solution of (2.6)_{ev} and (2.6)_{od} is in fact differentiable w.r.t. $(\underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi})$ and satisfies (3.12). (This process is well-known for proving the continuity of the solution of ODE w.r.t. the initial data.)

Furthermore, for each positive integer $k \geq 1$ and $\ell \geq 2$, putting

$$\mathcal{J}^{(k)}(t) = \left(\partial_{\underline{x}}^{\alpha} \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^{\beta} \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^{\gamma} \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^{\delta} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ \xi \\ \theta \\ \pi \end{pmatrix} \right)_{\substack{|\alpha+\beta|+ \\ |a+b|=k}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}^{(\ell)}(t) = (\partial_x^{\alpha} \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^{\beta} \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^{\gamma} \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^{\delta} \mathcal{H})_{\substack{|\alpha+\beta|+ \\ |a+b|=\ell}} \quad (3.15)$$

respectively, we have the following differential equation for $k \geq 2$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{J}^{(k)}(t) &= \mathcal{H}^{(2)}(t) \mathcal{J}^{(k)}(t) + R^{(k)}(t) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{J}^{(k)}(0) = 0 \\ \text{where} \quad R^{(k)}(t) &= \sum_{p=2}^k \sum_{k=k_1+\dots+k_p} c_{p,k} \mathcal{H}^{(p+1)}(t) \mathcal{J}^{(k_1)}(t) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{J}^{(k_p)}(t). \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Here, $c_{p,k}$ are suitable constants. It is inductively proved that each component of $R^{(k)}(t)$ is continuous w.r.t. $(\underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi})$ and differentiable w.r.t. t . As above, this equation has the unique solution and therefore the solution of (2.6)_{ev} and (2.6)_{od} is in fact k -times differentiable w.r.t. $(\underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi})$.

Therefore, we get the s -smoothness of the solution of (2.6)_{ev} and (2.6)_{od} w.r.t. $(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi})$;

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x(t) = \sum_{|a|+|b|=0,2,4} x_{ab}(t) \underline{\theta}^a \underline{\pi}^b \quad \text{where} \quad x_{ab}(t) = \partial_{\underline{x}_2}^{b_2} \partial_{\underline{x}_1}^{b_1} \partial_{\underline{\theta}_2}^{a_2} \partial_{\underline{\theta}_1}^{a_1} x(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, 0, 0), \\ \xi(t) = \sum_{|a|+|b|=0,2,4} \xi_{ab}(t) \underline{\theta}^a \underline{\pi}^b \quad \text{where} \quad \xi_{ab}(t) = \partial_{\underline{x}_2}^{b_2} \partial_{\underline{x}_1}^{b_1} \partial_{\underline{\theta}_2}^{a_2} \partial_{\underline{\theta}_1}^{a_1} \xi(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, 0, 0), \\ \theta(t) = \sum_{|a|+|b|=1,3} \theta_{ab}(t) \underline{\theta}^a \underline{\pi}^b \quad \text{where} \quad \theta_{ab}(t) = \partial_{\underline{x}_2}^{b_2} \partial_{\underline{x}_1}^{b_1} \partial_{\underline{\theta}_2}^{a_2} \partial_{\underline{\theta}_1}^{a_1} \theta(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, 0, 0), \\ \pi(t) = \sum_{|a|+|b|=1,3} \pi_{ab}(t) \underline{\theta}^a \underline{\pi}^b \quad \text{where} \quad \pi_{ab}(t) = \partial_{\underline{x}_2}^{b_2} \partial_{\underline{x}_1}^{b_1} \partial_{\underline{\theta}_2}^{a_2} \partial_{\underline{\theta}_1}^{a_1} \pi(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, 0, 0), \end{array} \right. \quad (3.17)$$

with $a = (a_1, a_2)$, $b = (b_1, b_2) \in \{0, 1\}^2$.

Estimates: We remark, by the structure of $\mathcal{H}(t, x, \xi, \theta, \pi)$, the following:

$$\partial_{x_i} \partial_{\xi_j} \mathcal{H} = 0, \quad \partial_{\xi_i} \partial_{\xi_j} \mathcal{H} = 0, \quad (3.18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} \mathcal{H} &= \varepsilon \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} A_0 - \varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^3 \sigma_k \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} A_k \\ &= \varepsilon \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} A_0 - \varepsilon \sum_{|a|+|b|=2} (\text{linear combination of } \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} A_*) \theta^a \pi^b, \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

$$\partial_{\theta_k} \partial_{\xi_j} \mathcal{H} = \varepsilon \frac{\partial \sigma_j}{\partial \theta_k} = \varepsilon \sum_{|a|+|b|=1} \text{const}_* \theta^a \pi^b, \quad (3.20)$$

$$\partial_{\pi_k} \partial_{\xi_j} \mathcal{H} = \varepsilon \frac{\partial \sigma_j}{\partial \pi_k} = \varepsilon \sum_{|a|+|b|=1} \text{const}_* \theta^a \pi^b, \quad (3.21)$$

$$\partial_{\theta_k} \partial_{x_j} \mathcal{H} = -\varepsilon \sum_{\ell=1}^3 \frac{\partial \sigma_\ell}{\partial \theta_k} \frac{\partial A_\ell}{\partial x_j} = \varepsilon \sum_{|a|+|b|=1} (\text{linear combination of } \partial_{x_j} A_*) \theta^a \pi^b, \quad (3.22)$$

$$\partial_{\pi_k} \partial_{x_j} \mathcal{H} = -\varepsilon \sum_{\ell=1}^3 \frac{\partial \sigma_\ell}{\partial \pi_k} \frac{\partial A_\ell}{\partial x_j} = \varepsilon \sum_{|a|+|b|=1} (\text{linear combination of } \partial_{x_j} A_*) \theta^a \pi^b, \quad (3.23)$$

$$\partial_{\theta_k} \partial_{\pi_l} \mathcal{H} = -i\hbar^{-1} (c\xi_3 - \varepsilon A_3) \delta_{kl} = -i\hbar^{-1} c\eta_3 \delta_{kl} = -\partial_{\pi_l} \partial_{\theta_k} \mathcal{H}, \quad (3.24)$$

$$\partial_{\pi_1} \partial_{\pi_2} \mathcal{H} = -\hbar^{-2} (c(\xi_1 - i\xi_2) - \varepsilon(A_1 - iA_2)) = -\hbar^{-2} c(\eta_1 - i\eta_2) = -\partial_{\pi_2} \partial_{\pi_1} \mathcal{H}, \quad (3.25)$$

$$\partial_{\theta_1} \partial_{\theta_2} \mathcal{H} = -c(\xi_1 + i\xi_2) + \varepsilon(A_1 + iA_2) = -c(\eta_1 + i\eta_2) = -\partial_{\theta_2} \partial_{\theta_1} \mathcal{H} \quad (3.26)$$

for any $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ and $k, l = 1, 2$.

On the other hand, by (3.17), we must estimate, for any α, β ,

$$\pi_B \partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^a \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^b x_j, \quad \pi_B \partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^a \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^b \xi_j \quad \text{for} \quad |a+b| = 0, 2, 4, \quad (3.27)$$

and

$$\pi_B \partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^a \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^b \theta_k, \quad \pi_B \partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^a \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^b \pi_k \quad \text{for} \quad |a+b| = 1, 3. \quad (3.28)$$

Since it is obvious that body parts of other terms are 0.

The case $|a+b| = 0$: From (2.12), we have $\pi_B \dot{x}_j = \pi_B c \sigma_j(\theta, \pi) = 0$, which implies $\pi_B(x_j - \underline{x}_j) = 0$. By (2.12), we have, for $|\alpha + \beta| \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \dot{x}_j(t) &= \sum_{\substack{|\alpha-\alpha'|+|\beta-\beta'| \geq 1}} \binom{\alpha}{\alpha'} \binom{\beta}{\beta'} \left\{ \partial_{\underline{x}}^{\alpha-\alpha'} \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^{\beta-\beta'} x_k(t) \cdot \partial_{\underline{x}}^{\alpha'} \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^{\beta'} \mathcal{H}_{x_k \xi_j} + \partial_{\underline{x}}^{\alpha-\alpha'} \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^{\beta-\beta'} \xi_k(t) \cdot \partial_{\underline{x}}^{\alpha'} \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^{\beta'} \mathcal{H}_{\xi_k \xi_j} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \partial_{\underline{x}}^{\alpha-\alpha'} \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^{\beta-\beta'} \theta_\ell(t) \cdot \partial_{\underline{x}}^{\alpha'} \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^{\beta'} \mathcal{H}_{\theta_\ell \xi_j} + \partial_{\underline{x}}^{\alpha-\alpha'} \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^{\beta-\beta'} \pi_\ell(t) \cdot \partial_{\underline{x}}^{\alpha'} \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^{\beta'} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_\ell \xi_j} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

with argument of $\mathcal{H}_{x_k \xi_j}$, etc, being $(x(t), \xi(t), \theta(t), \pi(t))$. On the other hand, as $\mathcal{H}_{x_k \xi_j} = 0 = \mathcal{H}_{\xi_k \xi_j}$ and body parts of $\partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \theta_\ell(t) = 0 = \partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \pi_\ell(t)$, we have,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta x_j(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}^{[0]}, \underline{\xi}^{[0]}, 0, 0) = 0 \quad \text{and therefore} \quad \pi_B \partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta (x_j - \underline{x}_j) = 0.$$

Analogously, as we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \xi_j(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}^{[0]}, \underline{\xi}^{[0]}, 0, 0) = -\varepsilon \partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \partial_{x_j} A_0(\underline{x}^{[0]})$$

we have

$$|\pi_B \partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta (\xi_j(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) - \underline{\xi}_j)| \leq \varepsilon |t - \underline{t}| \delta_{0|\beta|} \|A_0\|_{|\alpha|+1, \infty}.$$

These give (2)-(i) of Theorem 2.1.

The case $|a + b| = 1$: For notational simplicity, we denote by

$$\partial_{\underline{\pi}} \dot{\theta} = -\partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H}_\pi = -\partial_{\underline{\pi}} x \cdot \mathcal{H}_{x\pi} - \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \xi \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\xi\pi} - \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \theta \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\theta\pi} - \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \pi \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\pi\pi}, \quad \text{etc} \quad (3.29)$$

which is the abbreviation of

$$\partial_{\underline{\pi}_k} \dot{\theta}_j = -\partial_{\underline{\pi}_k} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_j} = -\partial_{\underline{\pi}_k} x_m \cdot \mathcal{H}_{x_m \pi_j} - \partial_{\underline{\pi}_k} \xi_m \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\xi_m \pi_j} - \partial_{\underline{\pi}_k} \theta_n \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\theta_n \pi_j} - \partial_{\underline{\pi}_k} \pi_n \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\pi_n \pi_j}, \quad \text{etc.}$$

From above, we have,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{J}_1^{(0|1)}(t) = \mathcal{H}^{(0|2)}(t) \mathcal{J}_1^{(0|1)}(t) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{J}_1^{(0|1)}(0) = I \quad (3.30)$$

where

$$\mathcal{J}_1^{(0|1)}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \theta & \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \theta \\ \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \pi & \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \pi \end{pmatrix} (t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}^{[0]}, \underline{\xi}^{[0]}, 0, 0), \quad \mathcal{H}^{(0|2)}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathcal{H}_{\theta\pi} & -\mathcal{H}_{\pi\pi} \\ -\mathcal{H}_{\theta\theta} & -\mathcal{H}_{\pi\theta} \end{pmatrix} (x^{[0]}(t), \xi^{[0]}(t), 0, 0).$$

More explicitly, a part of (3.30) with the argument $(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}^{[0]}, \underline{\xi}^{[0]}, 0, 0)$ abbreviated, is rewritten as

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{\underline{\theta}_k} \theta_1 \\ \partial_{\underline{\theta}_k} \pi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -i\hbar^{-1}\eta_3 & -c\hbar^{-2}(\eta_1 - i\eta_2) \\ c(\eta_1 + i\eta_2) & i\hbar^{-1}\eta_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{\underline{\theta}_k} \theta_1 \\ \partial_{\underline{\theta}_k} \pi_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.31)$$

Applying $\begin{pmatrix} \hbar & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ to both sides of (3.31) and taking the body parts, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} Z_{2, \underline{\theta}_k}^1(t) = \mathbb{X}_2^1(t) Z_{2, \underline{\theta}_k}^1(t) \quad (3.32)$$

where

$$Z_{2, \underline{\theta}_k}^1(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \hbar \partial_{\underline{\theta}_k} \theta_1 \\ \partial_{\underline{\theta}_k} \pi_2 \end{pmatrix} (t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}^{[0]}, \underline{\xi}^{[0]}, 0, 0), \quad \mathbb{X}_2^1(t) = c\hbar^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} -i\eta_3 & -\eta_1 + i\eta_2 \\ \eta_1 + i\eta_2 & i\eta_3 \end{pmatrix} (t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}^{[0]}, \underline{\xi}^{[0]}, 0, 0).$$

We prepare the following simple lemma:

Lemma 3.3. *Let H be a Hilbert space over $\mathbb{C}$ with scalar product and norm denoted by $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $\|\cdot\|$, respectively. Let $A(t) \in C([0, T] : \mathbb{B}(H))$ with $\Re(A(t)v, v) = 0$ for any $v \in H$. If $u(t) \in C^1([0, T] : H)$ satisfy*

$$\dot{u}(t) = A(t)u(t) + F(t),$$

then, we have

$$\|u(t)\|^2 = \|u(0)\|^2 + 2 \int_0^t ds \Re(F(s), u(s)).$$

Moreover, we get

$$\|u(t)\|^2 \leq e^t \|u(0)\|^2 + e^t \int_0^t ds e^{-s} \|F(s)\|^2.$$

Now, applying this lemma to (3.32) with $H = \mathbb{C}^2$, $A(t) = \mathbb{X}_2^1(t)$, $u(t) = Z_{2,\underline{\theta}_k}^1(t)$ and $F(t) = 0$, we have

$$\left| \hbar \frac{\partial \theta_1}{\partial \underline{\theta}_k}(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}^{[0]}, \underline{\xi}^{[0]}, 0, 0) \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial \pi_2}{\partial \underline{\theta}_k}(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}^{[0]}, \underline{\xi}^{[0]}, 0, 0) \right|^2 = \hbar^2 \delta_{1k} \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2. \quad (3.33)$$

Analogously, as

$$\frac{d}{dt} Z_{2,\underline{\pi}_\ell}^1(t) = \mathbb{X}_2^1(t) Z_{2,\underline{\pi}_\ell}^1(t) \quad \text{with} \quad Z_{2,\underline{\pi}_\ell}^1(t) = \left(\hbar \frac{\partial_{\pi_\ell} \theta_1}{\partial_{\pi_\ell} \pi_2} \right) (t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}^{[0]}, \underline{\xi}^{[0]}, 0, 0), \quad (3.34)$$

we have

$$\left| \hbar \frac{\partial \theta_1}{\partial \underline{\pi}_\ell}(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}^{[0]}, \underline{\xi}^{[0]}, 0, 0) \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial \pi_2}{\partial \underline{\pi}_\ell}(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}^{[0]}, \underline{\xi}^{[0]}, 0, 0) \right|^2 = \delta_{2\ell} \quad \text{for } \ell = 1, 2. \quad (3.35)$$

By the same fashion, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \hbar \frac{\partial \theta_2}{\partial \underline{\theta}_k}(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}^{[0]}, \underline{\xi}^{[0]}, 0, 0) \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial \pi_1}{\partial \underline{\theta}_k}(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}^{[0]}, \underline{\xi}^{[0]}, 0, 0) \right|^2 &= \hbar^2 \delta_{2k} \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \\ \left| \hbar \frac{\partial \theta_2}{\partial \underline{\pi}_\ell}(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}^{[0]}, \underline{\xi}^{[0]}, 0, 0) \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial \pi_1}{\partial \underline{\pi}_\ell}(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}^{[0]}, \underline{\xi}^{[0]}, 0, 0) \right|^2 &= \delta_{1\ell} \quad \text{for } \ell = 1, 2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

This gives the proof of (ii) with $|a+b| = 1$, $k = |\alpha + \beta| = 0$ (here, we abused the subscript k).

We put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_1^{(k|1)}(t) &= \left(\frac{\partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^a \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^b \theta}{\partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^a \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^b \pi} \right) (t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}^{[0]}, \underline{\xi}^{[0]}, 0, 0) \quad \text{with } |a+b| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |\alpha + \beta| = k, \\ \text{and } \mathcal{H}^{(\ell|2)}(t) &= \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \mathcal{H}_{\theta\pi} & -\partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \mathcal{H}_{\pi\pi} \\ -\partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \mathcal{H}_{\theta\theta} & -\partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \mathcal{H}_{\pi\theta} \end{pmatrix} (t, x^{[0]}(t), \xi^{[0]}(t), 0, 0) \quad \text{with } |\alpha + \beta| = \ell. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{J}_1^{(k|1)}(t) = \mathcal{H}^{(0|2)}(t) \mathcal{J}_1^{(k|1)}(t) + \mathcal{F}_1^{(k)}(t) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{J}_1^{(k|1)}(0) = 0, \quad (3.37)$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_1^{(k)}(t) = \sum_{\ell=1}^k \mathcal{H}^{(\ell|2)}(t) \mathcal{J}_1^{(k-\ell|1)}(t) = \mathcal{H}^{(k|2)}(t) \mathcal{J}_1^{(0|1)}(t) + \dots. \quad (3.38)$$

For example, when $k = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\underline{x}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \dot{\theta} &= -\partial_{\underline{x}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \theta \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\theta\pi} - \partial_{\underline{x}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \pi \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\pi\pi} - ** - \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \theta (\partial_{\underline{x}} \mathcal{H}_{\theta\pi}) - \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \pi (\partial_{\underline{x}} \mathcal{H}_{\pi\pi}) + **, \\ \partial_{\underline{x}} \mathcal{H}_{\theta\pi} &= \partial_{\underline{x}} x \cdot \mathcal{H}_{x\theta\pi} + \partial_{\underline{x}} \xi \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\xi\theta\pi} + **, \quad \partial_{\underline{x}} \mathcal{H}_{\pi\pi} = \partial_{\underline{x}} x \cdot \mathcal{H}_{x\pi\pi} + \partial_{\underline{x}} \xi \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\xi\pi\pi} + ** \end{aligned}$$

where terms $**$ represent whose body parts vanish. Therefore, a part of (3.37) is rewritten as

$$\frac{d}{dt} Z_{2,\underline{x}_j \underline{\theta}_k}^1(t) = \mathbb{X}_2^1(t) Z_{2,\underline{x}_j \underline{\theta}_k}^1(t) + \mathbb{Y}_{2,\underline{x}_j}^1(t) Z_{2,\underline{\theta}_k}^1(t) \quad (3.39)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{2,\underline{x}_j \underline{\theta}_k}^1(t) &= \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{\underline{x}_j} \partial_{\underline{\theta}_k} \theta_1 \\ \partial_{\underline{x}_j} \partial_{\underline{\theta}_k} \pi_2 \end{pmatrix} (t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}^{[0]}, \underline{\xi}^{[0]}, 0, 0), \\ \mathbb{Y}_{2,\underline{x}_j}^1(t) &= \hbar^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \hbar & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{\underline{x}_j} \mathcal{H}_{\theta_1 \pi_1} & \partial_{\underline{x}_j} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_2 \pi_1} \\ \partial_{\underline{x}_j} \mathcal{H}_{\theta_1 \theta_2} & \partial_{\underline{x}_j} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_2 \theta_2} \end{pmatrix} (t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}^{[0]}, \underline{\xi}^{[0]}, 0, 0) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \hbar \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Using above estimate, we have

$$|\mathbb{Y}_{2,\underline{x}_j}^1(t) Z_{2,\underline{\theta}_k}^1(t)| \leq \tilde{C}_1^{(1)},$$

where $\tilde{C}_1^{(1)}$ depending on $\|A\|_{1,\infty} = \sup_{j=1,2,3} \|A_j\|_{1,\infty}, \|A_0\|_{2,\infty}$ (dependence on $\varepsilon, c, \hbar, T$ won't be clearly mentioned hereafter). By Lemma 3.4, we get

$$|Z_{2,\underline{x}_j \underline{\theta}_k}^1(t)| \leq C_1^{(1)} \delta_{1k} |t - \underline{t}|^{1/2}.$$

Calculating analogously, we get

$$|\mathcal{F}_1^{(1)}(t)| \leq \tilde{C}_1^{(1)} \quad \text{and} \quad |\mathcal{J}_1^{(1|1)}(t)| \leq C_1^{(1)} |t - \underline{t}|^{1/2} \quad \text{for} \quad |t - \underline{t}| \leq 1.$$

By induction w.r.t. k , because of the first term of the rightest hand of (3.38) having the bounded body part, there exists constant $\tilde{C}_1^{(k)}$ s.t.

$$|\mathcal{F}_1^{(k)}(t)| \leq \tilde{C}_1^{(k)} \quad \text{for} \quad |t - \underline{t}| \leq 1. \quad (3.40)$$

Therefore, using Lemma 3.4, we have,

$$|\mathcal{J}_1^{(k|1)}(t)| \leq C_1^{(k)} |t - \underline{t}|^{1/2} \quad \text{for} \quad |t - \underline{t}| \leq 1, \quad k \geq 1.$$

In the above, constants $\tilde{C}_1^{(k)}$ and $C_1^{(k)}$ are independent of $(t, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta})$ (this saying will be abbreviated if it is no need to stress this).

We proved (ii) with $|a + b| = 1$.

The case $|a + b| = 2$: As before, using $\mathcal{H}_{x\xi} = 0 = \mathcal{H}_{\xi\xi}$, we get

$$\partial_{\underline{\theta}} \dot{x} = \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \theta \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\theta\xi} + \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \pi \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\pi\xi}, \quad \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \dot{x} = \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \theta \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\theta\xi} + \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \pi \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\pi\xi}. \quad (3.41)$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^2 \dot{x} &= \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^2 \theta \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\theta\xi} + \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \theta (\partial_{\underline{\theta}} \mathcal{H}_{\theta\xi}) + \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^2 \pi \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\pi\xi} + \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \pi (\partial_{\underline{\theta}} \mathcal{H}_{\pi\xi}), \\ \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^2 \dot{x} &= \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^2 \theta \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\theta\xi} + \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \theta (\partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H}_{\theta\xi}) + \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^2 \pi \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\pi\xi} + \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \pi (\partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H}_{\pi\xi}), \quad \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \dot{x} = \cdots, \\ \text{with } \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \mathcal{H}_{\theta\xi} &= \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \theta \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\theta\theta\xi} + \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \pi \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\pi\theta\xi}, \quad \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \mathcal{H}_{\pi\xi} = \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \theta \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\theta\pi\xi} + \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \pi \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\pi\pi\xi}, \quad \text{etc.} \end{aligned} \quad (3.42)$$

As we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^2 \theta \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\theta\xi} \Big|_{\underline{\theta}=\underline{\pi}=0} &= \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^2 \pi \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\pi\xi} \Big|_{\underline{\theta}=\underline{\pi}=0} = 0, \\ |\pi_B \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \theta (\partial_{\underline{\theta}} \mathcal{H}_{\theta\xi})| &\leq \tilde{C}_2^{(0)}, \quad \text{etc.}, \end{aligned}$$

using estimates already obtained, we get

$$|\pi_B \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^2 x|, |\pi_B \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \partial_{\underline{\theta}} x| \leq C_2^{(0)} |t - \underline{t}|.$$

Analogously,

$$\partial_{\underline{\theta}} \dot{\xi} = -\partial_{\underline{\theta}} x \cdot \mathcal{H}_{xx} - \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \theta \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\theta x} - \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \pi \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\pi x}, \quad \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \dot{\xi} = -\partial_{\underline{\pi}} x \cdot \mathcal{H}_{xx} - \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \theta \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\theta x} - \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \pi \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\pi x}, \quad (3.43)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^2 \dot{\xi} &= -\partial_{\underline{\theta}}^2 x \cdot \mathcal{H}_{xx} - \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \theta (\partial_{\underline{\theta}} \mathcal{H}_{\theta x}) - \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \pi (\partial_{\underline{\theta}} \mathcal{H}_{\pi x}) - \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^2 x \cdot \mathcal{H}_{xx} - \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^2 \theta \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\theta x} - \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^2 \pi \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\pi x}, \\ \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \dot{\xi} &= \cdots, \quad \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^2 \dot{\xi} = \cdots, \quad \text{etc.} \end{aligned} \quad (3.44)$$

which implies

$$|\pi_B \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^2 \xi|, |\pi_B \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \xi| \leq C_2^{(0)} |t - \underline{t}|.$$

For $k \geq 1$, putting

$$\mathcal{J}_0^{(k|2)}(t) = \left(\frac{\partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^a \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^b x}{\partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^a \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^b \xi} \right) (t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}^{[0]}, \underline{\xi}^{[0]}, 0, 0) \quad \text{with} \quad |a + b| = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad |\alpha + \beta| = k,$$

we have

$$\dot{\mathcal{J}}_0^{(k|2)}(t) = \mathcal{F}_2^{(k)}(t) \quad \text{with} \quad |\mathcal{F}_2^{(k)}(t)| \leq \tilde{C}_2^{(k)} |t - \underline{t}|^{1/2} \quad \text{when} \quad |t - \underline{t}| \leq 1,$$

which yields

$$|\mathcal{J}_0^{(k|2)}(t)| \leq C_2^{(k)} |t - \underline{t}|^{3/2} \quad \text{when} \quad |t - \underline{t}| \leq 1.$$

The case $|a + b| = 3$:

$$\mathcal{J}_1^{(k|3)}(t) = \left(\frac{\partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^a \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^b \theta}{\partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^a \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^b \pi} \right) (t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}^{[0]}, \underline{\xi}^{[0]}, 0, 0) \quad \text{with} \quad |a + b| = 3 \quad \text{and} \quad |\alpha + \beta| = k$$

satisfies

$$\dot{\mathcal{J}}_1^{(k|3)}(t) = \mathcal{H}^{(0|2)}(t) \mathcal{J}_1^{(k|3)}(t) + \mathcal{F}_3^{(k)}(t), \quad (3.45)$$

with

$$\mathcal{F}_3^{(k)}(t) = \sum_{\ell=1}^k \mathcal{H}^{(\ell|2)}(t) \mathcal{J}_1^{(k-\ell|3)}(t) = \mathcal{H}^{(k|2)}(t) \mathcal{J}_1^{(0|3)}(t) + \dots$$

For example, when $k = 0$, we have

$$\partial_{\underline{\pi}}^2 \dot{\theta} = -\partial_{\underline{\pi}}^2 x \cdot \mathcal{H}_{x\pi} + \partial_{\underline{\pi}} x (\partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H}_{x\pi}) - \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^2 \xi \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\xi\pi} + \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \xi (\partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H}_{\xi\pi}) - \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^2 \theta \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\theta\pi} - \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \theta (\partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H}_{\theta\pi}) - \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^2 \pi \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\pi\pi} - \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \pi (\partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H}_{\pi\pi}),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^2 \dot{\theta} &= -\partial_{\underline{\pi}}^2 x (\partial_{\underline{\theta}} \mathcal{H}_{x\pi}) + \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}} x (\partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H}_{x\pi}) - \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^2 \xi (\partial_{\underline{\theta}} \mathcal{H}_{\xi\pi}) + \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \xi (\partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H}_{\xi\pi}) \\ &\quad - \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^2 \theta \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\theta\pi} - \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \theta (\partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H}_{\theta\pi}) - \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^2 \pi \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\pi\pi} - \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \pi (\partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H}_{\pi\pi}) + \{**\}, \quad \text{etc,} \end{aligned}$$

where $\{**\}$ has no body part, because

$$\begin{aligned} \{**\} &= -\partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^2 x \cdot \mathcal{H}_{x\pi} - \partial_{\underline{\pi}} x (\partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H}_{x\pi}) - \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^2 \xi \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\xi\pi} - \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \xi (\partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H}_{\xi\pi}) \\ &\quad - \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^2 \theta (\partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H}_{\theta\pi}) - \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \theta (\partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H}_{\theta\pi}) - \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^2 \pi (\partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H}_{\pi\pi}) - \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \pi (\partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H}_{\pi\pi}). \end{aligned}$$

Then, the body part of a part of $\mathcal{F}_3^{(0)}(t)$ is estimated by

$$|\pi_B \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^2 x \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \mathcal{H}_{x\pi}| \leq C|t - \underline{t}|, \text{ and therefore, } |\mathcal{F}_3^{(0)}(t)| \leq \tilde{C}|t - \underline{t}|.$$

Using Lemma 3.4 and the inequality above, we have

$$|\mathcal{J}_1^{(0|3)}(t)| \leq C_3^{(0)} |t - \underline{t}|^{3/2}.$$

Moreover, when $k \geq 1$, we have

$$|\mathcal{F}_3^{(k)}(t)| \leq \tilde{C}|t - \underline{t}|^{3/2} \quad \text{and} \quad |\mathcal{J}_1^{(k|3)}(t)| \leq C_3^{(k)} |t - \underline{t}|^2.$$

The case $|a + b| = 4$: Let $k = 0$. From (3.41), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^2 \dot{x} &= \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^2 \theta \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\theta\xi} + \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \theta (\partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H}_{\theta\xi}) + \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^2 \pi \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\pi\xi} + \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \pi (\partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H}_{\pi\xi}), \\ \text{with} \quad \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H}_{\theta\xi} &= \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \theta \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\theta\theta\xi} + \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \pi \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\pi\theta\xi}, \quad \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H}_{\pi\xi} = \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \theta \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\theta\pi\xi} + \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \pi \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\pi\pi\xi}. \end{aligned}$$

Remarking that $\partial_{\underline{\theta}} \mathcal{H}_{\theta\theta\xi} = \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \mathcal{H}_{\theta\pi\xi} = \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \mathcal{H}_{\pi\pi\xi} = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^2 \dot{x} &= \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^2 \theta \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\theta\xi} - \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^2 \theta (\partial_{\underline{\theta}} \mathcal{H}_{\theta\xi}) + \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \theta (\partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H}_{\theta\xi}) + \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \theta (\partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H}_{\theta\xi}) \\ &\quad + \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^2 \pi \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\pi\xi} - \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^2 \pi (\partial_{\underline{\theta}} \mathcal{H}_{\pi\xi}) + \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \pi (\partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H}_{\pi\xi}) + \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \pi (\partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H}_{\pi\xi}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{with} \quad \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H}_{\theta\xi} = \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \theta \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\theta\theta\xi} + \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \pi \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\pi\theta\xi}, \quad \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H}_{\pi\xi} = \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \theta \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\theta\pi\xi} + \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \pi \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\pi\pi\xi}.$$

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^2 \dot{x} &= \partial_{\underline{\theta}} \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \theta (\partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H}_{\theta\xi}) + \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \theta (\partial_{\underline{\theta}}^2 \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H}_{\theta\xi}) + \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^2 \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \pi (\partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H}_{\pi\xi}) + \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \pi (\partial_{\underline{\theta}}^2 \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H}_{\pi\xi}) \\ &\quad + \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^2 \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^2 \theta \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\theta\xi} + \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^2 \theta (\partial_{\underline{\theta}}^2 \mathcal{H}_{\theta\xi}) + \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^2 \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^2 \pi \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\pi\xi} + \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^2 \pi (\partial_{\underline{\theta}}^2 \mathcal{H}_{\pi\xi}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{with} \quad \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^2 \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H}_{\theta\xi} = \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^2 \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \theta \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\theta\theta\xi} + \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^2 \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \pi \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\pi\theta\xi} + **, \quad \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^2 \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \mathcal{H}_{\pi\xi} = \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^2 \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \theta \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\theta\pi\xi} + \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^2 \partial_{\underline{\pi}} \pi \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\pi\pi\xi} + **.$$

Therefore, we get

$$|\text{body part of the right hand side above}| \leq \tilde{C}_4^{(0)} |t - \underline{t}|^{3/2} \quad \text{and} \quad |\pi_B \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^2 \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^2 x| \leq C_4^{(0)} |t - \underline{t}|^{5/2}.$$

By the same talk, we get

$$|\pi_B \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^2 \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^2 \xi| \leq C_4^{(0)} |t - \underline{t}|^{5/2}.$$

Proceeding as before, we get

$$|\pi_B \partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^2 \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^2 x|, \quad |\pi_B \partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^2 \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^2 \xi| \leq C_4^{(k)} |t - \underline{t}|^3 \quad \text{for } k = |\alpha + \beta| \geq 1.$$

Therefore, Theorem 2.1 has been proved. $\square$

3.1.3. *Inverse map: Proof of Theorem 2.2.* Supersmoothness of (2.17) is already proved.

Existence of the inverse map. For notational simplicity, we put

$$X_i(\underline{x}, \underline{\theta}) = x_i(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi}), \quad \Theta_\ell(\underline{x}, \underline{\theta}) = \theta_\ell(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi}),$$

$$Y_j(\bar{x}, \bar{\theta}) = y_j(t, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}), \quad \Omega_m(\bar{x}, \bar{\theta}) = \omega_m(t, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}),$$

and we consider $(t, \underline{t}; \underline{\xi}, \underline{\pi})$ as parameters which will not be represented explicitly.

For any fixed $(t, \underline{t}; \underline{\xi}, \underline{\pi})$ and any given $(\bar{x}, \bar{\theta})$, we want to find $(\underline{x}, \underline{\theta})$ such that

$$\bar{x}_i = X_i(\underline{x}, \underline{\theta}), \quad \bar{\theta}_\ell = \Theta_\ell(\underline{x}, \underline{\theta}).$$

Denoting this $(\underline{x}, \underline{\theta})$ as $(Y(\underline{x}, \underline{\theta}), \Omega(\underline{x}, \underline{\theta}))$, then we should have

$$\underline{x}_i = Y_i(X(\underline{x}, \underline{\theta}), \Theta(\underline{x}, \underline{\theta})), \quad \underline{\theta}_\ell = \Omega_\ell(X(\underline{x}, \underline{\theta}), \Theta(\underline{x}, \underline{\theta})). \quad (3.46)$$

By the supersmoothness proved in (1) of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_i(\underline{x}, \underline{\theta}) &= X_{i,0}(\underline{x}) + X_{i,1}(\underline{x})\underline{\theta}_1 + X_{i,2}(\underline{x})\underline{\theta}_2 + X_{i,3}(\underline{x})\underline{\theta}_1\underline{\theta}_2 = \sum_{|a| \leq 2} \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^a X_i(\underline{x}, 0) \underline{\theta}^a, \\ \Theta_k(\underline{x}, \underline{\theta}) &= \Theta_{k,0}(\underline{x}) + \Theta_{k,1}(\underline{x})\underline{\theta}_1 + \Theta_{k,2}(\underline{x})\underline{\theta}_2 + \Theta_{k,3}(\underline{x})\underline{\theta}_1\underline{\theta}_2 = \sum_{|a| \leq 2} \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^a \Theta_k(\underline{x}, 0) \underline{\theta}^a. \end{aligned}$$

We assume (and prove by construction) that we may put also

$$\begin{aligned} Y_j(\bar{x}, \bar{\theta}) &= Y_{j,0}(\bar{x}) + Y_{j,1}(\bar{x})\bar{\theta}_1 + Y_{j,2}(\bar{x})\bar{\theta}_2 + Y_{j,3}(\bar{x})\bar{\theta}_1\bar{\theta}_2 = \sum_{|b| \leq 2} \partial_{\bar{\theta}}^b Y_j(\bar{x}, 0) \bar{\theta}^b, \\ \Omega_\ell(\bar{x}, \bar{\theta}) &= \Omega_{\ell,0}(\bar{x}) + \Omega_{\ell,1}(\bar{x})\bar{\theta}_1 + \Omega_{\ell,2}(\bar{x})\bar{\theta}_2 + \Omega_{\ell,3}(\bar{x})\bar{\theta}_1\bar{\theta}_2 = \sum_{|b| \leq 2} \partial_{\bar{\theta}}^b \Omega_\ell(\bar{x}, 0) \bar{\theta}^b. \end{aligned}$$

Denoting

$$\tilde{X} = (\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2, \tilde{X}_3), \quad \tilde{X}_B = (\tilde{X}_{1,B}, \tilde{X}_{2,B}, \tilde{X}_{3,B})$$

$$\text{with } \tilde{X}_i = \tilde{X}_{i,B} + \tilde{X}_{i,S} = X_{i,0,B}(\underline{x}_B) + X_{i,0,S}(\underline{x}) = X_i(\underline{x}, 0) \in \mathfrak{R}_{\text{ev}},$$

$$\tilde{\Theta} = (\tilde{\Theta}_1, \tilde{\Theta}_2) \quad \text{with} \quad \tilde{\Theta}_\ell = \Theta_{\ell,0}(\underline{x}) = \Theta_\ell(\underline{x}, 0) \in \mathfrak{R}_{\text{od}},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y_j(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Theta}) &= Y_{j,0}(\tilde{X}) + Y_{j,1}(\tilde{X})\tilde{\Theta}_1 + Y_{j,2}(\tilde{X})\tilde{\Theta}_2 + Y_{j,3}(\tilde{X})\tilde{\Theta}_1\tilde{\Theta}_2, \\ \text{with } Y_{j,0}(\tilde{X}), Y_{j,3}(\tilde{X}) &\in \mathfrak{R}_{\text{ev}}, \quad Y_{j,1}(\tilde{X}), Y_{j,2}(\tilde{X}) \in \mathfrak{R}_{\text{od}}, \\ \Omega_\ell(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Theta}) &= \Omega_{\ell,0}(\tilde{X}) + \Omega_{\ell,1}(\tilde{X})\tilde{\Theta}_1 + \Omega_{\ell,2}(\tilde{X})\tilde{\Theta}_2 + \Omega_{\ell,3}(\tilde{X})\tilde{\Theta}_1\tilde{\Theta}_2, \\ \text{with } \Omega_{\ell,0}(\tilde{X}), \Omega_{\ell,3}(\tilde{X}) &\in \mathfrak{R}_{\text{od}}, \quad \Omega_{\ell,1}(\tilde{X}), \Omega_{\ell,2}(\tilde{X}) \in \mathfrak{R}_{\text{ev}}. \end{aligned}$$

Claim I: From the first equation of (3.46), we construct $Y_{i,*}(\tilde{X}_B)$ for each degree.

(I-0) Restricting $(\underline{x}, \underline{\theta})$ to $(\underline{x}, 0)$ in (3.46), we have

$$\underline{x}_i = Y_{i,0}(\tilde{X}) + Y_{i,1}(\tilde{X})\tilde{\Theta}_1 + Y_{i,2}(\tilde{X})\tilde{\Theta}_2 + Y_{i,3}(\tilde{X})\tilde{\Theta}_1\tilde{\Theta}_2.$$

Or more precisely, putting $Y_*^{[-1]}(\tilde{X}) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{i,0}^{[2p]}(\tilde{X}) &= \underline{x}_i^{[2p]} - \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} Y_{i,1}^{[2p-2q-1]}(\tilde{X})\tilde{\Theta}_1^{[2q+1]} - \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} Y_{i,2}^{[2p-2q-1]}(\tilde{X})\tilde{\Theta}_2^{[2q+1]} \\ &\quad - \sum_{q=0}^p Y_{i,3}^{[2p-2q]}(\tilde{X}) \sum_{r=0}^{q-1} \tilde{\Theta}_1^{[2r+1]}\tilde{\Theta}_2^{[2q-2r-1]} \quad \text{for } p = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (3.47)$$

(I-1) Differentiating (3.46) w.r.t. $\underline{\theta}_1$ or $\underline{\theta}_2$ and restricting as above, we have for each $i = 1, 2, 3$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Theta_{1,1}(\underline{x}) & \Theta_{2,1}(\underline{x}) \\ \Theta_{1,2}(\underline{x}) & \Theta_{2,2}(\underline{x}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y_{i,1}(\tilde{X}) \\ Y_{i,2}(\tilde{X}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -X_{j,1}(\underline{x})\partial_{\bar{x}_j}Y_i(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Theta}) \\ -X_{j,2}(\underline{x})\partial_{\bar{x}_j}Y_i(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Theta}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Or, we have

$$\sum_{q=0}^{p-1} \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_{1,1}^{[2q]}(\underline{x}) & \Theta_{2,1}^{[2q]}(\underline{x}) \\ \Theta_{1,2}^{[2q]}(\underline{x}) & \Theta_{2,2}^{[2q]}(\underline{x}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y_{i,1}^{[2p-2q-1]}(\tilde{X}) \\ Y_{i,2}^{[2p-2q-1]}(\tilde{X}) \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} \begin{pmatrix} -X_{j,1}^{[2p-2q-1]}(\underline{x})\partial_{\bar{x}_j}Y_i^{[2q]}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Theta}) \\ -X_{j,2}^{[2p-2q-1]}(\underline{x})\partial_{\bar{x}_j}Y_i^{[2q]}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Theta}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.48)$$

(I-2) Differentiating (3.46) w.r.t. $\underline{\theta}_1$ and $\underline{\theta}_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -[\Theta_{1,1}(\underline{x})\Theta_{2,2}(\underline{x}) - \Theta_{2,1}(\underline{x})\Theta_{1,2}(\underline{x})]Y_{i,3}(\tilde{X}) &= X_{j,3}(\underline{x})\partial_{\bar{x}_j}Y_i(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Theta}) - X_{j,1}(\underline{x})X_{k,2}(\underline{x})\partial_{\bar{x}_k\bar{x}_j}^2Y_i(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Theta}) \\ &\quad + [-X_{j,1}(\underline{x})\Theta_{1,2}(\underline{x}) + \Theta_{1,1}(\underline{x})X_{j,2}(\underline{x})]\partial_{\bar{x}_j}Y_{i,1}(\tilde{X}) \\ &\quad + [-X_{j,1}(\underline{x})\Theta_{2,2}(\underline{x}) + \Theta_{2,1}(\underline{x})X_{j,2}(\underline{x})]\partial_{\bar{x}_j}Y_{i,2}(\tilde{X}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & - \sum_{q=0}^p \sum_{r=0}^q [\Theta_{1,1}^{[2q-2r]}(\underline{x})\Theta_{2,2}^{[2r]}(\underline{x}) - \Theta_{2,1}^{[2q-2r]}(\underline{x})\Theta_{1,2}^{[2r]}(\underline{x})]Y_{i,3}^{[2p-2q]}(\tilde{X}) \\ &= \sum_{q=0}^p X_{j,3}^{[2q]}(\underline{x})\partial_{\bar{x}_j}Y_i^{[2p-2q]}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Theta}) - \sum_{q=0}^p \sum_{r=0}^{q-1} X_{j,1}^{[2q-2r-1]}(\underline{x})X_{k,2}^{[2r+1]}(\underline{x})\partial_{\bar{x}_k\bar{x}_j}^2Y_i^{[2p-2q]}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Theta}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} \sum_{r=0}^q [-X_{j,1}^{[2q-2r+1]}(\underline{x})\Theta_{1,2}^{[2r]}(\underline{x}) + \Theta_{1,1}^{[2r]}(\underline{x})X_{j,2}^{[2q-2r+1]}(\underline{x})]\partial_{\bar{x}_j}Y_{i,1}^{[2p-2q-1]}(\tilde{X}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} \sum_{r=0}^q [-X_{j,1}^{[2q-2r+1]}(\underline{x})\Theta_{2,2}^{[2r]}(\underline{x}) + \Theta_{2,1}^{[2r]}(\underline{x})X_{j,2}^{[2q-2r+1]}(\underline{x})]\partial_{\bar{x}_j}Y_{i,2}^{[2p-2q-1]}(\tilde{X}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.49)$$

(1) For any fixed $\bar{x}_B$, we consider the map

$$\underline{x}_B \rightarrow F(\underline{x}_B) = \bar{x}_B + \underline{x}_B - X_B(\underline{x}_B),$$

which satisfies

$$F(\underline{x}_B) - F(\underline{x}'_B) = (\underline{x}_B - \underline{x}'_B) \int_0^1 d\tau \left(I - \frac{\partial X_B}{\partial \underline{x}}(\tau \underline{x}_B + (1-\tau)\underline{x}'_B) \right).$$

As $\partial_{\underline{x}_j}X_{i,0}(\underline{x}) - \delta_{ij} = 0$, $F(\underline{x}_B)$ is the contraction map, therefore, there exists a unique $\underline{x}_B$ such that

$$\bar{x}_B = X_B(\underline{x}_B).$$

We denote this as $\underline{x}_B = Y_B(\bar{x}_B)$, that is, $Y^{[0]}(\tilde{X}_B)$, and therefore, $Y^{[0]}(\tilde{X})$ is defined, which satisfies (3.47) with $p = 0$.

(2) From (3.48) with $p = 1$, we have, for each $i = 1, 2, 3$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Theta_{1,1}^{[0]}(\underline{x}) & \Theta_{2,1}^{[0]}(\underline{x}) \\ \Theta_{1,2}^{[0]}(\underline{x}) & \Theta_{2,2}^{[0]}(\underline{x}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y_{i,1}^{[1]}(\tilde{X}) \\ Y_{i,2}^{[1]}(\tilde{X}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -X_{j,1}^{[1]}(\underline{x})\partial_{\bar{x}_j}Y_i^{[0]}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Theta}) \\ -X_{j,2}^{[1]}(\underline{x})\partial_{\bar{x}_j}Y_i^{[0]}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Theta}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.50)$$

As $\partial_{\bar{x}_j}Y_i^{[0]}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Theta}) = \partial_{\bar{x}_j}Y_{i,0}^{[0]}(\tilde{X}_B)$, the right-hand side above is given by the step (1) above. On the other hand, when $|t - \underline{t}| < \delta$, $t, \underline{t} \in [-T, T]$, for any $(\underline{x}, \underline{\xi})$, we have

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_{1,1}^{[0]}(\underline{x}_B) & \Theta_{2,1}^{[0]}(\underline{x}_B) \\ \Theta_{1,2}^{[0]}(\underline{x}_B) & \Theta_{2,2}^{[0]}(\underline{x}_B) \end{pmatrix} \neq 0 \quad \text{because} \quad \Theta_{k,j}(\underline{x}) = \partial_{\underline{\theta}_j}\theta_k(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, 0, 0).$$

Solving (3.50), we get the degree 1 part of $Y_{i,*}^{[1]}(\tilde{X}_B)$, that is, $Y_{i,*}^{[1]}(\tilde{X})$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, $* = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

(3) Putting $p = 0$ in (3.49), we have

$$-[\Theta_{1,1}^{[0]}(\underline{x})\Theta_{2,2}^{[0]}(\underline{x}) - \Theta_{2,1}^{[0]}(\underline{x})\Theta_{1,2}^{[0]}(\underline{x})]Y_{i,3}^{[0]}(\tilde{X}) = X_{j,3}^{[0]}(\underline{x})\partial_{\bar{x}_j}Y_{i,0}^{[0]}(\tilde{X}).$$

Therefore, we get $Y_{i,3}^{[0]}(\tilde{X})$.

Returning back to (1) with $p = 1$ in (3.47) and then (2) with $p = 2$ in (3.48) and lastly (3) with $p = 2$ in (3.49). This process determines $Y_{i,0}^{[2]}(\tilde{X})$, $Y_{i,1}^{[3]}(\tilde{X})$, $Y_{i,2}^{[3]}(\tilde{X})$ and $Y_{i,3}^{[2]}(\tilde{X})$. Proceeding recursively, we determine $Y_{i,*}(\tilde{X})$.

Claim II: Analogously as above, we determine $\Omega_{\ell,*}(\tilde{X})$ as follows.

(II-0) Restricting $(\underline{x}, \underline{\theta})$ to $(\underline{x}, 0)$ in the second equation of (3.46), we have

$$0 = \Omega_{\ell,0}(\tilde{X}) + \Omega_{\ell,1}(\tilde{X})\tilde{\Theta}_1 + \Omega_{\ell,2}(\tilde{X})\tilde{\Theta}_2 + \Omega_{\ell,3}(\tilde{X})\tilde{\Theta}_1\tilde{\Theta}_2.$$

In other word, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\ell,0}^{[2p+1]}(\tilde{X}) = & - \sum_{q=0}^p \Omega_{\ell,1}^{[2q]}(\tilde{X})\tilde{\Theta}_1^{[2p-2q+1]} - \sum_{q=0}^p \Omega_{\ell,2}^{[2q]}(\tilde{X})\tilde{\Theta}_2^{[2p-2q+1]} \\ & - \sum_{q=0}^p \Omega_{\ell,3}^{[2p-2q+1]}(\tilde{X}) \sum_{r=0}^q \tilde{\Theta}_1^{[2q-2r-1]}\tilde{\Theta}_2^{[2r+1]}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.51)$$

(II-1) Differentiating (3.46) w.r.t. $\underline{\theta}_1$, we have,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Theta_{1,1}(\underline{x}) & \Theta_{2,1}(\underline{x}) \\ \Theta_{1,2}(\underline{x}) & \Theta_{2,2}(\underline{x}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_{1,1}(\tilde{X}) \\ \Omega_{1,2}(\tilde{X}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - X_{j,1}(\underline{x})\partial_{\bar{x}_j}\Omega_1(\tilde{X}) \\ -X_{j,2}(\underline{x})\partial_{\bar{x}_j}\Omega_1(\tilde{X}) \end{pmatrix},$$

that is,

$$\sum_{q=0}^p \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_{1,1}^{[2q]}(\underline{x}) & \Theta_{2,1}^{[2q]}(\underline{x}) \\ \Theta_{1,2}^{[2q]}(\underline{x}) & \Theta_{2,2}^{[2q]}(\underline{x}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_{1,1}^{[2p-2q]}(\tilde{X}) \\ \Omega_{1,2}^{[2p-2q]}(\tilde{X}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1^{[2p]} - \sum_{q=0}^p X_{j,1}^{[2q-1]}(\underline{x})\partial_{\bar{x}_j}\Omega_1^{[2p-2q+1]}(\tilde{X}) \\ -\sum_{q=0}^p X_{j,2}^{[2q-1]}(\underline{x})\partial_{\bar{x}_j}\Omega_1^{[2p-2q+1]}(\tilde{X}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.52)$$

Analogously, differentiating (3.46) w.r.t. $\underline{\theta}_2$,

$$\sum_{q=0}^p \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_{1,1}^{[2q]}(\underline{x}) & \Theta_{2,1}^{[2q]}(\underline{x}) \\ \Theta_{1,2}^{[2q]}(\underline{x}) & \Theta_{2,2}^{[2q]}(\underline{x}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_{2,1}^{[2p-2q]}(\tilde{X}) \\ \Omega_{2,2}^{[2p-2q]}(\tilde{X}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sum_{q=0}^p X_{j,1}^{[2q-1]}(\underline{x})\partial_{\bar{x}_j}\Omega_2^{[2p-2q+1]}(\tilde{X}) \\ 1^{[2p]} - \sum_{q=0}^p X_{j,2}^{[2q-1]}(\underline{x})\partial_{\bar{x}_j}\Omega_2^{[2p-2q+1]}(\tilde{X}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.53)$$

(II-2) Differentiating (3.46) w.r.t. $\underline{\theta}_1$ and $\underline{\theta}_2$, we have, for $\ell = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & X_{i,3}(\underline{x})\partial_{\bar{x}_i}\Omega_\ell(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Theta}) - X_{i,1}(\underline{x})X_{j,2}(\underline{x})\partial_{\bar{x}_j\bar{x}_i}^2\Omega_\ell(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Theta}) \\ & + \Theta_{1,3}(\underline{x})\Omega_{\ell,1}(\tilde{X}) + \Theta_{2,3}(\underline{x})\Omega_{\ell,2}(\tilde{X}) + [-X_{i,1}(\underline{x})\Theta_{1,2}(\underline{x}) + \Theta_{1,1}(\underline{x})X_{i,2}(\underline{x})]\Omega_{\ell,1}(\tilde{X}) \\ & + [-X_{i,1}(\underline{x})\Theta_{2,2}(\underline{x}) + \Theta_{2,1}(\underline{x})X_{i,2}(\underline{x})]\Omega_{\ell,2}(\tilde{X}) + [\Theta_{1,1}(\underline{x})\Theta_{2,2}(\underline{x}) - \Theta_{2,1}(\underline{x})\Theta_{1,2}(\underline{x})]\Omega_{\ell,3}(\tilde{X}). \end{aligned}$$

Rewriting above, we have, for each $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned} & - \sum_{q=0}^p \sum_{r=0}^q [\Theta_{1,1}^{[2q-2r]}(\underline{x})\Theta_{2,2}^{[2r]}(\underline{x}) - \Theta_{2,1}^{[2q-2r]}(\underline{x})\Theta_{1,2}^{[2r]}(\underline{x})]\Omega_{\ell,3}^{[2p-2q+1]}(\tilde{X}) \\ & = \sum_{q=0}^p X_{i,3}^{[2q]}(\underline{x})\partial_{\bar{x}_i}\Omega_\ell^{[2p-2q+1]}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Theta}) \\ & - \sum_{q=0}^p \sum_{r=0}^q X_{i,1}^{[2r+1]}(\underline{x})X_{j,2}^{[2q-2r-1]}(\underline{x})\partial_{\bar{x}_j\bar{x}_i}^2\Omega_\ell^{[2p-2q+1]}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Theta}) \\ & + \sum_{q=0}^p \Theta_{1,3}^{[2q]}(\underline{x})\Omega_{\ell,1}^{[2p-2q+1]}(\tilde{X}) + \sum_{q=0}^p \Theta_{2,3}^{[2q]}(\underline{x})\Omega_{\ell,2}^{[2p-2q+1]}(\tilde{X}) \\ & + \sum_{q=0}^p \sum_{r=0}^q [-X_{i,1}^{[2r+1]}(\underline{x})\Theta_{1,2}^{[2q-2r]}(\underline{x}) + \Theta_{1,1}^{[2q-2r]}(\underline{x})X_{i,2}^{[2r+1]}(\underline{x})]\Omega_{\ell,1}^{[2p-2q+1]}(\tilde{X}) \\ & + \sum_{q=0}^p \sum_{r=0}^q [-X_{i,1}^{[2r+1]}(\underline{x})\Theta_{2,2}^{[2q-2r]}(\underline{x}) + \Theta_{2,1}^{[2q-2r]}(\underline{x})X_{i,2}^{[2r+1]}(\underline{x})]\Omega_{\ell,2}^{[2p-2q+1]}(\tilde{X}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.54)$$

By the same procedure which we employed to determine $Y_{i,*}(\tilde{X})$, we may define $\Omega_{\ell,*}(\tilde{X})$.

Therefore, there exist a constant $\delta > 0$ and functions $y(t, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi})$, $\omega(t, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi})$ such that for $|t - \underline{t}| < \delta$

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{x} &= y(t, \underline{t}; x(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi}), \underline{\xi}, \theta(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi}), \underline{\pi}), \\ \underline{\theta} &= \omega(t, \underline{t}; x(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi}), \underline{\xi}, \theta(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi}), \underline{\pi}),\end{aligned}\tag{3.55}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{x} &= x(t, \underline{t}; y(t, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}), \underline{\xi}, \omega(t, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}), \underline{\pi}), \\ \bar{\theta} &= \theta(t, \underline{t}; y(t, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}), \underline{\xi}, \omega(t, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}), \underline{\pi}).\end{aligned}\tag{3.56}$$

Estimates of the inverse mapping: When $|a + b| = 0$, differentiating once the first equation of (3.56) w.r.t. $\bar{x}$ or $\underline{\xi}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{jk} &= \frac{\partial \bar{x}_j}{\partial \bar{x}_k} = \frac{\partial y_\ell}{\partial \bar{x}_k} \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \underline{x}_\ell} + \frac{\partial \omega_m}{\partial \bar{x}_k} \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \underline{\theta}_m}, \\ 0 &= \frac{\partial \bar{x}_j}{\partial \underline{\xi}_k} = \frac{\partial y_\ell}{\partial \underline{\xi}_k} \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \underline{x}_\ell} + \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \underline{\xi}_k} + \frac{\partial \omega_m}{\partial \underline{\xi}_k} \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \underline{\theta}_m}.\end{aligned}$$

Taking the body part and remarking (2.12), we get

$$|\pi_B \partial_{\bar{x}}(y(t, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) - \bar{x})| = 0 \quad \text{if } |t - \underline{t}| \leq \delta.$$

Here, we used the fact

$$\pi_B \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \underline{x}_\ell} = \pi_B \left(\frac{\partial(x_j - \underline{x}_j)}{\partial \underline{x}_\ell} + \frac{\partial \underline{x}_j}{\partial \underline{x}_\ell} \right) = \delta_{j\ell} \quad \text{if } |t - \underline{t}| \leq \delta,\tag{3.57}$$

which follows from (2.12). Analogously, we have

$$|\pi_B \partial_{\underline{\xi}}(y(t, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) - \bar{x})| = 0 \quad \text{if } |t - \underline{t}| \leq \delta.$$

By the same procedure, we have

$$|\pi_B \partial_{\bar{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta (y(t, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) - \bar{x})| = 0 \quad \text{if } k = |\alpha + \beta| \geq 2 \quad \text{and } |t - \underline{t}| \leq \delta.$$

The case when $|a + b| = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{\ell m} &= \frac{\partial \bar{\theta}_\ell}{\partial \bar{\theta}_m} = \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial \bar{\theta}_m} \frac{\partial \theta_\ell}{\partial \underline{x}_j} + \frac{\partial \omega_k}{\partial \bar{\theta}_m} \frac{\partial \theta_\ell}{\partial \underline{\theta}_k}, \\ 0 &= \frac{\partial \bar{\theta}_\ell}{\partial \underline{\pi}_m} = \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial \underline{\pi}_m} \frac{\partial \theta_\ell}{\partial \underline{x}_j} + \frac{\partial \omega_k}{\partial \underline{\pi}_m} \frac{\partial \theta_\ell}{\partial \underline{\theta}_k} + \frac{\partial \theta_\ell}{\partial \underline{\pi}_m}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.58}$$

Taking the body part and using (2.13), we get

$$I = (\delta_{\ell m}) = XY, \quad X = \left(\pi_B \frac{\partial \omega_k}{\partial \bar{\theta}_m} \right), \quad Y = \left(\pi_B \frac{\partial \theta_\ell}{\partial \underline{\theta}_k} \right).$$

Since

$$\left| \pi_B \frac{\partial(\theta_\ell - \underline{\theta}_\ell)}{\partial \underline{\theta}_k} \right| \leq C_1^{(1)} |t - \underline{t}|^{1/2}, \quad \pi_B \frac{\partial \theta_\ell}{\partial \underline{\theta}_k} = \delta_{k\ell},\tag{3.59}$$

if we take $|t - \underline{t}| \leq \delta \leq (4C_1^{(1)})^{-1}$, then we have, as operators

$$|X| = |Y^{-1}| \leq 2 \quad \text{if } |t - \underline{t}| \leq \delta.$$

Therefore, using $(X - I)Y = I - Y$, we have, as operators

$$|X - I| \leq |I - Y| |Y^{-1}| \leq 2C |t - \underline{t}|^{1/2},$$

that is,

$$|\pi_B \partial_{\bar{\theta}}(\omega_k(t, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) - \bar{\theta}_k)| \leq \tilde{C}_1^{(0)} |t - \underline{t}|^{1/2}.$$

From the second equality of (3.58) combined with (2.13),

$$|\pi_B \partial_{\underline{\pi}}(\omega_k(t, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) - \bar{\theta}_k)| \leq \tilde{C}_1^{(0)} |t - \underline{t}|^{1/2}.$$

Analogously, we get, when $|a + b| = 1$,

$$|\pi_B \partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^a \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^b (\omega_k(t, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) - \bar{\theta}_k)| \leq \tilde{C}_1^{(k)} |t - \underline{t}|^{(1/2)(1-(1-k)_+)} \quad \text{if } k = |\alpha + \beta|, \quad |t - \underline{t}| \leq \delta.$$

Proceeding analogously as we did in proving Theorem 2.1, we have the desired results for $|a + b| \geq 2$, which are abbreviated here. The second inequality in (2.19) is given in the next subsection. $\square$

Analogously, we have

Proposition 3.4. (i) For any fixed $(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\theta})$, $|t - \underline{t}| < \delta$, the mapping

$$\mathfrak{R}^{3|2} \ni (\underline{\xi}, \underline{\pi}) \mapsto (\xi = \xi(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi}), \pi = \pi(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi})) \in \mathfrak{R}^{3|2} \quad (3.60)$$

is supersmooth. The inverse mapping defined by

$$\mathfrak{R}^{3|2} \ni (\bar{\xi}, \bar{\pi}) \mapsto (\eta = \eta(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \bar{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \bar{\pi}), \rho = \rho(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \bar{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \bar{\pi})) \in \mathfrak{R}^{3|2}, \quad (3.61)$$

is supersmooth in $(\underline{x}, \bar{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \bar{\pi})$ for fixed $(t, \underline{t})$.

(ii) Let $|a + b| = 0$. We have

$$\begin{cases} |\pi_B \partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta (\eta(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \bar{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \bar{\pi}) - \bar{\xi})| = 0, \\ |\eta^{[0]}(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}^{[0]}, \bar{\xi}^{[0]}) - \bar{\xi}^{[0]}| \leq C_2 |t - \underline{t}| (1 + |\underline{x}^{[0]}| + |\bar{\xi}^{[0]}|). \end{cases} \quad (3.62)$$

(iii) Let $|a + b| = 1$. For $k = |\alpha + \beta|$, there exists a constant $\tilde{C}_1^{(k)}$ such that

$$|\pi_B \partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^a \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^b (\rho(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \bar{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \bar{\pi}) - \bar{\pi})| \leq \tilde{C}_1^{(k)} |t - \underline{t}|^{(1/2)(1-(1-k)_+)}. \quad (3.63)$$

(iv) Let $|a + b| = 2$. For $k = |\alpha + \beta|$, there exists a constant $\tilde{C}_2^{(k)}$ such that

$$|\pi_B \partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^a \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^b (\eta(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \bar{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \bar{\pi}) - \bar{\xi})| \leq \tilde{C}_2^{(k)} |t - \underline{t}|^{1+(1/2)(1-(1-k)_+)}. \quad (3.64)$$

(v) Let $|a + b| = 3$. For $k = |\alpha + \beta|$, there exists a constant $\tilde{C}_3^{(k)}$ such that

$$|\pi_B \partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^a \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^b (\rho(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \bar{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \bar{\pi}) - \bar{\pi})| \leq \tilde{C}_3^{(k)} |t - \underline{t}|^{(3/2)+(1/2)(1-(1-k)_+)}. \quad (3.65)$$

(vi) Let $|a + b| = 4$. For $k = |\alpha + \beta|$, there exists a constant $\tilde{C}_4^{(k)}$ such that

$$|\pi_B \partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta \partial_{\underline{\theta}}^a \partial_{\underline{\pi}}^b (\eta(t, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \bar{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \bar{\pi}) - \bar{\xi})| \leq \tilde{C}_4^{(k)} |t - \underline{t}|^{(5/2)+(1/2)(1-(1-k)_+)}. \quad (3.66)$$

3.1.4. Time reversing. As the Hamilton equation (2.6)_{ev} and (2.6)_{od} may be solved backward in time, we denote, for $\underline{t} \leq t \leq \bar{t}$, that $x(t, \bar{t}; \bar{x}, \bar{\theta}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\pi})$, $\xi(t, \bar{t}; \bar{x}, \bar{\theta}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\pi})$, $\theta(t, \bar{t}; \bar{x}, \bar{\theta}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\pi})$, $\pi(t, \bar{t}; \bar{x}, \bar{\theta}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\pi})$ are solutions at time t of (2.6)_{ev} and (2.6)_{od} with the initial time $t = \bar{t}$ and the initial data $(\bar{x}, \bar{\theta}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\pi})$.

Proceeding as in previous sections, we have the following:

$$\begin{cases} \underline{x} = x(\underline{t}, \bar{t}; \bar{x}, \bar{\theta}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\pi}), \quad \bar{x} = x(\bar{t}, \bar{t}; \bar{x}, \bar{\theta}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\pi}), \\ \underline{\theta} = \theta(\underline{t}, \bar{t}; \bar{x}, \bar{\theta}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\pi}), \quad \bar{\theta} = \theta(\bar{t}, \bar{t}; \bar{x}, \bar{\theta}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\pi}), \\ \underline{\xi} = \xi(\underline{t}, \bar{t}; \bar{x}, \bar{\theta}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\pi}), \quad \bar{\xi} = \xi(\bar{t}, \bar{t}; \bar{x}, \bar{\theta}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\pi}), \\ \underline{\pi} = \pi(\underline{t}, \bar{t}; \bar{x}, \bar{\theta}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\pi}), \quad \bar{\pi} = \pi(\bar{t}, \bar{t}; \bar{x}, \bar{\theta}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\pi}) \end{cases} \quad (3.67)$$

For the inverse mappings, we have, if $|\bar{t} - \underline{t}| < \delta$,

$$\begin{cases} \bar{x} = y(\underline{t}, \bar{t}; \underline{x}, \bar{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \bar{\pi}), \quad \underline{x} = y(\bar{t}, \bar{t}; \underline{x}, \bar{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \bar{\pi}), \\ \bar{\theta} = \omega(\underline{t}, \bar{t}; \underline{x}, \bar{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \bar{\pi}), \quad \underline{\theta} = \omega(\bar{t}, \bar{t}; \underline{x}, \bar{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \bar{\pi}), \\ \bar{\xi} = \eta(\underline{t}, \bar{t}; \underline{x}, \bar{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \bar{\pi}), \quad \underline{\xi} = \eta(\bar{t}, \bar{t}; \underline{x}, \bar{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \bar{\pi}), \\ \bar{\pi} = \rho(\underline{t}, \bar{t}; \underline{x}, \bar{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \bar{\pi}), \quad \underline{\pi} = \rho(\bar{t}, \bar{t}; \underline{x}, \bar{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \bar{\pi}). \end{cases} \quad (3.68)$$

Therefore, we get for $|\bar{t} - \underline{t}| < \delta$,

$$\begin{cases} y(\underline{t}, \bar{t}; \underline{x}, \bar{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \bar{\pi}) = x(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \eta(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \bar{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \bar{\pi}), \underline{\theta}, \rho(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \bar{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \bar{\pi})), \\ \omega(\underline{t}, \bar{t}; \underline{x}, \bar{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \bar{\pi}) = \theta(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \eta(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \bar{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \bar{\pi}), \underline{\theta}, \rho(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \bar{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \bar{\pi})), \\ \eta(\underline{t}, \bar{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) = \xi(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; y(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}), \underline{\xi}, \omega(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}), \underline{\pi}), \\ \rho(\underline{t}, \bar{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) = \pi(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; y(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}), \underline{\xi}, \omega(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}), \underline{\pi}). \end{cases} \quad (3.69)$$

Proof of Theorem 2.2 continued. The second inequality in (2.19) is proved using

$$\begin{aligned} y(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, 0, 0) - \bar{x} &= \int_0^1 dr \, \underline{\xi} \cdot \partial_{\underline{\xi}} y(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, r \underline{\xi}, 0, 0) \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 dr \, \bar{x} \cdot \partial_{\bar{x}} (y(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; r \bar{x}, 0, 0, 0) - \bar{x}) + y(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; 0, 0, 0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

In fact, from above and the first inequality in (2.19), we have

$$|y^{[0]}(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; \bar{x}^{[0]}, \underline{\xi}^{[0]}) - \bar{x}^{[0]}| \leq C|\bar{t} - \underline{t}|(|\bar{x}^{[0]}| + |\underline{\xi}^{[0]}|) + |y^{[0]}(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; 0, 0)|.$$

Replacing $(\bar{t}, \underline{t})$ in the first equality of (3.69) by $(\underline{t}, \bar{t})$ and using (2.14), we have

$$|y^{[0]}(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; 0, 0)| = |x(\underline{t}, \bar{t}; 0, \eta^{[0]}(\underline{t}, \bar{t}; 0, 0), 0, 0)| \leq C|\bar{t} - \underline{t}|,$$

since $\eta^{[0]}(\underline{t}, \bar{t}; 0, 0)$ is continuous in $\underline{t}, \bar{t}$. Combining these, we get the desired inequality. $\square$

3.2. Action integral. We prepare the following lemma in a slightly general situation:

Lemma 3.5. *Let $(x(\underline{x}, \underline{\theta}), \theta(\underline{x}, \underline{\theta}), u(\underline{x}, \underline{\theta}), \xi(\underline{x}, \underline{\theta}), \pi(\underline{x}, \underline{\theta}))$ be supersmooth functions of $(\underline{x}, \underline{\theta}) \in \mathfrak{R}^{m|n}$ satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \underline{x}_j} = \sum_{a=1}^m \frac{\partial x_a}{\partial \underline{x}_j} \xi_a(\underline{x}, \underline{\theta}) + \sum_{b=1}^n \frac{\partial \theta_b}{\partial \underline{x}_j} \pi_b(\underline{x}, \underline{\theta}) & \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \underline{\theta}_\ell} = \sum_{a=1}^m \frac{\partial x_a}{\partial \underline{\theta}_\ell} \xi_a(\underline{x}, \underline{\theta}) + \sum_{b=1}^n \frac{\partial \theta_b}{\partial \underline{\theta}_\ell} \pi_b(\underline{x}, \underline{\theta}) & \text{for } \ell = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$

Assuming that

$$\text{sdet} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial x_a}{\partial \underline{x}_j} & \frac{\partial x_a}{\partial \underline{\theta}_\ell} \\ \frac{\partial \theta_b}{\partial \underline{x}_j} & \frac{\partial \theta_b}{\partial \underline{\theta}_\ell} \end{pmatrix} (\underline{x}_B, 0) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{x} = x(\underline{x}, \underline{\theta}), \quad \bar{\theta} = \theta(\underline{x}, \underline{\theta}),$$

we have:

(i) *There exist inverse functions $y(\bar{x}, \bar{\theta}), \omega(\bar{x}, \bar{\theta})$ such that*

$$x(y(\bar{x}, \bar{\theta}), \omega(\bar{x}, \bar{\theta})) = \bar{x}, \quad \theta(y(\bar{x}, \bar{\theta}), \omega(\bar{x}, \bar{\theta})) = \bar{\theta}.$$

(ii) *Moreover, putting $w(\bar{x}, \bar{\theta}) = u(y(\bar{x}, \bar{\theta}), \omega(\bar{x}, \bar{\theta}))$, we have, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, n$,*

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial \bar{x}_j} = \xi_j(y(\bar{x}, \bar{\theta}), \omega(\bar{x}, \bar{\theta})), \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial \bar{\theta}_\ell} = \pi_\ell(y(\bar{x}, \bar{\theta}), \omega(\bar{x}, \bar{\theta})). \quad (3.70)$$

Proof. By the inverse function theorem, we get (i). From this, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{ak} &= \frac{\partial \bar{x}_a}{\partial \bar{x}_k} = \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial \bar{x}_k} \frac{\partial x_a}{\partial \underline{x}_j} + \frac{\partial \omega_\ell}{\partial \bar{x}_k} \frac{\partial x_a}{\partial \underline{\theta}_\ell}, \quad 0 = \frac{\partial \bar{x}_a}{\partial \bar{\theta}_m} = \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial \bar{\theta}_m} \frac{\partial x_a}{\partial \underline{x}_j} + \frac{\partial \omega_\ell}{\partial \bar{\theta}_m} \frac{\partial x_a}{\partial \underline{\theta}_\ell}, \\ 0 &= \frac{\partial \bar{\theta}_b}{\partial \bar{x}_k} = \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial \bar{x}_k} \frac{\partial \theta_b}{\partial \underline{x}_j} + \frac{\partial \omega_\ell}{\partial \bar{x}_k} \frac{\partial \theta_b}{\partial \underline{\theta}_\ell}, \quad \delta_{bm} = \frac{\partial \bar{\theta}_b}{\partial \bar{\theta}_m} = \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial \bar{\theta}_m} \frac{\partial \theta_b}{\partial \underline{x}_j} + \frac{\partial \omega_\ell}{\partial \bar{\theta}_m} \frac{\partial \theta_b}{\partial \underline{\theta}_\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

Using these, we get readily that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial w}{\partial \bar{x}_k} &= \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial \bar{x}_k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \underline{x}_j} + \frac{\partial \omega_\ell}{\partial \bar{x}_k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \underline{\theta}_\ell} \\
&= \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial \bar{x}_k} \left(\frac{\partial x_a}{\partial \underline{x}_j} \xi_a(\underline{x}, \underline{\theta}) + \frac{\partial \theta_b}{\partial \underline{x}_j} \pi_b(\underline{x}, \underline{\theta}) \right) + \frac{\partial \omega_\ell}{\partial \bar{x}_k} \left(\frac{\partial x_a}{\partial \underline{\theta}_\ell} \xi_a(\underline{x}, \underline{\theta}) + \frac{\partial \theta_b}{\partial \underline{\theta}_\ell} \pi_b(\underline{x}, \underline{\theta}) \right) \Bigg|_{\substack{\underline{x}=y(\bar{x}, \bar{\theta}), \\ \underline{\theta}=\omega(\bar{x}, \bar{\theta})}} \\
&= \left(\frac{\partial y_j}{\partial \bar{x}_k} \frac{\partial x_a}{\partial \underline{x}_j} + \frac{\partial \omega_\ell}{\partial \bar{x}_k} \frac{\partial x_a}{\partial \underline{\theta}_\ell} \right) \xi_a(\underline{x}, \underline{\theta}) + \left(\frac{\partial y_j}{\partial \bar{x}_k} \frac{\partial \theta_b}{\partial \underline{x}_j} + \frac{\partial \omega_\ell}{\partial \bar{x}_k} \frac{\partial \theta_b}{\partial \underline{\theta}_\ell} \right) \pi_b(\underline{x}, \underline{\theta}) \Bigg|_{\substack{\underline{x}=y(\bar{x}, \bar{\theta}), \\ \underline{\theta}=\omega(\bar{x}, \bar{\theta})}} \\
&= \xi_k(y(\bar{x}, \bar{\theta}), \omega(\bar{x}, \bar{\theta})).
\end{aligned}$$

Analogously, we get the second equality in (ii). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.3. For fixed $(\underline{\xi}, \underline{\pi})$, we put

$$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) = \langle \underline{x} | \underline{\xi} \rangle + \langle \underline{\theta} | \underline{\pi} \rangle + \mathcal{S}_0(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi}).$$

Then, we have, using integration by parts w.r.t. s in (2.25),

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{S}}}{\partial \underline{x}_j} &= \underline{\xi}_j + \int_{\underline{t}}^{\bar{t}} ds \left[\frac{\partial \dot{x}_k}{\partial \underline{x}_j} \xi_k + \dot{x}_k \frac{\partial \xi_k}{\partial \underline{x}_j} + \frac{\partial \dot{\theta}_m}{\partial \underline{x}_j} \pi_m + \dot{\theta}_m \frac{\partial \pi_m}{\partial \underline{x}_j} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \left(\frac{\partial x_k}{\partial \underline{x}_j} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial x_k} + \frac{\partial \xi_k}{\partial \underline{x}_j} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \xi_k} + \frac{\partial \theta_m}{\partial \underline{x}_j} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \theta_m} + \frac{\partial \pi_m}{\partial \underline{x}_j} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \pi_m} \right) \right] \\
&= \underline{\xi}_j + \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial \underline{x}_j} \xi_k \Big|_{\underline{t}}^{\bar{t}} + \frac{\partial \theta_m}{\partial \underline{x}_j} \pi_m \Big|_{\underline{t}}^{\bar{t}} = \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial \underline{x}_j} \xi_k + \frac{\partial \theta_m}{\partial \underline{x}_j} \pi_m.
\end{aligned}$$

Analogously, we get

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{S}}}{\partial \underline{\theta}_\ell} = \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial \underline{\theta}_\ell} \xi_k + \frac{\partial \theta_m}{\partial \underline{\theta}_\ell} \pi_m.$$

As we have already proved that if $|\bar{t} - \underline{t}| \leq \delta$, we have

$$\pi_B \text{sdet} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial x(\bar{t})}{\partial \underline{x}} & \frac{\partial x(\bar{t})}{\partial \underline{\theta}} \\ \frac{\partial \theta(\bar{t})}{\partial \underline{x}} & \frac{\partial \theta(\bar{t})}{\partial \underline{\theta}} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0,$$

we may apply the above lemma. Therefore, putting

$$\mathcal{S}(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) = \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; y(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}), \underline{\xi}, \omega(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}), \underline{\pi}),$$

we have, by (3.70),

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}}{\partial \bar{x}_j} &= \xi_j(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; y(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}), \underline{\xi}, \omega(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}), \underline{\pi}), \\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}}{\partial \bar{\theta}_\ell} &= \pi_\ell(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; y(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}), \underline{\xi}, \omega(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}), \underline{\pi}),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.71}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}}{\partial \bar{t}} = \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{S}}}{\partial \bar{t}} + \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial \bar{t}} \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{S}}}{\partial \underline{x}_j} + \frac{\partial \omega_\ell}{\partial \bar{t}} \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{S}}}{\partial \underline{\theta}_\ell}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{t}} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; \underline{x}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) = \langle \dot{x}(\bar{t}) | \xi(\bar{t}) \rangle + \langle \dot{\theta}(\bar{t}) | \pi(\bar{t}) \rangle - \mathcal{H}(\bar{t}, x(\bar{t}), \theta(\bar{t}), \xi(\bar{t}), \pi(\bar{t})).$$

Combining these with simple calculations, we get the desired Hamilton-Jacobi equation.

Moreover, using (3.71) and (3.69), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{\bar{x}_j} \mathcal{S}(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) &= \eta_j(\underline{t}, \bar{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}), & \partial_{\bar{\theta}_\ell} \mathcal{S}(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) &= \rho_\ell(\underline{t}, \bar{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}), \\
\partial_{\bar{\xi}_k} \mathcal{S}(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) &= y_k(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}), & \partial_{\bar{\pi}_m} \mathcal{S}(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) &= \omega_m(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.72}$$

From here on, we change the notation:

$$(\bar{t}, \underline{t}; \bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi}) \rightarrow (t, s; x, \xi, \theta, \pi).$$

Then, putting

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_* = \mathcal{H}_*(t, x, \mathcal{S}_x(t, s; x, \xi, \theta, \pi), \theta, \mathcal{S}_\theta(t, s; x, \xi, \theta, \pi)) \Big|_{\theta=\pi=0},$$

from the Hamilton-Jacobi equation and $\mathcal{S}(s, s; x, \xi, \theta, \pi) = \langle x|\xi \rangle + \langle \theta|\pi \rangle$, we get

Lemma 3.6. *Using the decomposition (2.28), we get*

$$\mathcal{S}_{\bar{0}\bar{0},t} + \tilde{\mathcal{H}} = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{S}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}}(s, s; x, \xi) = \langle x|\xi \rangle, \quad (3.73)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0},t} + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2\pi_1} \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}}^2 + (\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_1\theta_1} + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2\theta_2}) \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\theta_2\theta_1} = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}}(s, s; x, \xi) = 0, \quad (3.74)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{c_1d_1,t} + (\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_1\theta_1} + \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2\pi_1}) \mathcal{S}_{c_1d_1} = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{S}_{c_1d_1}(s, s; x, \xi) = 1, \quad (3.75)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{c_2d_2,t} + (\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2\theta_2} + \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2\pi_1}) \mathcal{S}_{c_2d_2} = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{S}_{c_2d_2}(s, s; x, \xi) = 1, \quad (3.76)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{c_1d_2,t} + (\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_1\theta_1} + \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2\pi_1}) \mathcal{S}_{c_1d_2} = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{S}_{c_1d_2}(s, s; x, \xi) = 0, \quad (3.77)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{c_2d_1,t} + (\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2\theta_2} + \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2\pi_1}) \mathcal{S}_{c_2d_1} = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{S}_{c_2d_1}(s, s; x, \xi) = 0. \quad (3.78)$$

Proof. (3.73) is obtained by restricting (H-J) to $\theta = \pi = 0$. Integrating (H-J) w.r.t. $d\theta_1 d\theta_2$, we get (3.74). In fact, as we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\theta_1} \mathcal{H} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{x_j}}{\partial \theta_1} \mathcal{H}_{\xi_j} + \mathcal{H}_{\theta_1} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{\theta_2}}{\partial \theta_1} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_2}, \\ \partial_{\theta_2} \partial_{\theta_1} \mathcal{H} &= \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{S}_{x_j}}{\partial \theta_2 \partial \theta_1} \mathcal{H}_{\xi_j} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{x_j}}{\partial \theta_1} \partial_{\theta_2} \mathcal{H}_{\xi_j} + \partial_{\theta_2} \mathcal{H}_{\theta_1} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{\theta_2}}{\partial \theta_1} \partial_{\theta_2} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_2}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\theta_2} \mathcal{H}_{\xi_j} &= \mathcal{H}_{\theta_2 \xi_j} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{\theta_1}}{\partial \theta_2} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_1 \xi_j}, \\ \partial_{\theta_2} \mathcal{H}_{\theta_1} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{x_j}}{\partial \theta_2} \mathcal{H}_{\xi_j \theta_1} + \mathcal{H}_{\theta_2 \theta_1} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{\theta_1}}{\partial \theta_2} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_1 \theta_1}, \\ \partial_{\theta_2} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_2} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{x_j}}{\partial \theta_2} \mathcal{H}_{\xi_j \pi_2} + \mathcal{H}_{\theta_2 \pi_2} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{\theta_1}}{\partial \theta_2} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_1 \pi_2}, \end{aligned}$$

remarking $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\xi_j} = 0$, $\mathcal{H}_{\xi_j \xi_k} = 0$, and restricting $\partial_{\theta_2} \partial_{\theta_1} \mathcal{H}$ to $\theta = \pi = 0$, we get the desired equality. Other equalities are obtained in the same manner. $\square$

Since $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \varepsilon A_0(t, x)$, we get readily

$$\mathcal{S}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}}(t, s; x, \xi) = \langle x|\xi \rangle - \varepsilon \int_s^t dr A_0(r, x). \quad (3.79)$$

Putting

$$w_0(t, s; x, \xi) = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_1\theta_1} + \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2\pi_1} = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2\theta_2} + \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2\pi_1}, \quad (3.80)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_1\theta_1} &= -i\hbar^{-1}(c\xi_3 - \varepsilon A_3 - c\varepsilon \int_s^t dr \partial_{x_3} A_0) = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2\theta_2} \\ \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2\pi_1} &= \hbar^{-2}[c\xi_1 - \varepsilon A_1 - c\varepsilon \int_s^t dr \partial_{x_1} A_0 - i(c\xi_2 - \varepsilon A_2 - c\varepsilon \int_s^t dr \partial_{x_2} A_0)], \end{aligned}$$

we get

Lemma 3.7. *For $|t - s| \leq \delta$,*

$$\mathcal{S}_{c_1d_1}(t, s; x, \xi) = e^{-\int_s^t dr w_0(r, s; x, \xi)} = \mathcal{S}_{c_2d_2}(t, s; x, \xi), \quad (3.81)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{c_1d_2}(t, s; x, \xi) = \mathcal{S}_{c_2d_1}(t, s; x, \xi) = 0. \quad (3.82)$$

Remarking (3.82), we have

Lemma 3.8.

$$\mathcal{S}_{\bar{0}\bar{1},t} + \mathcal{S}_{c_1 d_1} \mathcal{S}_{c_2 d_2} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2 \pi_1} = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{S}_{\bar{0}\bar{1}}(s, s; x, \xi) = 0, \quad (3.83)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{1},t} + 2w_0 \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{1}} + w_1 = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{1}}(s, s; x, \xi) = 0, \quad (3.84)$$

where we put

$$\begin{aligned} w_1(t, s; x, \xi) &= (\mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} \mathcal{S}_{\bar{0}\bar{1},x_j} - \mathcal{S}_{c_1 d_1} \mathcal{S}_{c_2 d_2, x_j}) \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\xi_j \pi_1 \theta_1} + (\mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} \mathcal{S}_{\bar{0}\bar{1},x_j} - \mathcal{S}_{c_1 d_1, x_j} \mathcal{S}_{c_2 d_2}) \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\xi_j \pi_2 \theta_2} \\ &\quad + [(\mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}}^2 + \mathcal{S}_{c_1 d_1} \mathcal{S}_{c_2 d_2}) \mathcal{S}_{\bar{0}\bar{1},x_j} - \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} (\mathcal{S}_{c_1 d_1} \mathcal{S}_{c_2 d_2})_{x_j}] \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\xi_j \pi_2 \pi_1} + \mathcal{S}_{\bar{0}\bar{1},x_j} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\xi_j \theta_2 \theta_1} \\ &= c \hbar^{-2} [\mathcal{S}_{\bar{0}\bar{1},x_1} - i \mathcal{S}_{\bar{0}\bar{1},x_2} + 2 \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} \int_s^t d\tau (w_{0,x_1} - i w_{0,x_2})] e^{-2 \int_s^t dr w_0(r, s; x, \xi)} \\ &\quad + c \hbar^{-2} \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}}^2 (\mathcal{S}_{\bar{0}\bar{1},x_1} - i \mathcal{S}_{\bar{0}\bar{1},x_2}) - 2i c \hbar^{-1} (\mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} \mathcal{S}_{\bar{0}\bar{1},x_3} + \int_s^t dr w_{0,x_3} e^{-2 \int_s^r d\tau w_0(\tau, s; x, \xi)}) \\ &\quad + c (\mathcal{S}_{\bar{0}\bar{1},x_1} + i \mathcal{S}_{\bar{0}\bar{1},x_2}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. To get (3.83), we used (3.82). Remarking

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2 \pi_1 \xi_1} = c \hbar^{-2}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2 \pi_1 \xi_2} = -i c \hbar^{-2}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\theta_2 \theta_1 \xi_1} = c, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\theta_2 \theta_1 \xi_2} = i c, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_1 \theta_1 \xi_3} = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2 \theta_2 \xi_3} = -i c \hbar^{-1},$$

and (3.81), we have (3.84). $\square$

Therefore, we get the representation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{\bar{0}\bar{1}}(t, s; x, \xi) &= - \int_s^t dr \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2 \pi_1}(r, s; x, \xi) e^{-2 \int_s^r d\tau w_0(\tau, s; x, \xi)}, \\ \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{1}}(t, s; x, \xi) &= - \int_s^t dr w_1(r, s; x, \xi) e^{-\int_s^r d\tau w_0(\tau, s; x, \xi)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.85)$$

Combining estimates in Theorems 2.1, 2.2 and (3.72), we get

Lemma 3.9. *For any α, β , there exist constants $C_{\alpha\beta} > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta (\mathcal{S}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}}(t, s; x, \xi) - \langle x | \xi \rangle)| &\leq C_{\alpha\beta} (1 + |x|)^{(1-|\alpha|)+} \delta_{0|\beta|} |t - s| \\ |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}}(t, s; x, \xi)| &\leq C_{\alpha\beta} |t - s|, \\ |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta (\mathcal{S}_{c_j d_j}(t, s; x, \xi) - 1)| &\leq C_{\alpha\beta} |t - s| \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \\ |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \mathcal{S}_{\bar{0}\bar{1}}(t, s; x, \xi)| &\leq C_{\alpha\beta} |t - s|, \\ |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{1}}(t, s; x, \xi)| &\leq C_{\alpha\beta} |t - s|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.86)$$

Proof. As $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}}(t, s; x, \xi) = \mathcal{S}_{\theta_2 \theta_1}(t, s; x, \xi, 0, 0) = \partial_{\theta_2} \rho_1(-t, s; x, \xi, 0, 0)$, we have the desired one from Proposition 3.4 and (3.72). Other terms are calculated similarly. $\square$

3.3. Continuity equation. Defining $\mathcal{D}$ as in (2.30), we get Theorem 2.4 as in [13].

Using the notation introduced in Theorem 2.3, we may decompose

$$\mathcal{D}(t, s; x, \xi, \theta, \pi) = \sum_{|c|+|d|=\text{even} \geq 0} \mathcal{D}_{cd}(t, s; x, \xi) \theta^c \pi^d = \mathcal{D}_B(t, s; x, \xi) + \mathcal{D}_S(t, s; x, \xi, \theta, \pi), \quad (3.87)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_B &= \mathcal{D}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}} = \mathcal{D}^{[0]}, \\ \mathcal{D}_S(t, s; x, \xi, \theta, \pi) &= \mathcal{D}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} \theta_1 \theta_2 + \sum_{|c|+|d|=2} \mathcal{D}_{cd}(t, s; x, \xi) \theta^c \pi^d + \mathcal{D}_{\bar{0}\bar{1}} \pi_1 \pi_2 + \mathcal{D}_{\bar{1}\bar{1}} \theta_1 \theta_2 \pi_1 \pi_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.88)$$

From the continuity equation (2.31), we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}} + \mathcal{D}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}} \widetilde{\partial_{\theta_k} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_k}} = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{D}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}}(s, s; x, \xi) = 1.$$

As

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\theta_1} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_1} &= \mathcal{H}_{\theta_1 \pi_1} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{\theta_2}}{\partial \theta_1} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_2 \pi_1} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{x_j}}{\partial \theta_1} \mathcal{H}_{\xi_j \pi_1}, \\ \partial_{\theta_2} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_2} &= \mathcal{H}_{\theta_2 \pi_2} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{\theta_1}}{\partial \theta_2} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_1 \pi_2} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{x_j}}{\partial \theta_2} \mathcal{H}_{\xi_j \pi_2},\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\widetilde{\partial_{\theta_k} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_k}} = \partial_{\theta_k} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_k} \Big|_{\theta=\pi=0} = -\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_1 \theta_1} - \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2 \theta_2} - 2\mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2 \pi_1} = -2w_0(t, s; x, \xi),$$

and we get

$$\mathcal{D}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}}(t, s; x, \xi) = e^{2 \int_s^t dr w_0(r, s; x, \xi)}. \quad (3.89)$$

Instead of $\mathcal{D}$, we should study the properties of a function $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{D}^{1/2}$: Putting

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}(t, s; x, \theta, \xi, \pi) &= \sum_{|c|+|d|=even \geq 0} \mathcal{A}_{cd}(t, s; x, \xi) \theta^c \pi^d \\ &= \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}} + \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} \theta_1 \theta_2 + \mathcal{A}_{c_1 d_1} \theta_1 \pi_1 + \mathcal{A}_{c_2 d_2} \theta_2 \pi_2 \\ &\quad + \mathcal{A}_{c_1 d_2} \theta_1 \pi_2 + \mathcal{A}_{c_2 d_1} \theta_2 \pi_1 + \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{1}} \pi_1 \pi_2 + \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}\bar{1}} \theta_1 \theta_2 \pi_1 \pi_2,\end{aligned} \quad (3.90)$$

we define each coefficient $\mathcal{A}_{cd}(t, s; x, \xi)$ from $\mathcal{A}^2 = \mathcal{D}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}} &= \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}}^2 \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}}(s, s; x, \xi) = 1, \\ \mathcal{D}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} &= 2\mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}} \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}}, \quad \mathcal{D}_{\bar{0}\bar{1}} = 2\mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}} \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{1}}, \\ \mathcal{D}_{c_1 d_1} &= 2\mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}} \mathcal{A}_{c_1 d_1}, \quad \mathcal{D}_{c_2 d_2} = 2\mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}} \mathcal{A}_{c_2 d_2}, \\ \mathcal{D}_{c_1 d_2} &= 2\mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}} \mathcal{A}_{c_1 d_2}, \quad \mathcal{D}_{c_2 d_1} = 2\mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}} \mathcal{A}_{c_2 d_1}, \\ \mathcal{D}_{\bar{1}\bar{1}} &= 2\mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}} \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}\bar{1}} + 2\mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{1}} - 2\mathcal{A}_{c_1 d_1} \mathcal{A}_{c_2 d_2} + 2\mathcal{A}_{c_1 d_2} \mathcal{A}_{c_2 d_1}.\end{aligned} \quad (3.91)$$

More precisely, we define $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}}(t, s; q, p) = \sqrt{\mathcal{D}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}}(t, s; q, p)}$ such that $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}}(s, s; q, p) = 1$ and $\mathcal{A}_{cd}(t, s; q, p)$ are defined from above, and then they are Grassmann continued to $\mathfrak{R}^{3|0}$.

Using the continuity equation (2.31), we have the (2.32).

Remarking also

$$\partial_{x_j} \mathcal{H}_{\xi_j} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{\theta_1}}{\partial x_j} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_1 \xi_j} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{\theta_2}}{\partial x_j} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_2 \xi_j},$$

we have $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\xi_j} = 0$ and $\widetilde{\partial_{x_j} \mathcal{H}_{\xi_j}} = 0$, from which we have

$$\mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}, t} - w_0 \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}} = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}}(s, s; x, \xi) = 1. \quad (3.92)$$

That is, as is desired, we have

$$\mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}}(t, s; x, \xi) = e^{\int_s^t dr w_0(r, s; x, \xi)}. \quad (3.93)$$

On the other hand, for $\{\cdots\}$ in (2.32), as

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\theta_1} \{\cdots\} &= \mathcal{A}_{\theta_1 x_j} \mathcal{H}_{\xi_j} + \mathcal{A}_{x_j} \partial_{\theta_1} \mathcal{H}_{\xi_j} - \mathcal{A}_{\theta_1} \partial_{\theta_1} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_1} + \mathcal{A}_{\theta_1 \theta_2} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_2} - \mathcal{A}_{\theta_2} \partial_{\theta_1} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_2} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}_{\theta_1} [\partial_{x_j} \mathcal{H}_{\xi_j} + \partial_{\theta_k} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_k}] + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A} \partial_{\theta_1} [\partial_{x_j} \mathcal{H}_{\xi_j} + \partial_{\theta_k} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_k}],\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\theta_2} \partial_{\theta_1} \{\cdots\} &= \mathcal{A}_{\theta_2 \theta_1 x_j} \mathcal{H}_{\xi_j} - \mathcal{A}_{\theta_1 x_j} \partial_{\theta_2} \mathcal{H}_{\xi_j} + \mathcal{A}_{\theta_2 x_j} \partial_{\theta_1} \mathcal{H}_{\xi_j} + \mathcal{A}_{x_j} \partial_{\theta_2} \partial_{\theta_1} \mathcal{H}_{\xi_j} \\ &\quad - \mathcal{A}_{\theta_2 \theta_1} \partial_{\theta_1} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_1} + \mathcal{A}_{\theta_1} \partial_{\theta_2} \partial_{\theta_1} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_1} + \mathcal{A}_{\theta_1 \theta_2} \partial_{\theta_2} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_2} + \mathcal{A}_{\theta_2} \partial_{\theta_2} \partial_{\theta_1} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_2} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}_{\theta_2 \theta_1} [\partial_{x_j} \mathcal{H}_{\xi_j} + \partial_{\theta_k} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_k}] - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}_{\theta_1} \partial_{\theta_2} [\partial_{x_j} \mathcal{H}_{\xi_j} + \partial_{\theta_k} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_k}] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}_{\theta_2} \partial_{\theta_1} [\partial_{x_j} \mathcal{H}_{\xi_j} + \partial_{\theta_k} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_k}] + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A} \partial_{\theta_2} \partial_{\theta_1} [\partial_{x_j} \mathcal{H}_{\xi_j} + \partial_{\theta_k} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_k}].\end{aligned}$$

Using $\partial_{\theta_2} \partial_{\theta_1} [\partial_{\theta_k} \mathcal{H}_{\theta_k}] = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\theta_2} \partial_{\theta_1} \mathcal{H}_{\xi_j} &= \mathcal{H}_{\theta_2 \theta_1 \xi_j} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{\theta_1}}{\partial \theta_2} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_1 \theta_1 \xi_j} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{\theta_2}}{\partial \theta_1} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{\theta_1}}{\partial \theta_2} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_1 \pi_2 \xi_j} + \mathcal{H}_{\theta_2 \pi_2 \xi_j} \right), \\ \partial_{\theta_2} \partial_{\theta_1} [\partial_{x_j} \mathcal{H}_{\xi_j}] &= \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{S}_{\theta_1}}{\partial \theta_2 \partial x_j} \left(\mathcal{H}_{\theta_1 \pi_1 \xi_j} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{\theta_2}}{\partial \theta_1} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_2 \pi_1 \xi_j} \right) + \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{S}_{\theta_2}}{\partial \theta_1 \partial x_j} \left(\mathcal{H}_{\theta_2 \pi_2 \xi_j} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{\theta_1}}{\partial \theta_2} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_1 \pi_2 \xi_j} \right), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\theta_2} \partial_{\theta_1} \{\cdots\} \Big|_{\theta=\pi=0} &= \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0},x_j} [\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\theta_2 \theta_1 \xi_j} + \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} (\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_1 \theta_1 \xi_j} + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2 \theta_2 \xi_j}) + \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}}^2 \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2 \pi_1 \xi_j}] \\ &\quad + \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} w_0(t, s; x, \xi) + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}} \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0},x_j} (\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_1 \theta_1 \xi_j} + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2 \theta_2 \xi_j} + 2\mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2 \pi_1 \xi_j}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get

$$\mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}\bar{0},t} + w_0 \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} + w_2 = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}}(s, s; x, \xi) = 0, \quad (3.94)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} w_2(t, s; x, \xi) &= \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0},x_j} [\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\theta_2 \theta_1 \xi_j} + \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} (\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_1 \theta_1 \xi_j} + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2 \theta_2 \xi_j}) + \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}}^2 \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2 \pi_1 \xi_j}] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}} \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0},x_j} (\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_1 \theta_1 \xi_j} + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2 \theta_2 \xi_j} + 2\mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2 \pi_1 \xi_j}) \\ &= c(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0},x_1} + i\mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0},x_2}) - 2ic\hbar^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0},x_3} \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} + c\hbar^{-2} \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} (\mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0},x_1} - i\mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0},x_2}) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}} [c\hbar^{-2} \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} (\mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0},x_1} - i\mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0},x_2}) - ic\hbar^{-1} \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0},x_3}]. \end{aligned}$$

Simple but lengthy calculation yields

Proposition 3.10.

$$\mathcal{A}_{c_1 d_1, t} + \mathcal{S}_{c_1 d_1} [\mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0},x_j} (\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_1 \theta_1, \xi_j} + \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_1 \pi_2, \xi_j}) + \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2 \pi_1} + \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}} \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0},x_j} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_1 \pi_2, \xi_j}] = 0, \quad (3.95)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{c_2 d_2, t} + \mathcal{S}_{c_2 d_2} [\mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0},x_j} (\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2 \theta_2, \xi_j} + \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_1 \pi_2, \xi_j}) + \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2 \pi_1} + \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}} \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0},x_j} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_1 \pi_2, \xi_j}] = 0, \quad (3.96)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{c_1 d_2, t} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}_{c_2 d_1, t} = 0, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \mathcal{A}_{c_1 d_2} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}_{c_2 d_1} = 0. \quad (3.97)$$

Analogously, we have

Proposition 3.11.

$$\mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{1},t} - w_0 \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{1}} + w_3 = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{1}}(s, s; x, \xi) = 0, \quad (3.98)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} w_3(t, s; x, \xi) &= (\mathcal{A}_{c_1 d_1} \mathcal{S}_{c_2 d_2} + \mathcal{A}_{c_2 d_2} \mathcal{S}_{c_1 d_1} - \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}} \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{1}}) \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2 \pi_1} \\ &\quad + [\mathcal{S}_{c_1 d_1} \mathcal{S}_{c_2 d_2} \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0},x_j} + \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}} (\mathcal{S}_{c_1 d_1} \mathcal{S}_{c_2 d_2})_{x_j} - \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}} \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} \mathcal{S}_{\bar{0}\bar{1},x_j}] \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\xi_j \pi_2 \pi_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.12.

$$\mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}\bar{1},t} - w_0 \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}\bar{1}} + w_4 = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}\bar{1}}(s, s; x, \xi) = 0, \quad (3.99)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} w_4(t, s; x, \xi) &= \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{1},x_j} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\xi_j \theta_2 \theta_1} + \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{1},x_j} (\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\xi_j \pi_1 \theta_1} + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\xi_j \pi_2 \theta_2} + \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\xi_j \pi_2 \pi_1}) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{1}} \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0},x_j} (\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\xi_j \pi_1 \theta_1} + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\xi_j \pi_2 \theta_2} + 2\mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\xi_j \pi_2 \pi_1}) + \mathcal{S}_{c_1 d_1} \mathcal{S}_{c_2 d_2} \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}\bar{0},x_j} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\xi_j \pi_2 \pi_1} \\ &\quad - \mathcal{S}_{c_1 d_1} \mathcal{A}_{c_2 d_2, x_j} (\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\xi_j \pi_1 \theta_1} + \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\xi_j \pi_2 \pi_1}) - \mathcal{S}_{c_2 d_2} \mathcal{A}_{c_1 d_1, x_j} (\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\xi_j \pi_2 \theta_2} + \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\xi_j \pi_2 \pi_1}) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0},x_j} (\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_1 \theta_1 \xi_j} + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2 \theta_2 \xi_j} + 2\mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2 \pi_1 \xi_j}) - \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} (\mathcal{S}_{c_1 d_1} \mathcal{S}_{c_2 d_2})_{x_j} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2 \pi_1 \xi_j} \\ &\quad + (\mathcal{A}_{c_1 d_1} \mathcal{S}_{c_2 d_2} + \mathcal{A}_{c_2 d_2} \mathcal{S}_{c_1 d_1}) \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0},x_j} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2 \pi_1 \xi_j} - \mathcal{A}_{c_1 d_1} \mathcal{S}_{c_2 d_2, x_j} (\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\xi_j \pi_1 \theta_1} + \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\xi_j \pi_2 \pi_1}) \\ &\quad - \mathcal{A}_{c_2 d_2} \mathcal{S}_{c_1 d_1, x_j} (\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\xi_j \pi_2 \theta_2} + \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\xi_j \pi_2 \pi_1}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}} \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{1},x_j} + \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{1}} \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0},x_j} - \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0},x_j}) (\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_1 \theta_1 \xi_j} + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2 \theta_2 \xi_j} + 2\mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2 \pi_1 \xi_j}) \\ &\quad + [\mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} (\mathcal{S}_{c_1 d_1} \mathcal{S}_{c_2 d_2})_{x_j} - \mathcal{A}_{c_1 d_1} \mathcal{S}_{c_2 d_2} \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0},x_j} - \mathcal{A}_{c_2 d_2} \mathcal{S}_{c_1 d_1} \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0},x_j}] \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pi_2 \pi_1 \xi_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get the estimates in (2.34).

4. QUANTUM PART: COMPOSITION FORMULAS OF FIOs AND Ψ DOs

4.1. Super differential operators associated with $\mathcal{H}(X, \Xi)$. Given $\mathcal{H}(X, \Xi)$, we consider the super (pseudo)-differential operator of Weyl type:

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}(X, D_X)u(X) = c_{3,2}^2 \iint d\Xi dY e^{i\hbar^{-1}\langle X-Y|\Xi \rangle} \mathcal{H}\left(\frac{X+Y}{2}, \Xi\right) u(Y) \quad (4.1)$$

for $u \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{SS},0}(\mathfrak{R}^{3|2})$. Here, we use the abbreviation

$$c_{m,n} = (2\pi\hbar)^{-m/2} \hbar^{n/2} e^{i\pi n(n-2)/4}, \quad X = (x, \theta), \quad Y = (y, \omega), \quad \Xi = (\xi, \pi), \quad D_X = (-i\hbar\partial_x, \partial_\theta).$$

Remark. We may give the definite meaning to above expression (4.1) as oscillatory integrals: As the right-hand side of (4.1) is not necessarily ‘absolutely integrable’ with respect to $d\Xi dY = d\xi d\pi dy d\theta$, that is, after integrating with respect to $d\pi d\theta$, the integrated function is not necessarily absolutely integrable with respect to $d\xi dy$. Therefore, it is necessary to give the definite meaning to the right hand side of (4.1). Let $\{\chi_\varepsilon(q, p)\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ be any bounded sequence of functions in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^6)$ such that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $\chi_\varepsilon(q, p) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^6)$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \chi_\varepsilon(q, p) = 1$ in $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^6)$. Instead of (4.1), we consider

$$(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\varepsilon u)(X) = c_{3,2}^2 \iint d\Xi dY e^{i\hbar^{-1}\langle X-Y|\Xi \rangle} \chi_\varepsilon(y, \xi) \mathcal{H}\left(\frac{X+Y}{2}, \Xi\right) u(Y). \quad (4.2)$$

As is easily seen that $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\varepsilon u \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{SS}}(\mathfrak{R}^{3|2})$ if $u \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{SS},0}(\mathfrak{R}^{3|2})$, we can write (4.2) as

$$(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\varepsilon u)(x, \theta) = \sum_a (\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\varepsilon u)_a(x) \theta^a, \quad (4.3)$$

where $(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\varepsilon u)_a(x) = \partial_\theta^a (\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\varepsilon u)(x, 0)$. So, applying the proof of bosonic case to $(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\varepsilon u)_a$ as in [26], we get $\hat{\mathcal{H}}u(X) = s - \lim \hat{\mathcal{H}}_\varepsilon u(X)$ in a suitable sense.

Moreover, all integrals which appear hereafter should be considered in the above sense (called, super oscillatory integral) if the integrand is not absolutely integrable. Moreover, if the calculus under the integral sign is permitted using the above argument combined with Lax’ technique (of using integrations by parts repeatedly with $\partial_{\xi_j} e^{i\phi(x, \xi)} = i\partial_{\xi_j} \phi(x, \xi) e^{i\phi(x, \xi)}$), we do it without mentioning it.

By simple calculation, we have

Lemma 4.1. For $j = 1, 2, 3$ and $v(\theta) = v_0 + v_1\theta_1\theta_2$, putting

$$\hat{\sigma}_j(\theta, \partial_\theta)v(\theta) = c_{3,2}^2 \int d\pi d\theta' e^{i\hbar^{-1}\langle \theta - \theta' | \pi \rangle} \sigma_j\left(\frac{\theta + \theta'}{2}, \pi\right) v(\theta'),$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} b\hat{\sigma}_j\sharp &= \sigma_j \quad \text{or} \quad \hat{\sigma}_j = \sharp\sigma_j b, \\ \hat{\sigma}_j(\theta, \partial_\theta)v(\theta) &= c_{3,2} \int d\pi e^{i\hbar^{-1}\langle \theta | \pi \rangle} \sigma_j(\theta, \pi) \hat{v}(\pi) \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \\ \hat{\sigma}_3(\theta, \partial_\theta)v(\theta) &= c_{3,2} \int d\pi e^{i\hbar^{-1}\langle \theta | \pi \rangle} (1 - \sigma_3(\theta, \pi)) \hat{v}(\pi). \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Lemma 4.2. Let $\mathcal{H}(t, X, \Xi)$ be derived from $\mathbb{H}(t, q, -i\hbar\partial_q)$ in (W). Then, we have

$$\mathbb{H}\left(t, q, \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q}\right) = b\hat{\mathcal{H}}\sharp : C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 : \mathbb{C}^2) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 : \mathbb{C}^2) \quad \text{for each } t. \quad (4.5)$$

We have also

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}(t)u(X) = c_{3,2} \int d\Xi e^{i\hbar^{-1}\langle X | \Xi \rangle} \mathcal{H}^0(t, X, \Xi) \hat{u}(\Xi), \quad (4.6)$$

with

$$\mathcal{H}^0(t, X, \Xi) = \mathcal{H}(t, X, \Xi) + c\xi_3 - \varepsilon A_3(t, x). \quad (4.7)$$

4.2. Fourier Integral Operators associated with $\mathcal{H}(t, X, \Xi)$. After reordering $(\bar{x}, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\pi})$ as $(\bar{x}, \bar{\theta}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\pi})$ and denoting them by (x, θ, ξ, π) , we consider an integral transformation $\mathcal{U}(t, s)$ on $\mathcal{C}_{\text{SS},0}(\mathfrak{R}^{3|2})$ where $\mathcal{S}(t, s; x, \theta, \xi, \pi)$ and $\mathcal{A}(t, s; x, \theta, \xi, \pi)$ are defined in §2:

$$\mathcal{U}(t, s)u(x, \theta) = (\mathcal{U}(t, s)u)(x, \theta) = c_{3,2} \int d\xi d\pi \mathcal{A}(t, s; x, \theta, \xi, \pi) e^{i\hbar^{-1}\mathcal{S}(t, s; x, \theta, \xi, \pi)} \mathcal{F}u(\xi, \pi)$$

or simply, we write it as

$$\mathcal{U}(t, s)u(X) = (\mathcal{U}(t, s)u)(X) = c_{3,2} \int d\Xi \mathcal{A}(t, s; X, \Xi) e^{i\hbar^{-1}\mathcal{S}(t, s; X, \Xi)} \mathcal{F}u(\Xi). \quad (4.8)$$

Theorem 4.3. *We have $\mathcal{U}(t, s)u \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{SS}}(\mathfrak{R}^{3|2})$ for $u \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{SS},0}(\mathfrak{R}^{3|2})$. Moreover, there exists a constant C such that*

$$\|\mathcal{U}(t, s)u\| \leq 2^2(1 + C|t - s|)\|u\| \leq 2^2 e^{C|t-s|}\|u\|. \quad (4.9)$$

Proof. Using $\mathcal{F}u(\xi, \pi) = \hbar \hat{u}_{\bar{1}}(\xi) + \hbar^{-1} \hat{u}_{\bar{0}}(\xi) \pi_1 \pi_2$, we rewrite (4.8) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}(t, s)u(x, \theta) &= c_{3,2} \int d\xi d\pi (\mathcal{A}_{\text{B}}(t, x, \xi) + \mathcal{A}_{\text{S}}(t, s; x, \theta, \xi, \pi)) e^{i\hbar^{-1}\mathcal{S}_{\text{B}}(t, s; x, \xi)} \\ &\quad \times \left[\sum_{\ell=0}^2 \frac{(i\hbar^{-1})^\ell}{\ell!} \mathcal{S}_{\text{S}}(t, s; x, \theta, \xi, \pi)^\ell \right] (\hbar \hat{u}_{\bar{1}}(\xi) + \hbar^{-1} \hat{u}_{\bar{0}}(\xi) \pi_1 \pi_2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Here, we remark by (2.28) that

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{S}}(t, s; x, \theta, \xi, \pi)^\ell = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } \ell = 0, \\ \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}}\theta_1\theta_2 + \sum_{|c|=|d|=1} \mathcal{S}_{cd}\theta^c\pi^d + \mathcal{S}_{\bar{0}\bar{1}}\pi_1\pi_2 + \mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{1}}\theta_1\theta_2\pi_1\pi_2 & \text{when } \ell = 1, \\ 2[\mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}}\mathcal{S}_{\bar{0}\bar{1}} - \mathcal{S}_{c_1d_1}\mathcal{S}_{c_2d_2}]\theta_1\theta_2\pi_1\pi_2 & \text{when } \ell = 2, \\ 0 & \text{when } \ell \geq 3. \end{cases} \quad (4.11)$$

After integrating (4.10) with respect to $d\pi$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}(t, s)u(x, \theta) &= \sum_{|b|=0,2} v_b(t, s; x) \theta^b \\ &= \sum_b \left[\sum_a (2\pi\hbar)^{-3/2} \int d\xi \mathcal{B}_{ba}(t, s; x, \xi) e^{i\hbar^{-1}\mathcal{S}_{\text{B}}(t, s; x, \xi)} \hat{u}_a(\xi) \right] \theta^b, \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{B}_{\bar{1}\bar{1}} = \hbar^2 \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}\bar{1}} + i\hbar(\mathcal{A}_{\text{B}}\mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{1}} + \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}}\mathcal{S}_{\bar{0}\bar{1}} + \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{1}}\mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} + \mathcal{A}_{c_1d_1}\mathcal{S}_{c_2d_2} - \mathcal{A}_{c_2d_2}\mathcal{S}_{c_1d_1}) \\ \quad - \mathcal{A}_{\text{B}}[\mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}}\mathcal{S}_{\bar{0}\bar{1}} - \mathcal{S}_{c_1d_1}\mathcal{S}_{c_2d_2}], \\ \mathcal{B}_{\bar{0}\bar{1}} = \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}\bar{1}} + i\hbar^{-1}\mathcal{A}_{\text{B}}\mathcal{S}_{\bar{0}\bar{1}}, \\ \mathcal{B}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} = \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} + i\hbar^{-1}\mathcal{A}_{\text{B}}\mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}}, \\ \mathcal{B}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}} = \mathcal{A}_{\text{B}}. \end{cases} \quad (4.13)$$

In the above, arguments of $\mathcal{B}_{**}$, $\mathcal{A}_{**}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{**}$ are $(t, s; x, \xi)$.

By using (3.86) and (2.34), we have that $\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \mathcal{B}_{ba}(t, s; x_{\text{B}}, \xi_{\text{B}}) \in \mathbb{C}$ and

$$\begin{cases} |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta (\mathcal{B}_{ba}(t, s; x_{\text{B}}, \xi_{\text{B}}) - 1)| \leq C|t - s| & \text{for } b = a = \bar{1} \text{ or } b = q = \bar{0}, \\ |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \mathcal{B}_{ba}(t, s; x_{\text{B}}, \xi_{\text{B}})| \leq C|t - s| & \text{for } b \neq a. \end{cases} \quad (4.14)$$

Putting

$$(\mathcal{E}_{ba}(t, s)u_a)(x_{\text{B}}) = (2\pi\hbar)^{-3/2} \int d\xi_{\text{B}} \mathcal{B}_{ba}(t, s; x_{\text{B}}, \xi_{\text{B}}) e^{i\hbar^{-1}\mathcal{S}_{\text{B}}(t, s; x_{\text{B}}, \xi_{\text{B}})} \hat{u}_a(\xi_{\text{B}}), \quad (4.15)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
v_{\bar{0}}(t, s; x) &= \mathcal{E}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}} \hat{u}_{\bar{0}}(\xi) + \mathcal{E}_{\bar{0}\bar{1}} \hat{u}_{\bar{1}}(\xi) \\
&= (2\pi\hbar)^{-3/2} \int d\xi e^{i\hbar^{-1} \mathcal{S}_B(t, s; x, \xi)} [\mathcal{B}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}}(t, s; x, \xi) \hat{u}_{\bar{0}}(\xi) + \mathcal{B}_{\bar{0}\bar{1}}(t, s; x, \xi) \hat{u}_{\bar{1}}(\xi)], \\
v_{\bar{1}}(t, s; x) &= \mathcal{E}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}} \hat{u}_{\bar{0}}(\xi) + \mathcal{E}_{\bar{1}\bar{1}} \hat{u}_{\bar{1}}(\xi) \\
&= (2\pi\hbar)^{-3/2} \int d\xi e^{i\hbar^{-1} \mathcal{S}_B(t, s; x, \xi)} [\mathcal{B}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}}(t, s; x, \xi) \hat{u}_{\bar{0}}(\xi) + \mathcal{B}_{\bar{1}\bar{1}}(t, s; x, \xi) \hat{u}_{\bar{1}}(\xi)],
\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

By applying Theorem 2.1 of [1] to (4.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{E}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}}(t, s) u_{\bar{0}}\| &\leq (1 + C|t - s|) \|u_{\bar{0}}\|, \quad \|\mathcal{E}_{\bar{0}\bar{1}}(t, s) u_{\bar{1}}\| \leq C|t - s| \|u_{\bar{1}}\|, \\
\|\mathcal{E}_{\bar{1}\bar{0}}(t, s) u_{\bar{0}}\| &\leq C|t - s| \|u_{\bar{0}}\|, \quad \|\mathcal{E}_{\bar{1}\bar{1}}(t, s) u_{\bar{1}}\| \leq (1 + C|t - s|) \|u_{\bar{1}}\|,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

which implies

$$\|\mathcal{U}(t, s) u\|^2 = \|v_{\bar{0}}\|^2 + \|v_{\bar{1}}\|^2 \leq (1 + \sqrt{2}C|t - s|)^2 (\|u_{\bar{0}}\|^2 + \|u_{\bar{1}}\|^2) = (1 + \sqrt{2}C|t - s|)^2 \|u\|^2. \tag{4.18}$$

Moreover, $\pi_B(\sum_a \mathcal{E}_{ba}(t, s) u_a(X)) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, i.e. $\mathcal{U}(t, s) u \in \mathcal{C}_{SS}(\mathfrak{R}^{3|2})$. $\square$

Remark. For $u \in \mathcal{L}_{SS}^2(\mathfrak{R}^{3|2})$, $\mathcal{U}(t, s) u$ is defined as the limit of a Cauchy sequence $\{\mathcal{U}(t, s) u_k\}$ in $\mathcal{L}_{SS}^2(\mathfrak{R}^{3|2})$ where $u_k \in \mathcal{C}_{SS,0}(\mathfrak{R}^{3|2})$ is a sequence converging to u in $\mathcal{L}_{SS}^2(\mathfrak{R}^{3|2})$.

Proposition 4.4. (1) For each $u \in \mathcal{L}_{SS}^2(\mathfrak{R}^{3|2})$, we have

$$s - \lim_{|t-s| \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{U}(t, s) u = u \quad \text{in } \mathcal{L}_{SS}^2(\mathfrak{R}^{3|2}). \tag{4.19}$$

(2) Define $U(s, s) = I$. For fixed s , the correspondence $t \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(t, s) u$ gives a strongly continuous function with values in $\mathcal{L}_{SS}^2(\mathfrak{R}^{3|2})$.

Proof. To prove (4.19), we need to claim

$$s - \lim_{|t-s| \rightarrow 0} v_{\bar{0}} = u_{\bar{0}} \quad \text{and} \quad s - \lim_{|t-s| \rightarrow 0} v_{\bar{1}} = u_{\bar{1}}.$$

We get the desired results by the standard method applying to (4.16). $\square$

Remark. In the above, Theorem 4.3 and Proposition 4.4 are proved after integrating w.r.t. $d\pi$ and applying the standard method for pseudo-differential and Fourier integral operators on the Euclidian space $\mathbb{R}^3$. But, this suggests us to the necessity of developing those operator theories on the superspace $\mathfrak{R}^{m|n}$.

4.3. Composition of FIOs with Ψ DOs. As (t, s) is inessential in this subsection, we abbreviate it and denote $\mathcal{A}(X, \Xi) = \mathcal{A}(t, s; x, \xi, \theta, \pi)$, $\mathcal{S}(X, \Xi) = \mathcal{S}(t, s; x, \xi, \theta, \pi)$, $\mathcal{H}(X, \Xi) = \mathcal{H}(t, X, \Xi)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S}) u(X) &= c_{3,2} \int d\Xi \mathcal{A}(X, \Xi) e^{i\hbar^{-1} \mathcal{S}(X, \Xi)} \hat{u}(\Xi), \\
\hat{\mathcal{H}} u(X) &= c_{3,2}^2 \iint d\Xi dY e^{i\hbar^{-1} \langle X - Y | \Xi \rangle} \mathcal{H}\left(\frac{X + Y}{2}, \Xi\right) u(Y).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

Theorem 4.5. Let $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S})$ and $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ be given as above. There exists $\mathcal{B}_L = \mathcal{B}_L(X, \Upsilon)$ such that

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{B}_L, \mathcal{S}). \tag{4.21}$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{B}_L$ has the following expansion

$$\mathcal{B}_L = \mathcal{H} \mathcal{A} - i\hbar \left\{ \partial_{\Xi_j} \mathcal{H} \cdot \partial_{X_j} \mathcal{A} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{X_j \Xi_j}^2 \mathcal{H} + \partial_{X_j X_k}^2 \mathcal{S} \cdot \partial_{\Xi_k \Xi_j}^2 \mathcal{H} \right) \mathcal{A} \right\} + \mathcal{R}_L. \tag{4.22}$$

Here, the argument of $\mathcal{H}$ is $(X, \partial_X \mathcal{S})$ and that of $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{R}_L$ is (X, Υ) , and $\mathcal{R}_L \in \mathcal{C}_{SS}(\mathfrak{R}^{3|2} \times \mathfrak{R}^{3|2})$ satisfies

$$\sup |D_X^\alpha D_\Upsilon^\beta \mathcal{R}_L(X_B, \Upsilon_B)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} < \infty. \tag{4.23}$$

Proof. By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathcal{H}}\mathcal{U}(A, \mathcal{S})u(X) &= c_{3,2}^3 \int d\Xi dY d\Upsilon \mathcal{H}\left(\frac{X+Y}{2}, \Xi\right) \mathcal{A}(Y, \Upsilon) e^{i\hbar^{-1}(\langle X-Y|\Xi\rangle + \mathcal{S}(Y, \Upsilon))} \hat{u}(\Upsilon) \\ &= c_{3,2} \int d\Upsilon \mathcal{B}_L(X, \Upsilon) e^{i\hbar^{-1}\mathcal{S}(X, \Upsilon)} \hat{u}(\Upsilon).\end{aligned}\quad (4.24)$$

Here, we put

$$\mathcal{B}_L(X, \Upsilon) = c_{3,2}^2 \int d\Xi dY \mathcal{Q}(X, \Xi, Y, \Upsilon) e^{i\hbar^{-1}\psi(X, \Xi, Y, \Upsilon)} \quad (4.25)$$

with

$$\psi(X, \Xi, Y, \Upsilon) = \langle X - Y | \Xi \rangle + \mathcal{S}(Y, \Upsilon) - \mathcal{S}(X, \Upsilon), \quad (4.26)$$

$$\mathcal{Q}(X, \Xi, Y, \Upsilon) = \mathcal{H}\left(\frac{X+Y}{2}, \Xi\right) \mathcal{A}(Y, \Upsilon). \quad (4.27)$$

(I) Before giving the full proof, we calculate rather formally which yields (4.22). As

$$\mathcal{S}(Y, \Upsilon) - \mathcal{S}(X, \Upsilon) = (Y_j - X_j) \widetilde{\partial_{X_j} \mathcal{S}}(X, Y - X, \Upsilon) = \langle Y - X | \widetilde{\partial_X \mathcal{S}}(X, Y - X, \Upsilon) \rangle \quad (4.28)$$

where

$$\widetilde{\partial_{X_j} \mathcal{S}}(X, Y - X, \Upsilon) = \int_0^1 d\tau \partial_{X_j} \mathcal{S}(X + \tau(Y - X), \Upsilon),$$

we introduce a change of variables by

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{Y} = Y - X, \\ \tilde{\Xi} = \Xi - \widetilde{\partial_X \mathcal{S}}(X, Y - X, \Upsilon), \end{cases} \longleftrightarrow \begin{cases} Y = \tilde{Y} + X, \\ \Xi = \tilde{\Xi} + \widetilde{\partial_X \mathcal{S}}(X, \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon). \end{cases} \quad (4.29)$$

As $\text{sdet} \left(\frac{\partial(Y, \Xi)}{\partial(Y, \Xi)} \right) = 1$, we may apply the formula of change of variables under integral sign, see, Theorem 3.8 of [22] or Theorem 1.14 of [10], to (4.25) getting

$$\mathcal{B}_L(X, \Upsilon) = c_{3,2}^2 \int d\tilde{\Xi} d\tilde{Y} e^{-i\hbar^{-1}\langle \tilde{Y} | \tilde{\Xi} \rangle} \mathcal{H}\left(X + \frac{\tilde{Y}}{2}, \tilde{\Xi} + \widetilde{\partial_X \mathcal{S}}(X, \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon)\right) \mathcal{A}(X + \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon). \quad (4.30)$$

By Taylor's formula w.r.t. $\tilde{\Xi}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}\left(X + \frac{\tilde{Y}}{2}, \tilde{\Xi} + \widetilde{\partial_X \mathcal{S}}(X, \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon)\right) &= \mathcal{H}\left(X + \frac{\tilde{Y}}{2}, \widetilde{\partial_X \mathcal{S}}(X, \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon)\right) \\ &\quad + \tilde{\Xi}_j \partial_{\Xi_j} \mathcal{H}\left(X + \frac{\tilde{Y}}{2}, \widetilde{\partial_X \mathcal{S}}(X, \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon)\right) \\ &\quad + \tilde{\Xi}_j \tilde{\Xi}_k \int_0^1 d\tau_1 (1 - \tau_1) \partial_{\Xi_k \Xi_j}^2 \mathcal{H}\left(X + \frac{\tilde{Y}}{2}, \tau_1 \tilde{\Xi} + \widetilde{\partial_X \mathcal{S}}(X, \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon)\right).\end{aligned}\quad (4.31)$$

In the above, we abbreviate summation sign and $j \neq k$ because $\partial_{\xi_j}^2 \mathcal{H} = \partial_{\pi_k}^2 \mathcal{H} = 0$.

Now, we remark

$$c_{3,2}^2 \int_{\mathfrak{R}^{3|2}} d\tilde{\Xi} e^{-i\hbar^{-1}\langle \tilde{Y} | \tilde{\Xi} \rangle} = \delta(\tilde{Y}), \quad c_{3,2}^2 \int_{\mathfrak{R}^{3|2}} d\tilde{Y} e^{-i\hbar^{-1}\langle \tilde{Y} | \tilde{\Xi} \rangle} = \delta(\tilde{\Xi}), \quad (4.32)$$

and

$$\tilde{\Xi}_j e^{-i\hbar^{-1}\langle \tilde{Y} | \tilde{\Xi} \rangle} = i\hbar \partial_{\tilde{Y}_j} e^{-i\hbar^{-1}\langle \tilde{Y} | \tilde{\Xi} \rangle}, \quad \tilde{Y}_j e^{-i\hbar^{-1}\langle \tilde{Y} | \tilde{\Xi} \rangle} = i\hbar (-1)^{p(\tilde{\Xi}_j)} \partial_{\tilde{\Xi}_j} e^{-i\hbar^{-1}\langle \tilde{Y} | \tilde{\Xi} \rangle}. \quad (4.33)$$

From the first equality of (4.32), we get easily that

$$\begin{aligned}c_{3,2}^2 \int d\tilde{Y} d\tilde{\Xi} e^{-i\hbar^{-1}\langle \tilde{Y} | \tilde{\Xi} \rangle} \mathcal{H}\left(X + \frac{\tilde{Y}}{2}, \widetilde{\partial_X \mathcal{S}}(X, \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon)\right) \mathcal{A}(X + \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon) \\ = \mathcal{H}(X, \partial_X \mathcal{S}(X, \Upsilon)) \mathcal{A}(X, \Upsilon).\end{aligned}\quad (4.34)$$

Using the first equality of (4.33) and applying the first equality of (4.32) after integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& c_{3,2}^2 \int d\tilde{Y} d\tilde{\Xi} e^{-i\hbar^{-1}\langle \tilde{Y} | \tilde{\Xi} \rangle} \tilde{\Xi}_j \partial_{\Xi_j} \mathcal{H} \left(X + \frac{\tilde{Y}}{2}, \widetilde{\partial_X \mathcal{S}}(X, \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon) \right) \mathcal{A}(X + \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon) \\
&= -i\hbar c_{3,2}^2 \int d\tilde{Y} d\tilde{\Xi} e^{-i\hbar^{-1}\langle \tilde{Y} | \tilde{\Xi} \rangle} \partial_{\tilde{Y}_j} \left[\partial_{\Xi_j} \mathcal{H} \left(X + \frac{\tilde{Y}}{2}, \widetilde{\partial_X \mathcal{S}}(X, \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon) \right) \mathcal{A}(X + \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon) \right] \\
&= -i\hbar \partial_{\tilde{Y}_j} \left[\partial_{\Xi_j} \mathcal{H} \left(X + \frac{\tilde{Y}}{2}, \widetilde{\partial_X \mathcal{S}}(X, \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon) \right) \mathcal{A}(X + \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon) \right] \Big|_{\tilde{Y}=0} \\
&= -i\hbar \left\{ \partial_{X_j} \mathcal{A}(\ast) \partial_{\Xi_j} \mathcal{H}(\ast\ast) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{X_j \Xi_j}^2 \mathcal{H}(\ast\ast) + \partial_{X_j X_k}^2 \mathcal{S}(\ast) \partial_{\Xi_k \Xi_j}^2 \mathcal{H}(\ast\ast) \right) \mathcal{A}(\ast) \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.35}$$

In the last line above, we put

$$(\ast) = (X, \Upsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad (\ast\ast) = (X, \partial_X \mathcal{S}(X, \Upsilon)),$$

respectively. Thus, we get the main terms of (4.22) formally.

The remainder term is derived from

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_L(X, \Upsilon) &= c_{3,2}^2 \int d\tilde{Y} d\tilde{\Xi} e^{-i\hbar^{-1}\langle \tilde{Y} | \tilde{\Xi} \rangle} \tilde{\Xi}_j \tilde{\Xi}_k \\
&\quad \times \left[\int_0^1 d\tau_1 (1 - \tau_1) \partial_{\Xi_k \Xi_j}^2 \mathcal{H} \left(X + \frac{\tilde{Y}}{2}, \tau_1 \tilde{\Xi} + \widetilde{\partial_X \mathcal{S}}(X, \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon) \right) \right] \mathcal{A}(X + \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

As $\partial_{\Xi_m \Xi_\ell \Xi_k \Xi_j}^4 \mathcal{H} = 0$, we have, for any $\tau_1 \in (0, 1)$, $\tilde{\Xi} \in \mathfrak{R}^{3|2}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{\Xi_j \Xi_k}^2 \mathcal{H} \left(X + \frac{\tilde{Y}}{2}, \tau_1 \tilde{\Xi} + \widetilde{\partial_X \mathcal{S}}(X, \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon) \right) &= \partial_{\Xi_k \Xi_j}^2 \mathcal{H} \left(X + \frac{\tilde{Y}}{2}, \widetilde{\partial_X \mathcal{S}}(X, \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon) \right) \\
&\quad + \tau_1 \tilde{\Xi}_\ell \partial_{\Xi_\ell \Xi_k \Xi_j}^3 \mathcal{H} \left(X + \frac{\tilde{Y}}{2}, \widetilde{\partial_X \mathcal{S}}(X, \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.37}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{R}_L(X, \Upsilon) = \mathcal{R}_{L1}(X, \Upsilon) + \mathcal{R}_{L2}(X, \Upsilon) \tag{4.38}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_{L1}(X, \Upsilon) &= c_{3,2}^2 \int d\tilde{Y} d\tilde{\Xi} e^{-i\hbar^{-1}\langle \tilde{Y} | \tilde{\Xi} \rangle} \tilde{\Xi}_j \tilde{\Xi}_k \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\Xi_k \Xi_j}^2 \mathcal{H}(\widetilde{\ast\ast}) \mathcal{A}(\tilde{\ast}) \\
\mathcal{R}_{L2}(X, \Upsilon) &= c_{3,2}^2 \int d\tilde{Y} d\tilde{\Xi} e^{-i\hbar^{-1}\langle \tilde{Y} | \tilde{\Xi} \rangle} \tilde{\Xi}_j \tilde{\Xi}_k \frac{1}{6} \tilde{\Xi}_\ell \partial_{\Xi_\ell \Xi_k \Xi_j}^3 \mathcal{H}(\widetilde{\ast\ast}) \mathcal{A}(\tilde{\ast})
\end{aligned}$$

where we put $(\widetilde{\ast\ast}) = \left(X + \frac{\tilde{Y}}{2}, \widetilde{\partial_X \mathcal{S}}(X, \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon) \right)$ and $(\tilde{\ast}) = (X + \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon)$, respectively. Using (4.33) and integration by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_{L1}(\ast) &= \frac{-i\hbar}{2} c_{3,2}^2 \int d\tilde{Y} d\tilde{\Xi} e^{-i\hbar^{-1}\langle \tilde{Y} | \tilde{\Xi} \rangle} \tilde{\Xi}_k (-1)^{p(\tilde{Y}_j)p(\tilde{\Xi}_k)} \\
&\quad \times \left[\partial_{X_j} \mathcal{A}(\tilde{\ast}) \partial_{\Xi_k \Xi_j}^2 \mathcal{H}(\widetilde{\ast\ast}) + \partial_{\tilde{Y}_j} \widetilde{\partial_{X_\ell} \mathcal{S}}(X, \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon) \partial_{\Xi_\ell \Xi_k \Xi_j}^3 \mathcal{H}(\widetilde{\ast\ast}) \mathcal{A}(\tilde{\ast}) \right] \\
&= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2} (-1)^{p(\tilde{Y}_j)p(\tilde{\Xi}_k)} \left[\left(\frac{1}{3} \mathcal{A}(\ast) \partial_{X_k X_j X_\ell}^3 \mathcal{S}(\ast) + \partial_{X_k} \mathcal{A}(\ast) \frac{1}{2} \partial_{X_j X_\ell}^2 \mathcal{S}(\ast) \right) \partial_{\Xi_\ell \Xi_k \Xi_j}^3 \mathcal{H}(\ast\ast) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \partial_{X_k X_j}^2 \mathcal{A}(\ast) \partial_{\Xi_k \Xi_j}^2 \mathcal{H}(\ast\ast) \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{4.39}$$

Here, we used

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{\tilde{Y}_j} \widetilde{\partial_{X_\ell} \mathcal{S}}(X, \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon) \Big|_{\tilde{Y}=0} &= \int_0^1 d\tau \tau \partial_{X_j X_\ell}^2 \mathcal{S}(X + \tau \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon) \Big|_{\tilde{Y}=0} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{X_j X_\ell}^2 \mathcal{S}(X, \Upsilon), \\
\partial_{\tilde{Y}_j} \partial_{\tilde{Y}_k} \widetilde{\partial_{X_\ell} \mathcal{S}}(X, \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon) \Big|_{\tilde{Y}=0} &= \int_0^1 d\tau \tau^2 \partial_{X_k X_j X_\ell}^3 \mathcal{S}(X + \tau \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon) \Big|_{\tilde{Y}=0} = \frac{1}{3} \partial_{X_k X_j X_\ell}^3 \mathcal{S}(X, \Upsilon),
\end{aligned}$$

Calculating analogously, we get

$$\mathcal{R}_{L2}(\ast) = \frac{(-i\hbar)^3}{6} (-1)^{p(\tilde{Y}_j)p(\tilde{\Xi}_k)+p(\tilde{Y}_j)p(\tilde{\Xi}_\ell)+p(\tilde{Y}_k)p(\tilde{\Xi}_\ell)} \partial_{X_\ell X_k X_j}^3 \mathcal{A}(\ast) \partial_{\Xi_\ell \Xi_k \Xi_j}^3 \mathcal{H}(\ast\ast). \tag{4.40}$$

(II) To make the above procedure rigorous, we need to justify the usages of the changing the order of integration and those of delta functions. But, these are readily justified by using oscillatory integrals (see, Kumano-go [27]). Moreover, the estimate (4.23) is obtained easily. For example, we consider the first term of (4.39)

$$\mathcal{A}(*)\partial_{X_k X_j X_\ell}^3 \mathcal{S}(*)\partial_{\Xi_\ell \Xi_k \Xi_j}^3 \mathcal{H}(**).$$

By the structure of $\mathcal{H}$, we have terms as

$$\mathcal{A}(X)\partial_{\theta_1 \theta_2 x_j}^3 \mathcal{S}(X)\partial_{\pi_1 \pi_2 \xi_j}^3 \mathcal{H}(X, \partial_X \mathcal{S}(X, \Upsilon)).$$

The derivatives $\partial_X^\alpha \partial_\Upsilon^\beta$ of these terms have clearly bounded body terms by (3.86) and (2.34). $\square$

Remark. The main term is easily obtained from

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=0}^1 \frac{(-i\hbar)^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} \partial_{\tilde{Y}}^\alpha \left(\partial_{\tilde{\Xi}}^\alpha \mathcal{H}(X + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\Xi} + \widetilde{\partial_X \mathcal{S}(X, \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon)}) \cdot \mathcal{A}(X + \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon) \right) \Big|_{\substack{\tilde{Y}=0, \\ \tilde{\Xi}=0}}. \quad (4.41)$$

The following theorem is given for the future use.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S})$, $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ be as above. Then, there exists $\mathcal{B}_R = \mathcal{B}_R(X, \Upsilon)$ such that*

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S})\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{B}_R, \mathcal{S}). \quad (4.42)$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{B}_R$ has the following expansion:

$$\mathcal{B}_R = \mathcal{A}\mathcal{H} - (-1)^{p(\Upsilon_j)} i\hbar \left\{ \partial_{\Upsilon_j} \mathcal{A} \cdot \partial_{X_j} \mathcal{H} + \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{p(\Upsilon_k)} \mathcal{A} \left(\partial_{\Upsilon_j X_j}^2 \mathcal{H} + \partial_{\Upsilon_j \Upsilon_k}^2 \mathcal{S} \cdot \partial_{X_k X_j}^2 \mathcal{H} \right) \right\} + \mathcal{R}_R \quad (4.43)$$

where arguments of $\mathcal{B}_R$, $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ are (X, Υ) and that of $\mathcal{H}$ is $((-1)^{p(\Upsilon)} \partial_\Upsilon \mathcal{S}(X, \Upsilon), \Upsilon)$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{R}_R(X, \Upsilon)$ has the following from:

$$\mathcal{R}_R(X, \Upsilon) = \mathcal{R}_{R,i}^{(1)}(X, \Upsilon) \Upsilon_i + \mathcal{R}_R^{(0)}(X, \Upsilon). \quad (4.44)$$

Proof. As before, it is enough to calculate formally which yields (4.43).

(i) Remarking $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ is represented as (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S})\hat{\mathcal{H}}u(X) &= c_{3,2}^3 \int d\Xi dY d\Upsilon \mathcal{A}(X, \Xi) e^{i\hbar^{-1}(S(X, \Xi) + \langle Y | \Upsilon - \Xi \rangle)} \mathcal{H}^0(Y, \Upsilon) \hat{u}(\Upsilon) \\ &= c_{3,2} \int d\Upsilon e^{i\hbar^{-1}S(X, \Upsilon)} \mathcal{B}_R(X, \Upsilon) \hat{u}(\Upsilon), \end{aligned} \quad (4.45)$$

with

$$\mathcal{B}_R(X, \Upsilon) = c_{3,2}^2 \int d\Xi dY \mathcal{A}(X, \Xi) e^{i\hbar^{-1}(S(X, \Xi) - S(X, \Upsilon) + \langle Y | \Upsilon - \Xi \rangle)} \mathcal{H}^0(Y, \Upsilon). \quad (4.46)$$

Using

$$S(X, \Xi) - S(X, \Upsilon) = (\Xi_j - \Upsilon_j) \widetilde{\partial_{\Upsilon_j} \mathcal{S}(X, \Xi, \Upsilon)} = \langle \widetilde{D_\Upsilon \mathcal{S}(X, \Xi, \Upsilon)} | \Xi - \Upsilon \rangle,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\partial_{\Upsilon_j} \mathcal{S}(X, \Xi - \Upsilon, \Upsilon)} &= \int_0^1 d\tau \partial_{\Upsilon_j} \mathcal{S}(X, \Upsilon + \tau(\Xi - \Upsilon)), \\ \widetilde{D_{\Upsilon_j} \mathcal{S}(X, \Xi - \Upsilon, \Upsilon)} &= (-1)^{p(\Upsilon_j)} \int_0^1 d\tau \partial_{\Upsilon_j} \mathcal{S}(X, \Upsilon + \tau(\Xi - \Upsilon)), \end{aligned}$$

we define a change of variables as

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\Xi} = \Xi - \Upsilon, \\ \tilde{Y} = Y - \widetilde{D_\Upsilon \mathcal{S}(X, \Xi - \Upsilon, \Upsilon)} \end{cases} \longleftrightarrow \begin{cases} \Xi = \Upsilon + \tilde{\Xi}, \\ Y = \tilde{Y} + \widetilde{D_\Upsilon \mathcal{S}(X, \tilde{\Xi}, \Upsilon)}. \end{cases} \quad (4.47)$$

Rewriting (4.45), we get

$$\mathcal{B}_R(X, \Upsilon) = c_{3,2}^2 \int d\tilde{\Xi} d\tilde{Y} e^{-i\hbar^{-1} \langle \tilde{Y} | \tilde{\Xi} \rangle} \mathcal{A}(X, \tilde{\Xi} + \Upsilon) \mathcal{H}^0(\tilde{Y} + \widetilde{D_\Upsilon \mathcal{S}(X, \tilde{\Xi}, \Upsilon)}, \Upsilon). \quad (4.48)$$

(ii) Using Taylor's expansion for $\mathcal{H}^0(\dots)$ w.r.t. $\tilde{Y}$, we decompose

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^0(\tilde{Y} + \widetilde{D_{\Upsilon} \mathcal{S}}(X, \tilde{\Xi}, \Upsilon), \Upsilon) &= \mathcal{H}^0(\widetilde{D_{\Upsilon} \mathcal{S}}(X, \tilde{\Xi}, \Upsilon), \Upsilon) + \tilde{Y}_j \partial_{X_j} \mathcal{H}^0(\widetilde{D_{\Upsilon} \mathcal{S}}(X, \tilde{\Xi}, \Upsilon), \Upsilon) \\ &\quad + \tilde{Y}_j \tilde{Y}_k \widetilde{\partial_{X_k X_j}^2 \mathcal{H}^0(X, \tilde{\Xi}, \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon)} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\widetilde{\partial_{X_k X_j}^2 \mathcal{H}^0(X, \tilde{\Xi}, \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon)} = \int_0^1 d\tau_1 (1 - \tau_1) \partial_{X_k X_j}^2 \mathcal{H}(\tau_1 \tilde{Y} + \widetilde{D_{\Upsilon} \mathcal{S}}(X, \tilde{\Xi}, \Upsilon), \Upsilon). \quad (4.49)$$

So, we put

$$\mathcal{B}_R(X, \Upsilon) = I_1 + I_2 + I_3,$$

where

$$I_1 = c_{3,2}^2 \int d\tilde{\Xi} d\tilde{Y} e^{-i\hbar^{-1} \langle \tilde{Y} | \tilde{\Xi} \rangle} \mathcal{A}(X, \tilde{\Xi} + \Upsilon) \mathcal{H}^0(\widetilde{D_{\Upsilon} \mathcal{S}}(X, \tilde{\Xi}, \Upsilon), \Upsilon), \quad (4.50)$$

$$I_2 = c_{3,2}^2 \int d\tilde{\Xi} d\tilde{Y} e^{-i\hbar^{-1} \langle \tilde{Y} | \tilde{\Xi} \rangle} \mathcal{A}(X, \tilde{\Xi} + \Upsilon) \tilde{Y}_j \partial_{X_j} \mathcal{H}^0(\widetilde{D_{\Upsilon} \mathcal{S}}(X, \tilde{\Xi}, \Upsilon), \Upsilon), \quad (4.51)$$

and

$$I_3 = c_{3,2}^2 \int d\tilde{\Xi} d\tilde{Y} e^{-i\hbar^{-1} \langle \tilde{Y} | \tilde{\Xi} \rangle} \mathcal{A}(X, \tilde{\Xi} + \Upsilon) \tilde{Y}_j \tilde{Y}_k \widetilde{\partial_{X_k X_j}^2 \mathcal{H}^0(X, \tilde{\Xi}, \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon)}. \quad (4.52)$$

(iii) Using (4.32), we get readily

$$I_1 = \mathcal{A}(X, \tilde{\Xi} + \Upsilon) \mathcal{H}^0(\widetilde{D_{\Upsilon} \mathcal{S}}(X, \tilde{\Xi}, \Upsilon), \Upsilon) \Big|_{\tilde{\Xi}=0} = \mathcal{A}(X, \Upsilon) \mathcal{H}^0(\partial_{\Upsilon} \mathcal{S}(X, \Upsilon), \Upsilon). \quad (4.53)$$

Remarking the second equality of (4.33), integration by parts and applying (4.32), we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= (-1)^{1-p(\tilde{\Xi}_j)} i\hbar \partial_{\tilde{\Xi}_j} \left[\mathcal{A}(X, \tilde{\Xi} + \Upsilon) \partial_{X_j} \mathcal{H}^0(\widetilde{D_{\Upsilon} \mathcal{S}}(X, \tilde{\Xi}, \Upsilon), \Upsilon) \right] \Big|_{\tilde{\Xi}=0} \\ &= (-1)^{1-p(\tilde{\Xi}_j)} i\hbar \left[\partial_{\Upsilon_j} \mathcal{A} \cdot \partial_{X_j} \mathcal{H}^0 + \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{p(\Upsilon_k)} \mathcal{A} \cdot \partial_{\Upsilon_j \Upsilon_k}^2 \mathcal{S} \cdot \partial_{X_k X_j}^2 \mathcal{H}^0 \right] \end{aligned} \quad (4.54)$$

with arguments of $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{S}$ are (X, Υ) and that of $\mathcal{H}^0$ is $(\partial_{\Upsilon} \mathcal{S}(X, \Upsilon), \Upsilon)$. Therefore, we have the main terms of (4.43) by adding $I_1 + I_2$.

(iv) Using the second equality of (4.33) twice, we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= c_{3,2}^2 \int d\tilde{\Xi} d\tilde{Y} e^{-i\hbar^{-1} \langle \tilde{Y} | \tilde{\Xi} \rangle} \mathcal{A}(X, \tilde{\Xi} + \Upsilon) \tilde{Y}_j \tilde{Y}_k \widetilde{\partial_{X_k X_j}^2 \mathcal{H}^0(X, \tilde{\Xi}, \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon)} \\ &= -(-1)^{p(\tilde{\Xi}_j)(1+p(\Upsilon_k))} i\hbar c_{3,2}^2 \int d\tilde{\Xi} d\tilde{Y} e^{-i\hbar^{-1} \langle \tilde{Y} | \tilde{\Xi} \rangle} \tilde{Y}_k \left[\partial_{\tilde{\Xi}_j} \mathcal{A}(X, \tilde{\Xi} + \Upsilon) \widetilde{\partial_{X_k X_j}^2 \mathcal{H}^0(X, \tilde{\Xi}, \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mathcal{A}(X, \tilde{\Xi} + \Upsilon) \partial_{\tilde{\Xi}_j} [\widetilde{\partial_{X_k X_j}^2 \mathcal{H}^0(X, \tilde{\Xi}, \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon)}] \right] \\ &= (-1)^{(1+p(\tilde{\Xi}_j)(1+p(\tilde{\Xi}_k)))} \hbar^2 c_{3,2}^2 \int d\tilde{\Xi} d\tilde{Y} e^{-i\hbar^{-1} \langle \tilde{Y} | \tilde{\Xi} \rangle} \left\{ \partial_{\tilde{\Xi}_k \tilde{\Xi}_j}^2 \mathcal{A} \cdot \partial_{X_k X_j}^2 \mathcal{H}^0 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2\partial_{\tilde{\Xi}_j} \mathcal{A} \cdot \partial_{\tilde{\Xi}_k} [\widetilde{\partial_{X_k X_j}^2 \mathcal{H}^0}] + \mathcal{A} \partial_{\tilde{\Xi}_j \tilde{\Xi}_k}^2 [\widetilde{\partial_{X_k X_j}^2 \mathcal{H}^0}] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (4.55)$$

where in the last equality, the argument of $\mathcal{A}$ is $(X, \tilde{\Xi} + \Upsilon)$ and that of $\widetilde{\partial_{X_k X_j}^2 \mathcal{H}^0}$ is $(X, \tilde{\Xi}, \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon)$.

Remarking (4.32) once more, we have

$$\partial_{\tilde{\Xi}_j} [\widetilde{\partial_{X_k X_j}^2 \mathcal{H}^0(X, \tilde{\Xi}, \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon)}] = \partial_{\tilde{\Xi}_j} [\widetilde{D_{\Upsilon_\ell} \mathcal{S}}] \cdot \int_0^1 d\tau_1 (1 - \tau_1) \partial_{X_\ell X_k X_j}^3 \mathcal{H}^0(\tau_1 \tilde{Y} + \widetilde{D_{\Upsilon} \mathcal{S}}(X, \tilde{\Xi}, \Upsilon), \Upsilon), \quad (4.56)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_{\tilde{\Xi}_j \tilde{\Xi}_k}^2 [\widetilde{\partial_{X_k X_j}^2 \mathcal{H}^0(X, \tilde{\Xi}, \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon)}] \\ &= \partial_{\tilde{\Xi}_j \tilde{\Xi}_k}^2 [\widetilde{D_{\Upsilon_\ell} \mathcal{S}}] \int_0^1 d\tau_1 (1 - \tau_1) \partial_{X_\ell X_k X_j}^3 \mathcal{H}^0(\tau_1 \tilde{Y} + \widetilde{D_{\Upsilon} \mathcal{S}}(X, \tilde{\Xi}, \Upsilon), \Upsilon) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{p(\tilde{\Xi}_k)(p(\Upsilon_\ell)+p(\tilde{\Xi}_j))} \partial_{\tilde{\Xi}_j} [\widetilde{D_{\Upsilon_\ell} \mathcal{S}}] \cdot \partial_{\tilde{\Xi}_k} [\widetilde{D_{\Upsilon_n} \mathcal{S}}] \\ &\quad \times \int_0^1 d\tau_1 (1 - \tau_1) \partial_{X_n X_\ell X_k X_j}^4 \mathcal{H}^0(\tau_1 \tilde{Y} + \widetilde{D_{\Upsilon} \mathcal{S}}(X, \tilde{\Xi}, \Upsilon), \Upsilon). \end{aligned} \quad (4.57)$$

Therefore, we get

$$\mathcal{B}_R = \mathcal{A}\mathcal{H}^0 - (-1)^{p(\tilde{\Xi}_j)} i\hbar \left\{ \partial_{\Upsilon_j X_j}^2 \mathcal{H}^0 + (-1)^{p(\tilde{\Upsilon}_k)} \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A} \cdot \left(\partial_{\Upsilon_j X_j}^2 \mathcal{H}^0 + \partial_{\Upsilon_j \Upsilon_k}^2 \mathcal{S} \cdot \partial_{X_k X_j}^2 \mathcal{H}^0 \right) \right\} + \mathcal{R}_R \quad (4.58)$$

with

$$\mathcal{R}_R(X, \Upsilon) = I_{31} + I_{32} + I_{33}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_{31} &= (-1)^{(1+p(\tilde{\Xi}_j))(1+p(\tilde{\Xi}_k))} \hbar^2 c_{3,2}^2 \int d\tilde{\Xi} d\tilde{Y} e^{-i\hbar^{-1} \langle \tilde{Y} | \tilde{\Xi} \rangle} \partial_{\tilde{\Xi}_k \tilde{\Xi}_j}^2 \mathcal{A} \cdot \widetilde{\partial_{X_k X_j}^2 \mathcal{H}^0}, \\ I_{32} &= (-1)^{(1+p(\tilde{\Xi}_j))(1+p(\tilde{\Xi}_k))} \hbar^2 c_{3,2}^2 \int d\tilde{\Xi} d\tilde{Y} e^{-i\hbar^{-1} \langle \tilde{Y} | \tilde{\Xi} \rangle} 2 \partial_{\tilde{\Xi}_j}^2 \mathcal{A} \cdot \widetilde{\partial_{X_k X_j}^2 \mathcal{H}^0}, \\ I_{33} &= (-1)^{(1+p(\tilde{\Xi}_j))(1+p(\tilde{\Xi}_k))} \hbar^2 c_{3,2}^2 \int d\tilde{\Xi} d\tilde{Y} e^{-i\hbar^{-1} \langle \tilde{Y} | \tilde{\Xi} \rangle} \mathcal{A} \partial_{\tilde{\Xi}_j \tilde{\Xi}_k}^2 [\widetilde{\partial_{X_k X_j}^2 \mathcal{H}^0}]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.59)$$

Finally, we estimate $\mathcal{R}_R(X, \Upsilon)$ using the structure of $\mathcal{H}^0$. As

$$\partial_{X_4 X_5}^2 \mathcal{H}^0(X, \Xi) = \partial_{\theta_1 \theta_2}^2 \mathcal{H}^0(X, \Xi) = -c(\xi_1 + i\xi_2),$$

using (4.49), we get

$$\widetilde{\partial_{X_4 X_5}^2 \mathcal{H}^0}(X, \tilde{\Xi}, \tilde{Y}, \Upsilon) = -\frac{c}{2}(\eta_1 + i\eta_2).$$

Therefore,

$$I_{31} = I_{311} + I_{312}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} I_{311} &= \hbar^2 c_{3,2}^4 \int d\tilde{\Xi} d\tilde{Y} e^{-i\hbar^{-1} \langle \tilde{Y} | \tilde{\Xi} \rangle} \partial_{\tilde{\Xi}_k \tilde{\Xi}_j}^2 \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{S}_{ijk}(X, \tilde{\Xi}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}, \Upsilon)(\tilde{\Upsilon}_i + \tilde{\Xi}_i + \Upsilon_i), \\ I_{312} &= \hbar^2 c_{3,2}^4 \int d\tilde{\Xi} d\tilde{Y} e^{-i\hbar^{-1} \langle \tilde{Y} | \tilde{\Xi} \rangle} \partial_{\tilde{\Xi}_k \tilde{\Xi}_j}^2 \mathcal{A} \cdot \Psi_{jk}(X, \tilde{\Xi}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}, \Upsilon). \end{aligned}$$

By integration by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_{311} &= -\frac{i\hbar^3}{2} c_{3,2}^2 \int d\tilde{\Xi} d\tilde{Z} e^{-i\hbar^{-1} \langle \tilde{Z} | \tilde{\Xi} \rangle} \partial_{\tilde{\Xi}_k \tilde{\Xi}_j}^2 \mathcal{A} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{jk}(X, \tilde{\Xi}, 0, \tilde{Z}, \Upsilon) \\ &\quad + \hbar^2 c_{3,2}^2 \int d\tilde{\Xi} d\tilde{Z} e^{-i\hbar^{-1} \langle \tilde{Z} | \tilde{\Xi} \rangle} \partial_{\tilde{\Xi}_k \tilde{\Xi}_j}^2 \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{S}_{ijk}(X, \tilde{\Xi}, 0, \tilde{Z}, \Upsilon) \Upsilon_i \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{jk}(X, \tilde{\Xi}, 0, \tilde{Z}, \Upsilon) = \int_0^1 d\tau_1 \tau_1 (1 - \tau_1) \partial_{X_i X_k X_j}^3 A_i \left(\tau_1 \tilde{Z} + \widetilde{D_{\Upsilon} \mathcal{S}}(X, \tilde{\Xi}, \Upsilon) \right),$$

and also

$$I_{312} = \hbar^2 c_{3,2}^2 \int d\tilde{\Xi} d\tilde{Z} e^{-i\hbar^{-1} \langle \tilde{Z} | \tilde{\Xi} \rangle} \partial_{\tilde{\Xi}_k \tilde{\Xi}_j}^2 \mathcal{A} \cdot \Psi_{jk}(X, \tilde{\Xi}, 0, \tilde{Z}, \Upsilon).$$

Therefore, applying the same procedures to I_{32} and I_{33} , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{R,i}^{(1)}(X, \Upsilon) &= \hbar^2 c_{3,2}^2 \int d\tilde{\Xi} d\tilde{Z} e^{-i\hbar^{-1} \langle \tilde{Z} | \tilde{\Xi} \rangle} \partial_{\tilde{\Xi}_k \tilde{\Xi}_j}^2 \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{S}_{ijk}(X, \tilde{\Xi}, 0, \tilde{Z}, \Upsilon) + \cdots, \\ \mathcal{R}_R^{(0)}(X, \Upsilon) &= -\frac{i\hbar^3}{2} c_{3,2}^2 \int d\tilde{\Xi} d\tilde{Z} e^{-i\hbar^{-1} \langle \tilde{Z} | \tilde{\Xi} \rangle} \partial_{\tilde{\Xi}_k \tilde{\Xi}_j}^2 \mathcal{A} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{jk}(X, \tilde{\Xi}, 0, \tilde{Z}, \Upsilon) + I_{312} + \cdots \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

5. PROOFS OF THEOREM 2.6 AND THEOREM 2.7.

5.1. The infinitesimal generator. Let $u \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{SS},0}(\mathfrak{R}^{3|2})$. As

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\mathcal{A} e^{i\hbar^{-1} \mathcal{S}}) = (i\hbar \mathcal{A}_t - \mathcal{S}_t \mathcal{A}) e^{i\hbar^{-1} \mathcal{S}},$$

using the Hamilton-Jacobi equation, the continuity equation and the composition formula (4.22), we have

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathcal{U}(t, s) u(X) &= c_{3,2} \int_{\mathfrak{R}^{3|2}} d\Xi \left\{ \mathcal{H} \mathcal{A} - i\hbar [\mathcal{A}_{X_j} \mathcal{H}_{\Xi_j} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A} (\mathcal{H}_{X_j \Xi_j} + \mathcal{S}_{X_j \Xi_k} \mathcal{H}_{\Xi_k \Xi_j})] \right\} e^{i\hbar^{-1} \mathcal{S}} \hat{u}(\Xi) \\ &= \hat{\mathcal{H}}(X, \partial_X) \mathcal{U}(t, s) u(X) - \mathcal{R}_L(t, s) u(X) \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

with

$$\mathcal{R}_L(t, s)u = c_{3,2} \int d\Xi \mathcal{R}_L(t, s; X, \Xi) e^{i\hbar^{-1}S(t,s;X,\Xi)} \hat{u}(\Xi).$$

Moreover, we have

Proposition 5.1.

$$\|\mathcal{R}_L(t, s)u\| \leq C|t - s|\|u\|. \quad (5.2)$$

Proof. Using the estimates (3.86) and (2.34), we get

$$|\pi_B \partial_x^a \partial_\Xi^b \mathcal{R}_L(t, s; X, \Xi)| \leq C_{a,b}|t - s|.$$

Therefore, we may proceed as we did in proving Theorem 3?.3 to have (5.2). $\square$

5.2. Evolutional property. The following theorem gives one of the main estimate necessary to apply Theorem A.1 of [15] to our case.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $u \in \mathcal{C}_{SS,0}(\mathfrak{R}^{3|2})$. If $|t - s| + |s - r|$ is sufficiently small, we have*

$$\|\mathcal{U}(t, s)\mathcal{U}(s, r)u - \mathcal{U}(t, r)u\| \leq C(|t - s|^2 + |s - r|^2)\|u\|. \quad (5.3)$$

By definition, we have

$$\mathcal{U}(t, r)\underline{u}(X) = c_{3,2} \int_{\mathfrak{R}^{3|2}} d\Xi \mathcal{D}^{1/2}(t, r; X, \Xi) e^{i\hbar^{-1}S(t,r;X,\Xi)} \mathcal{F}\underline{u}(\Xi),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}(t, s)\mathcal{U}(s, r)\underline{u}(X) &= c_{3,2} \int_{\mathfrak{R}^{3|2}} d\Upsilon \mathcal{D}^{1/2}(t, s; X, \Upsilon) e^{i\hbar^{-1}S(t,s;X,\Upsilon)} \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{U}(s, r)\underline{u})(\Upsilon) \\ &= c_{3,2}^3 \int_{\mathfrak{R}^{3|2} \times \mathfrak{R}^{3|2} \times \mathfrak{R}^{3|2}} d\Upsilon dY d\Xi \mathcal{D}^{1/2}(t, s; X, \Upsilon) \mathcal{D}^{1/2}(s, r; Y, \Xi) \\ &\quad \times e^{i\hbar^{-1}(S(t,s;X,\Upsilon) - \langle Y|\Upsilon \rangle + S(s,r;Y,\Xi))} \mathcal{F}\underline{u}(\Xi). \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

To prove Theorem 5.2, we follow the procedure of Taniguchi [45]. For the sake of notational simplicity, we use the following abbreviation:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1(X, \Xi) &= \mathcal{S}(t, s; X, \Xi), \quad \phi_2(X, \Xi) = \mathcal{S}(s, r; X, \Xi), \quad \phi(X, \Xi) = \mathcal{S}(t, r; X, \Xi), \\ \mu_1(X, \Xi) &= \mathcal{D}^{1/2}(t, s; X, \Xi), \quad \mu_2(X, \Xi) = \mathcal{D}^{1/2}(s, r; X, \Xi), \quad \mu(X, \Xi) = \mathcal{D}^{1/2}(t, r; X, \Xi), \\ \lambda_1 &= |t - s|, \quad \lambda_2 = |s - r|, \quad \lambda = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

First of all, we prepare

Lemma 5.3. *There exists a unique solution $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Xi})$, $\tilde{X} = (\tilde{x}, \tilde{\theta})$, $\tilde{\Xi} = (\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\pi})$ of*

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{X}_A = (-1)^{p(\Xi_A)} \partial_{\Xi_A} \phi_1(X, \tilde{\Xi}), \\ \tilde{\Xi}_A = \partial_{X_A} \phi_2(\tilde{X}, \Xi), \end{cases} \quad i.e. \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{x}_j = \partial_{\xi_j} \phi_1(X, \tilde{\Xi}), \quad \tilde{\theta}_k = -\partial_{\pi_k} \phi_1(X, \tilde{\Xi}), \\ \tilde{\xi}_j = \partial_{x_j} \phi_2(\tilde{X}, \Xi), \quad \tilde{\pi}_k = \partial_{\theta_k} \phi_2(\tilde{X}, \Xi). \end{cases} \quad (5.6)$$

Moreover, we have, for $\mathfrak{a} = (\alpha, a)$, $\mathfrak{b} = (\beta, b)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\pi_B \partial_X^a \partial_\Xi^b (\tilde{X} - X)| &\leq C_{a,b} \lambda (1 + |x_B| + |\xi_B|)^{(1-|\alpha+\beta|)_+}, \\ |\pi_B \partial_X^a \partial_\Xi^b (\tilde{\Xi} - \Xi)| &\leq C_{a,b} \lambda (1 + |x_B| + |\xi_B|)^{(1-|\alpha+\beta|)_+}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

Proof. Putting

$$J_\alpha(X, \Xi) = \phi_\alpha(X, \Xi) - \langle X|\Xi \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{X} = X + Y, \quad \tilde{\Xi} = \Xi + \Upsilon,$$

$$\text{with } X = (x, \theta), \quad Y = (y, \omega), \quad \Xi = (\xi, \pi), \quad \Upsilon = (\eta, \rho),$$

we rewrite (5.6) as

$$\begin{cases} y_j = \partial_{\xi_j} J_1(X, \Xi + \Upsilon), & \omega_k = -\partial_{\pi_k} J_1(X, \Xi + \Upsilon), \\ \eta_j = \partial_{x_j} J_2(X + Y, \Xi), & \rho_k = \partial_{\theta_k} J_2(X + Y, \Xi), \end{cases} \quad (5.8)$$

where

$$y = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} y^{[2\ell]}, \quad y^{(2\ell)} = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} y^{[2j]}, \quad \omega = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \omega^{[2\ell+1]}, \quad \omega^{(2\ell+1)} = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \omega^{[2j+1]}, \quad \text{etc.}$$

Defining the map $\mathcal{T} : (Y, \Upsilon) \rightarrow ((-1)^{p(\Xi)} \partial_{\Xi} J_1(X, \Xi + \Upsilon), \partial_X J_2(X + Y, \Xi))$, we claim that there exists δ_0 , such that if $\lambda \leq \delta_0$, then there exists a fixed point of the map $\mathcal{T}$.

To prove this claim, we decompose, for $\alpha = 1, 2$,

$$J_{\alpha}(X, \Xi) = J_{\alpha, \bar{0}\bar{0}} + J_{\alpha, \bar{1}\bar{0}} \theta_1 \theta_2 + \sum_{j,k=1}^2 J_{\alpha, c_j d_k} \theta^{c_j} \pi^{d_k} + J_{\alpha, \bar{0}\bar{1}} \pi_1 \pi_2 + J_{\alpha, \bar{1}\bar{1}} \theta_1 \theta_2 \pi_1 \pi_2$$

where $J_{\alpha, **} = J_{\alpha, **}(x, \xi)$ and $\bar{0} = (0, 0)$, $\bar{1} = (1, 1)$, $c_1 = (1, 0) = d_1$, $c_2 = (0, 1) = d_2 \in \{0, 1\}^2$.

Existence: We consider the body part of (5.8).

$$\begin{cases} y_j^{[0]} = \partial_{\xi_j} J_1(x^{[0]}, 0, \xi^{[0]} + \eta^{[0]}, 0) = \partial_{\xi_j} J_{1, \bar{0}\bar{0}}(x^{[0]}, \xi^{[0]} + \eta^{[0]}), \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \\ \eta_j^{[0]} = \partial_{x_j} J_2(x^{[0]} + y^{[0]}, 0, \xi^{[0]}, 0) = \partial_{x_j} J_{2, \bar{0}\bar{0}}(x^{[0]} + y^{[0]}, \xi^{[0]}), \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \end{cases}$$

with $J_{\alpha, \bar{0}\bar{0}}(x^{[0]}, \xi^{[0]}) = \phi_{\alpha B}(x^{[0]}, \xi^{[0]}) - x^{[0]} \cdot \xi^{[0]}$ for $(\alpha = 1, 2)$. By Theorem 1.7 of [28], there exists δ_0 , such that if $|t - s| + |s - r| \leq \delta_0$, the unique existence of solutions $y^{[0]}, \eta^{[0]}$ of this equation is guaranteed. Moreover, the estimate is also established in Theorem 1.7' of [28].

Substituting these $y^{[0]}, \eta^{[0]}$ into (5.8), we get

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \omega_1^{[1]} &= -\partial_{\pi_1} J_1(x^{[0]}, \theta^{[1]}, \xi^{[0]} + \eta^{[0]}, \pi^{[1]} + \rho^{[1]}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^2 J_{1, c_j d_1}(x^{[0]}, \xi^{[0]} + \eta^{[0]})(\theta^{c_j})^{[1]} - J_{1, \bar{0}\bar{1}}(x^{[0]}, \xi^{[0]} + \eta^{[0]})(\pi_2^{[1]} + \rho_2^{[1]}), \\ \omega_2^{[1]} &= -\partial_{\pi_2} J_1(x^{[0]}, \theta^{[1]}, \xi^{[0]} + \eta^{[0]}, \pi^{[1]} + \rho^{[1]}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^2 J_{1, c_j d_2}(x^{[0]}, \xi^{[0]} + \eta^{[0]})(\theta^{c_j})^{[1]} + J_{1, \bar{0}\bar{1}}(x^{[0]}, \xi^{[0]} + \eta^{[0]})(\pi_1^{[1]} + \rho_1^{[1]}), \\ \rho_1^{[1]} &= \partial_{\theta_1} J_2(x^{[0]} + y^{[0]}, \theta^{[1]} + \omega^{[1]}, \xi^{[0]}, \pi^{[1]}) \\ &= J_{2, \bar{1}\bar{0}}(x^{[0]} + y^{[0]}, \xi^{[0]})(\theta_2^{[1]} + \omega_2^{[1]}) + \sum_{k=1}^2 J_{2, c_1 d_k}(x^{[0]} + y^{[0]}, \xi^{[0]})(\pi^{d_k})^{[1]}, \\ \rho_2^{[1]} &= \partial_{\theta_2} J_2(x^{[0]} + y^{[0]}, \theta^{[1]} + \omega^{[1]}, \xi^{[0]}, \pi^{[1]}) \\ &= -J_{2, \bar{1}\bar{0}}(x^{[0]} + y^{[0]}, \xi^{[0]})(\theta_1^{[1]} + \omega_1^{[1]}) + \sum_{k=1}^2 J_{2, c_2 d_k}(x^{[0]} + y^{[0]}, \xi^{[0]})(\pi^{d_k})^{[1]}. \end{aligned} \right.$$

Clearly, the components of the right-hand side above are the given data.

For the part of degree 2, we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} y_i^{[2]} = \partial_{\xi_i} J_1(x^{(2)}, \theta^{[1]}, \xi^{(2)} + \eta^{(2)}, \pi^{[1]} + \rho^{[1]}) \\ \quad = \partial_{\xi_i} J_{1, \bar{0}\bar{0}}(x, \xi + \eta)^{[2]} + \partial_{\xi_i} J_{1, \bar{1}\bar{0}}(x^{[0]}, \xi^{[0]} + \eta^{[0]}) \theta_1^{[1]} \theta_2^{[1]} \\ \quad \quad + \sum_{j,k=1}^2 \partial_{\xi_i} J_{1, c_j d_k}(x^{[0]}, \xi^{[0]} + \eta^{[0]}) (\theta^{c_j}(\pi + \rho)^{d_k})^{[2]} + \partial_{\xi_i} J_{1, \bar{0}\bar{1}}(x^{[0]}, \xi^{[0]} + \eta^{[0]}) (\pi_1^{[1]} + \rho_1^{[1]}) (\pi_2^{[1]} + \rho_2^{[1]}), \\ \eta_i^{[2]} = \partial_{x_i} J_2(x^{(2)} + y^{(2)}, \theta^{[1]} + \omega^{[1]}, \xi^{(2)}, \pi^{[1]}) \\ \quad = \partial_{x_i} J_{2, \bar{0}\bar{0}}(x + y, \xi)^{[2]} + \partial_{x_i} J_{2, \bar{1}\bar{0}}(x^{[0]} + y^{[0]}, \xi^{[0]}) (\theta_1^{[1]} + \omega_1^{[1]}) (\theta_2^{[1]} + \omega_2^{[1]}) \\ \quad \quad + \sum_{j,k=1}^2 \partial_{x_i} J_{2, c_j d_k}(x^{[0]} + y^{[0]}, \xi^{[0]}) ((\theta + \omega)^{c_j} \pi^{d_k})^{[2]} + \partial_{x_i} J_{1, \bar{0}\bar{1}}(x^{[0]} + y^{[0]}, \xi^{[0]}) \pi_1^{[1]} \pi_2^{[1]}. \end{array} \right.$$

Here, $\partial_{\xi_j} J_{1, \bar{0}\bar{0}}(x, \xi)^{[2]} = \sum_{i=1}^m [\partial_{\xi_j} \partial_{x_i} J_{1, \bar{0}\bar{0}}(x^{[0]}, \xi^{[0]}) x_i^{[2]} + \partial_{\xi_j} \partial_{\xi_i} J_{1, \bar{0}\bar{0}}(x^{[0]}, \xi^{[0]}) \xi_i^{[2]}]$, etc, and the right-hand sides are represented by the given data.

Then, analogously we have, for $\ell \geq 1$,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \omega^{[2\ell+1]} = \partial_{\pi} J_1(x^{(2\ell)}, \theta^{(2\ell+1)}, \xi^{(2\ell)} + \eta^{(2\ell)}, \pi^{(2\ell+1)} + \rho^{(2\ell+1)}), \\ \rho^{[2\ell+1]} = \partial_{\theta} J_2(x^{(2\ell)} + y^{(2\ell)}, \theta^{(2\ell+1)} + \omega^{(2\ell+1)}, \xi^{(2\ell)}, \pi^{(2\ell+1)}), \end{array} \right.$$

and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} y^{[2\ell+2]} = \partial_{\xi} J_1(x^{(2\ell+2)}, \theta^{(2\ell+1)}, \xi^{(2\ell+2)} + \eta^{(2\ell+2)}, \pi^{(2\ell+1)} + \rho^{(2\ell+1)}), \\ \eta^{[2\ell+2]} = \partial_{x_i} J_2(x^{(2\ell+2)} + y^{(2\ell+2)}, \theta^{(2\ell+1)} + \omega^{(2\ell+1)}, \xi^{(2\ell+2)}, \pi^{(2\ell+1)}). \end{array} \right.$$

Estimate: We proceed as we did in proving Proposition 3?.3. $\square$

Putting the $\#$ -product $\phi_1 \# \phi_2$ of ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 by

$$\phi_1 \# \phi_2(X, \Xi) = \phi_1(X, \tilde{\Xi}) - \langle \tilde{X} | \tilde{\Xi} \rangle + \phi_2(\tilde{X}, \Xi),$$

we have

Lemma 5.4.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_{X_A} \phi(X, \Xi) = \partial_{\Xi_A} \phi_1(X, \tilde{\Xi}), \\ \partial_{\Xi_A} \phi(X, \Xi) = \partial_{X_A} \phi_2(\tilde{X}, \Xi) \quad \text{for } A = 1, \dots, 5. \end{array} \right. \quad (5.9)$$

Proof. By the above definition of $\phi_1 \# \phi_2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{X_A} \phi_1 \# \phi_2(X, \Xi) &= \partial_{X_A} \phi_1(X, \tilde{\Xi}) + \partial_{X_A} \tilde{\Xi}_C \partial_{\Xi_C} \phi_1(X, \tilde{\Xi}) - \partial_{X_A} \tilde{X}_C \tilde{\Xi}_C - \partial_{X_A} \tilde{\Xi}_C (-1)^{p(\Xi_C)} \tilde{X}_C \\ &\quad + \partial_{X_A} \tilde{X}_C \partial_{X_C} \phi_2(\tilde{X}, \Xi) \\ &= \partial_{X_A} \phi_1(X, \tilde{\Xi}) + \partial_{X_A} \tilde{\Xi}_C (-1)^{p(\Xi_C)} \tilde{X}_C - \partial_{X_A} \tilde{X}_C \tilde{\Xi}_C - \partial_{X_A} \tilde{\Xi}_C (-1)^{p(\Xi_C)} \tilde{X}_C \\ &\quad + \partial_{X_A} \tilde{X}_C \tilde{\Xi}_C = \partial_{X_A} \phi_1(X, \tilde{\Xi}). \end{aligned}$$

Same holds for $\partial_{\Xi} \phi(X, \Xi)$. $\square$

Lemma 5.5.

$$\phi_1 \# \phi_2(X, \Xi) = \mathcal{S}(t, r; X, \Xi) = \phi(X, \Xi) \quad (5.10)$$

Proof. We differentiate $\phi_1 \# \phi_2(X, \Xi)$ w.r.t. s to have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \phi_1 \# \phi_2(X, \Xi) = 0.$$

As $\phi_1 \# \phi_2(X, \Xi)|_{s=r} = \phi_1 \# \phi_2(X, \Xi)|_{s=t} = \mathcal{S}(t, r, X, \Xi)$, we get the result. More precisely, repeat the arguments in proving Lemma 5.2 of [15] with necessary modifications. $\square$

Now, we have the following:

Proposition 5.6. *Under the same assumption as above, we have*

$$|\pi_B \partial_X^a \partial_{\Xi}^b (\mu_1(X, \tilde{\Xi}) \mu_2(\tilde{X}, \Xi) - \mu(X, \Xi))| \leq C_{a,b} \lambda^2. \quad (5.11)$$

Notation: In the following, for a small parameter $\delta > 0$, we denote by $\mathcal{S}_0^0[\delta]$, the class of functions $p(X, \Xi) \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{SS},0}(\mathfrak{R}^{3|2})$ satisfying

$$|\pi_B \partial_X^a \partial_{\Xi}^b p(X, \Xi)| \leq C_{a,b} \delta$$

with a constant $C_{a,b}$ independent of δ .

Proof. Differentiate the first equation of (5.9) w.r.t. Ξ to have

$$\partial_{\Xi} \partial_X \phi(X, \Xi) = \partial_{\Xi} \tilde{\Xi} \partial_{\Xi} \partial_X \phi_1(X, \tilde{\Xi}).$$

On the other hand, from (5.6), we have

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{\Xi} \tilde{X} = (-1)^{p(\Xi)} \partial_{\Xi} \tilde{\Xi} \partial_{\Xi} \partial_X \phi_1(X, \tilde{\Xi}), \\ \partial_{\Xi} \tilde{\Xi} = \partial_{\Xi} \tilde{X} \partial_X \phi_2(\tilde{X}, \Xi) + \partial_{\Xi} \partial_X \phi_2(\tilde{X}, \Xi). \end{cases}$$

Substituting the first equation above into the second one, we get

$$\partial_{\Xi} \tilde{\Xi} [I - (-1)^{p(\Xi)} \partial_{\Xi} \partial_{\Xi} \phi_1(X, \tilde{\Xi}) \partial_X \partial_X \phi_2(\tilde{X}, \Xi)] = \partial_{\Xi} \partial_X \phi_2(\tilde{X}, \Xi).$$

Because of

$$|\pi_B (-1)^{p(\Xi)} \partial_{\Xi} \partial_{\Xi} \phi_1(X, \tilde{\Xi}) \partial_X \partial_X \phi_2(\tilde{X}, \Xi)| \leq \delta_0 < 1,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\Xi} \partial_X \phi(X, \Xi) &= \partial_{\Xi} \partial_X \phi_2(\tilde{X}, \Xi) \\ &\times [I - (-1)^{p(\Xi)} \partial_{\Xi} \partial_{\Xi} \phi_1(X, \tilde{\Xi}) \partial_X \partial_X \phi_2(\tilde{X}, \Xi)]^{-1} \partial_{\Xi} \partial_X \phi_1(X, \tilde{\Xi}). \end{aligned}$$

We prove that there exists $q_1(X, \Xi)$ such that

$$\text{sdet}(I - (-1)^{p(\Xi)} \partial_{\Xi} \partial_{\Xi} \phi_1(X, \tilde{\Xi}) \partial_X \partial_X \phi_2(\tilde{X}, \Xi)) = 1 + q_1(X, \Xi).$$

Moreover, by the same argument of Proposition 1.5 of [28], we have

$$\pi_B(1 + q_1(X, \Xi)) \geq (1 - \delta_0)^m > 0,$$

which yields

$$\text{sdet} \partial_{\Xi} \partial_X \phi(X, \Xi) = \text{sdet}(\partial_{\Xi} \partial_X \phi_2(\tilde{X}, \Xi)) \cdot (1 + q_1(X, \Xi))^{-1} \cdot \text{sdet}(\partial_{\Xi} \partial_X \phi_1(X, \tilde{\Xi})).$$

Taking the square root of both sides, and remarking the elements of the right-hand side are even, we have

$$\mu(X, \Xi) = \mu_1(X, \tilde{\Xi}) \mu_2(\tilde{X}, \Xi) + q_2(X, \Xi)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} q_2(X, \Xi) &= \mu_1(X, \tilde{\Xi}) \mu_2(\tilde{X}, \Xi) [(1 + q_1(X, \Xi))^{-1/2} - 1] \\ &= -\mu_1(X, \tilde{\Xi}) \mu_2(\tilde{X}, \Xi) \frac{q_1(X, \Xi)}{\sqrt{1 + q_1(X, \Xi)}(1 + \sqrt{1 + q_1(X, \Xi)})}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have readily that $q_2(X, \Xi) \in \mathcal{S}_0^0[\lambda^2]$. □

Rewriting (5.6) by

$$Y = \tilde{X} + \bar{Y}, \quad \Upsilon = \tilde{\Xi} + \bar{\Upsilon},$$

we have

$$\phi_1(X, \Upsilon) - \langle Y | \Upsilon \rangle + \phi_2(Y, \Xi) - \phi(X, \Xi) = -\langle \bar{Y} | \bar{\Upsilon} \rangle + \mathcal{R}(X, \Xi, \bar{Y}, \bar{\Upsilon})$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}(X, \Xi, \bar{Y}, \bar{\Upsilon}) = \Psi_1(X, \Xi, \bar{\Upsilon}) + \Psi_2(X, \Xi, \bar{Y})$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_1(X, \Xi, \tilde{Y}) &= \Psi_1(\tilde{Y}) = \phi_1(X, \tilde{\Xi} + \tilde{Y}) - \phi_1(X, \tilde{\Xi}) - \langle \tilde{X} | \tilde{Y} \rangle, \\ \Psi_2(X, \Xi, \bar{Y}) &= \Psi_2(\bar{Y}) = \phi_2(\tilde{X} + \bar{Y}, \Xi) - \phi_2(\tilde{X}, \Xi) - \langle \bar{Y} | \tilde{\Xi} \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we may rewrite (5.6) as

$$\mathcal{U}(t, s)\mathcal{U}(s, r)u(X) = c_{3,2} \int_{\mathfrak{R}^{3|2}} d\Xi \mathcal{B}(X, \Xi) e^{i\hbar^{-1}\phi(X, \Xi)} \mathcal{F}u(\Xi),$$

with

$$\mathcal{B}(X, \Xi) = c_{3,2}^2 \int_{\mathfrak{R}^{3|2} \times \mathfrak{R}^{3|2}} d\tilde{Y} d\bar{Y} \mu_1(X, \tilde{\Xi} + \tilde{Y}) \mu_2(\tilde{X} + \bar{Y}, \Xi) e^{i\hbar^{-1}(\mathcal{R}(X, \Xi, \bar{Y}, \tilde{Y}) - \langle \bar{Y} | \tilde{Y} \rangle)}.$$

Now, we want to prove

Proposition 5.7.

$$|\pi_B \partial_X^a \partial_{\Xi}^b (\mathcal{B}(X, \Xi) - \mu_1(X, \tilde{\Xi}) \mu_2(\tilde{X}, \Xi))| \leq C_{a,b} \lambda^2. \quad (5.12)$$

To prove this Proposition, we remark

Lemma 5.8. $\Psi_1(X, \Xi, \tilde{Y})$ and $\Psi_2(X, \Xi, \bar{Y})$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}|\pi_B \partial_X^a \partial_{\Xi}^b \Psi_1(X, \Xi, \tilde{Y})| &\leq C_{a,b} |\pi_B \tilde{Y}|^2, \\ |\pi_B \partial_X^a \partial_{\Xi}^b \Psi_2(X, \Xi, \bar{Y})| &\leq C_{a,b} |\pi_B \bar{Y}|^2,\end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

$$\begin{aligned}|\pi_B \partial_X^a \partial_{\Xi}^b \partial_{\tilde{Y}}^c \Psi_1(X, \Xi, \tilde{Y})| &\leq C_{a,b,c} \langle \pi_B \tilde{Y} \rangle, \\ |\pi_B \partial_X^a \partial_{\Xi}^b \partial_{\bar{Y}}^c \Psi_2(X, \Xi, \bar{Y})| &\leq C_{a,b,c} \langle \pi_B \bar{Y} \rangle.\end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

and for $c \neq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}|\pi_B \partial_{\tilde{Y}}^c \partial_{\tilde{Y}} \Psi_1(X, \Xi, \tilde{Y})| &\leq C_c, \\ |\pi_B \partial_{\bar{Y}}^c \partial_{\bar{Y}} \Psi_2(X, \Xi, \bar{Y})| &\leq C_c.\end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

Proof. As $\Psi_1(\tilde{Y})$ is represented by

$$\Psi_1(\tilde{Y}) = \tilde{Y} \tilde{Y} \int_0^1 d\tau (1 - \tau) \phi_{1,\Xi\Xi}(X, \tilde{\Xi} + \tau \tilde{Y}),$$

we get readily the first inequalities in (5.13). Rewriting

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\tilde{Y}} \Psi_1(\tilde{Y}) &= \partial_{\Xi} \phi_1 - (-1)^{p(\tilde{Y})} \tilde{X} = \partial_{\tilde{Y}} J_1 - (\tilde{X} - X) \\ &= \tilde{Y} \int_0^1 d\tau \partial_{\Xi} \partial_{\Xi} \phi_1(X, \tilde{\Xi} + \tau \tilde{Y}).\end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

Then, we get the first inequality of (5.14) from the last expression of (5.16) and the first inequality of (5.15) from the third expression of (5.16). Similar arguments work for other inequalities. $\square$

Remarking

$$e^{i\hbar^{-1}\Psi_2} = 1 + i\hbar^{-1}\Psi_2 \int_0^1 d\tau e^{i\tau\hbar^{-1}\Psi_2},$$

we rewrite

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}(X, \Xi) &= c_{3,2}^2 \int_{\mathfrak{R}^{3|2} \times \mathfrak{R}^{3|2}} d\tilde{Y} d\bar{Y} \mu_1(X, \tilde{\Xi} + \tilde{Y}) \mu_2(\tilde{X} + \bar{Y}, \Xi) e^{i\hbar^{-1}(\Psi_1(X, \Xi, \tilde{Y}) - \langle \bar{Y} | \tilde{Y} \rangle)} \\ &\quad + i\hbar^{-1} \int_0^1 d\tau \mathcal{B}_{1,\tau}(X, \Xi)\end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}_{1,\tau}(X, \Xi) &= c_{3,2}^2 \int_{\mathfrak{R}^{3|2} \times \mathfrak{R}^{3|2}} d\tilde{Y} d\bar{Y} \Psi_2(X, \Xi, \bar{Y}) \mu_1(X, \tilde{\Xi} + \tilde{Y}) \mu_2(\tilde{X} + \bar{Y}, \Xi) \\ &\quad \times e^{i\hbar^{-1}(\Psi_1(X, \Xi, \tilde{Y}) + \tau \Psi_2(X, \Xi, \bar{Y}) - \langle \bar{Y} | \tilde{Y} \rangle)}.\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.9. The symbol $\mathcal{B}_{1,\tau}(X, \Xi)$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_0^0[\lambda^2]$ uniformly with respect to τ .

Proof. Remarking

$$\Psi_2(\bar{Y}) = \sum \bar{Y}_A \tilde{\Psi}_{2,A}(\bar{Y}) \quad \text{with} \quad \tilde{\Psi}_{2,A}(\bar{Y}) = \int_0^1 d\tau \partial_{\bar{Y}_A} \Psi_2(\tau \bar{Y}),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{1,\tau}(X, \Xi) &= \sum_{a=1}^5 \mathcal{B}_{1,\tau,A}(X, \Xi), \\ \mathcal{B}_{1,\tau,A}(X, \Xi) &= c_{3,2}^2 \int_{\mathfrak{R}^{3|2} \times \mathfrak{R}^{3|2}} d\bar{\Upsilon} d\bar{Y} \bar{Y}_A \tilde{\Psi}_{2,A}(X, \Xi, \bar{Y}) \mu_1(X, \tilde{\Xi} + \bar{\Upsilon}) \mu_2(\tilde{X} + \bar{Y}, \Xi) e^{i\hbar^{-1}\Psi^\tau} \\ &= c_{3,2}^2 \int_{\mathfrak{R}^{3|2} \times \mathfrak{R}^{3|2}} d\bar{\Upsilon} d\bar{Y} \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1,\tau,A}(X, \Xi, \bar{Y}, \bar{\Upsilon}) e^{i\hbar^{-1}\Psi^\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we put

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^\tau &= \Psi_1(X, \Xi, \bar{\Upsilon}) + \tau \Psi_2(X, \Xi, \bar{Y}) - \langle \bar{Y} | \bar{\Upsilon} \rangle, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1,\tau,A}(\bar{Y}, \bar{\Upsilon}) &= \tilde{\Psi}_{2,A}(\bar{Y}) \{ \partial_{\bar{\Upsilon}} \Psi_1(\bar{\Upsilon}) \cdot \mu_1 + i \partial_{\bar{\Upsilon}} \mu_1 \} \mu_2, \end{aligned}$$

and we used

$$\partial_{\bar{\Upsilon}}(\mu_1 e^{i\hbar^{-1}\Psi^\tau}) = \{ \partial_{\bar{\Upsilon}} \mu_1 + i\hbar^{-1}(\partial_{\bar{\Upsilon}} \Psi_1 - (-1)^{p(\bar{\Upsilon})} \bar{Y}) \mu_1 \} e^{i\hbar^{-1}\Psi^\tau}.$$

Setting

$$\mathcal{L}_\tau = \frac{1 - i\partial_{\bar{\Upsilon}} \Psi^\tau \cdot \partial_{\bar{\Upsilon}} - i\partial_{\bar{Y}} \Psi^\tau \cdot \partial_{\bar{Y}}}{1 + \hbar^{-1} |\partial_{\bar{\Upsilon}} \Psi^\tau|^2 + \hbar^{-1} |\partial_{\bar{Y}} \Psi^\tau|^2},$$

we have

$$\mathcal{L}_\tau \Psi^\tau = \Psi^\tau.$$

We apply the Lax's technique. That is, we have

$$\mathcal{B}_{1,\tau}(X, \Xi) = c_{3,2}^2 \int_{\mathfrak{R}^{3|2} \times \mathfrak{R}^{3|2}} d\bar{\Upsilon} d\bar{Y} e^{i\hbar^{-1}\Psi^\tau} (\mathcal{L}_\tau^*)^{2m+3} \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{1,\tau,A}(\bar{Y}, \bar{\Upsilon})$$

We have

$$|\pi_B \partial_{\bar{Y}}^c \partial_{\Xi}^b \partial_X^a \Psi_2(X, \Xi, \bar{Y})| \leq C_{a,b,c} \lambda_2 \langle \pi_B \bar{Y} \rangle$$

On the other hand, from

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + |\pi_B \partial_{\bar{\Upsilon}} \Psi^\tau| + |\pi_B \partial_{\bar{Y}} \Psi^\tau| &\geq C \{ 1 + (|\pi_B \bar{Y}| - \lambda_1 |\pi_B \bar{\Upsilon}|) + (|\pi_B \bar{\Upsilon}| - \lambda_2 |\pi_B \bar{Y}|) \} \\ &\geq C(1 + |\pi_B \bar{Y}| + |\pi_B \bar{\Upsilon}|). \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|\pi_B \partial_{\bar{\Upsilon}}^c \{ \partial_{\bar{\Upsilon}_A} \Psi_1(\bar{\Upsilon}) \mu_1 + i \partial_{\bar{\Upsilon}} \mu_1 \}| \leq C_\epsilon \lambda_1 \langle \pi_B \bar{\Upsilon} \rangle,$$

we get

$$|\pi_B (\mathcal{L}_\tau^*)^{2m+3} \mathcal{B}_{1,\tau}(\bar{Y}, \bar{\Upsilon})| \leq C \lambda^2 (1 + |\pi_B \bar{Y}| + |\pi_B \bar{\Upsilon}|)^{-(2m+1)}$$

This proves

$$|\pi_B \partial_X^a \partial_{\Xi}^b \mathcal{B}_{1,\tau,A}(X, \Xi)| \leq C_{a,b} \lambda^2. \quad \square$$

Using the Taylor expansion, we may rewrite the first term of (5.17) as

$$\begin{aligned} c_{3,2}^2 \int_{\mathfrak{R}^{3|2} \times \mathfrak{R}^{3|2}} d\bar{\Upsilon} d\bar{Y} \mu_1(X, \tilde{\Xi} + \bar{\Upsilon}) \mu_2(\tilde{X} + \bar{Y}, \Xi) e^{i\hbar^{-1}(\Psi_1(X, \Xi, \bar{\Upsilon}) - \langle \bar{Y} | \bar{\Upsilon} \rangle)} \\ = c_{3,2}^2 \int_{\mathfrak{R}^{3|2} \times \mathfrak{R}^{3|2}} d\bar{\Upsilon} d\bar{Y} \mu_1(X, \tilde{\Xi} + \bar{\Upsilon}) \mu_2(\tilde{X}, \Xi) e^{i\hbar^{-1}(\Psi_1(X, \Xi, \bar{\Upsilon}) - \langle \bar{Y} | \bar{\Upsilon} \rangle)} + i\hbar^{-1} \int_0^1 d\tau \sum_{A=1}^5 \mathcal{B}_{2,\tau,A}(X, \Xi) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{2,\tau,A}(X, \Xi) &= c_{3,2}^2 \int_{\mathfrak{R}^{3|2} \times \mathfrak{R}^{3|2}} d\bar{\Upsilon} d\bar{Y} \mu_1(X, \tilde{\Xi} + \bar{\Upsilon}) \bar{Y}_A \partial_{X_A} \mu_2(\tilde{X} + \tau \bar{Y}, \Xi) e^{i\hbar^{-1}(\Psi_1(X, \Xi, \bar{\Upsilon}) - \langle \bar{Y} | \bar{\Upsilon} \rangle)} \\ &= c_{3,2}^2 \int_{\mathfrak{R}^{3|2} \times \mathfrak{R}^{3|2}} d\bar{\Upsilon} d\bar{Y} \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{2,\tau,A}(\bar{Y}, \bar{\Upsilon}) e^{i\hbar^{-1}\Psi^0}, \end{aligned}$$

and because of

$$\partial_{\bar{\Upsilon}}(\mu_1 e^{i\hbar^{-1}\Psi^0}) = \{ \partial_{\bar{\Upsilon}} \mu_1 + i\hbar^{-1}(\partial_{\bar{\Upsilon}} \Psi_1 - (-1)^{p(\bar{\Upsilon})} \bar{Y}) \mu_1 \} e^{i\hbar^{-1}\Psi^0},$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{2,\tau,A}(\bar{Y}, \bar{\Upsilon}) = \{\partial_{\bar{\Upsilon}_A} \Psi_1 \cdot \mu_1 + i\hbar^{-1} \partial_{\bar{\Upsilon}_A} \mu_1\} \partial_{X_A} \mu_2.$$

Lemma 5.10. *The symbol $\mathcal{B}_{2,\tau,A}(X, \Xi)$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_0^0[\lambda^2]$ uniformly with respect to τ .*

Proof. Set

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \frac{1 - i\partial_{\bar{\Upsilon}} \Psi^0 \cdot \partial_{\bar{\Upsilon}} - i\partial_{\bar{Y}} \Psi^0 \cdot \partial_{\bar{Y}}}{1 + \hbar^{-1} |\partial_{\bar{\Upsilon}} \Psi^0|^2 + \hbar^{-1} |\partial_{\bar{Y}} \Psi^0|^2}, \quad \mathcal{L}_0 e^{i\hbar^{-1} \Psi^0} = e^{i\hbar^{-1} \Psi^0}$$

and apply the Lax's technique. We have

$$\mathcal{B}_{2,\tau}(X, \Xi) = c_{3,2}^2 \int_{\mathfrak{R}^{3|2} \times \mathfrak{R}^{3|2}} d\bar{\Upsilon} d\bar{Y} e^{i\hbar^{-1} \Psi^0} (\mathcal{L}_0^*)^{2m+2} \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{2,\tau,A}(\bar{Y}, \bar{\Upsilon}).$$

As

$$|\pi_B \partial_{\bar{Y}}^\epsilon \{\partial_{\Xi_A} \mu_2(\tilde{X} + \tau \bar{Y}, \Xi)\}| \leq C_\epsilon \lambda_2$$

we get

$$|\pi_B (\mathcal{L}_0^*)^{2m+2} \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{2,\tau,A}(\bar{Y}, \bar{\Upsilon})| \leq C \lambda^2 (1 + |\pi_B \bar{Y}| + |\pi_B \bar{\Upsilon}|)^{-(2m+1)}$$

This proves

$$|\pi_B \partial_X^a \partial_{\Xi}^b \mathcal{B}_{2,\tau,A}(X, \Xi)| \leq C_{a,b} \lambda^2. \quad \square$$

Corollary 5.11.

$$|\pi_B \partial_X^a \partial_{\Xi}^b (\mathcal{B}(X, \Xi) - \mu(X, \Xi))| \leq C_{a,b} \lambda^2. \quad (5.18)$$

Proof. Combining (5.11) and (5.12), we get the desired result.

Proof of Proposition 5.2. By (5.18), we get the desired result (5.3). $\square$

5.3. Proof of Theorem 2.6. Using Theorem A.1 in [13], we have our Theorem 2.6 readily. $\square$

5.4. Proof of Theorem 2.7. From Theorem 2.6, using identifications $\sharp$ and $\flat$, we get the desired results. $\square$

6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Though in this paper, we answer one of several problems in Inoue [13], we give other problems which should be solved:

(i) Propagation of singularity: How one can extend Egorov's theorem to the system of PDE (see [4])?

(ii) How does the Aharonov-Bohm effect and Berry's phase appear when Schrödinger equation is replaced by the Weyl equation (see [35])? This should be studied by constructing the fundamental solution of (W) using $\#$ -product introduced in Kumano-go [26].

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Asada and D. Fujiwara, *On some oscillatory integral transformations in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$* , Japanese J. Math. 4(1978), pp. 299-361.
- [2] F.A. Berezin and M.S. Marinov, *Particle spin dynamics as the Grassmann variant of classical mechanics*, Annals of Physics 104(1977), pp. 336-362.
- [3] Y. Choquet-Bruhat, *Supergravities and Kaluza-Klein theories*, pp. 31-48, in "Topological properties and global structure of space-time" (eds. P. Bergman and V. de Sabbata), Plenum Press, New York, 1986.
- [4] H.O. Cordes, *A version of Egorov's theorem for systems of hyperbolic pseudo-differential equations*, J.Functional Analysis 48(1982), pp. 285-300.
- [5] B. deWitt, *Supermanifolds*, London, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1984.
- [6] R. Feynman and A.R. Hibbs, *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals*, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1965.
- [7] D. Fujiwara, *A construction of the fundamental solution for the Schrödinger equation*, J. D'Analyse Math. 35(1979), pp. 41-96.

- [8] ———, *Remarks on convergence of the Feynman path integrals*, Duke Math. J. 47(1980), pp. 559-600.
- [9] J. Hoyos, M. Quiros, J. Ramirez Mittelbrunn and F.J. de Urries, *Generalized supermanifolds. I,II,III*, J.Math.Phys. 25(1984), pp. 833-854.
- [10] A. Inoue, *Foundations of real analysis on the superspace $\mathfrak{R}^{m|n}$ over ∞ -dimensional Fréchet-Grassmann algebra*, J.Fac.Sci.Univ.Tokyo 39(1992), pp. 419-474
- [11] ———, *Hamilton path-integral representation for the free Weyl equation*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A72(1996), pp. 1-3.
- [12] ———, *A new construction of a fundamental solution for the free Weyl equation —An example of superanalysis*, pp. 169-182, in “Nonlinear Waves” (eds. R. Agemi, Y. Giga and T. Ozawa), Gakuto International Series, Mathematical Sciences and Applications vol.10(1997).
- [13] ———, *On a construction of the fundamental solution for the free Weyl equation by Hamiltonian path-integral method —an exactly solvable case with “odd variable coefficients”*, Tôhoku J.Math.50(1998), pp. 91-118.
- [14] ———, *On a construction of the fundamental solution for the free Dirac equation by Hamiltonian path-integral method —the classical counterpart of Zitterbewegung*, Japanese J.Math.24(1998), pp. 297-334.
- [15] ———, *On a “Hamiltonian path-integral” derivation of the Schrödinger equation*, Osaka J.Math.36(1999), pp. 111-150.
- [16] ———, *A new treatise for a system of PDE – A solution for Feynman’s problem to the free Weyl equation as an example –*, Preprint series of Math.TITECH #62(08-96).
- [17] ———, *Introduction of Superanalysis and its Applications*, book in preparation.
- [18] ———, *A partial solution for Feynman’s problem –a new derivation of the Weyl equation*, Mathematical Physics and Quantum Field Theory, Electron.J.Diff.Eqns., Conf.04, 2000, pp. 121-145.
- [19] A. Inoue and Y. Maeda, *On integral transformations associated with a certain Lagrangian– as a prototype of quantization*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 37(1985), pp. 219-244.
- [20] ———, *Super oscillatory integrals and a path integral for a non-relativistic spinning particle*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A63(1987), pp. 1-3.
- [21] ———, *Studies of Schrödinger equations with spin using superanalysis I. A general scheme of constructing a fundamental solution*, Preprint series of Univ. Warwick 1987.
- [22] ———, *Foundations of calculus on super Euclidean space $\mathfrak{R}^{m|n}$ based on a Fréchet-Grassmann algebra*, Kodai Math.J.14(1991), pp. 72-112.
- [23] ———, *On a construction of a good parametrix for the Pauli equation by Hamilton Path-Integral Method – an application of superanalysis*, to be published.
- [24] A. Inoue and Y. Nomura, *Some refinements of Wigner’s semi-circle law for Gaussian Random Matrices using superanalysis*, Asymptotic Analysis 23(2000) pp.329-375.
- [25] H. Kitada, *On a construction of the fundamental solution for Schrödinger equations*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 27(1980), pp. 193-226.
- [26] H. Kumano-go, *A calculus of Fourier integral operators on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and the fundamental solution for an operator of hyperbolic type*, Commun.in Partial Differential Equations 1(1976), pp. 1-44.
- [27] H. Kumano-go, *Pseudo-Differential Operators*, Cambridge-Massachusetts-London, The MIT Press, 1981.
- [28] H. Kumano-go, K. Taniguchi and Y. Tozaki, *Multiple-products of phase functions for Fourier integral operators with applications*, Commun.in Partial Differential Equations 3(1978), pp. 349-380.
- [29] D.A. Leites, *Introduction to the theory of supermanifolds*, Russian Math. Surveys 35(1980), pp. 1-64.
- [30] J.L. Martin, *Generalized classical dynamics, and the ‘classical analogue’ of a Fermi oscillator*, Proc. Roy.Soc. A251(1959), pp. 536-542.
- [31] ———, *The Feynman principle for a Fermi system*, Proc. Roy.Soc. A251(1959), pp. 543-549.
- [32] J. Mañes and B. Zumino, *WKB method, susy quantum mechanics and the index theorem*, Nuclear Phys. B(FS16) 270(1986), pp. 651-686.
- [33] M.Martellini and P. Teofilatto, *Global structure of the superstring partition function and resolution of the supermoduli measure ambiguity*, Phys.Lett. B211(1988), pp. 293-300.
- [34] S. Matsumoto and K. Kakazu, *A note on topology of supermanifolds*, J.Math.Phys. 27(1986), pp. 2690-2692.
- [35] B. Parisse, *Effet d’Aharonov-Bohm sur un état borné de l’opérateur de Dirac*, Asymptotic Analysis, 10(1995), pp. 199-224.
- [36] A. Rogers, *A global theory of supermanifolds*, J.Math.Phys.21(1980), pp. 1352-1365.
- [37] ———, *Integration on supermanifolds*, pp. 149-160, in “Mathematical Aspects of Superspace”(eds. H.-J. Seifert et al.), D. Reidel Pub.Com. 1984.
- [38] ———, *Consistent superspace integration*, J.Math.Phys.26(1985), pp. 385-392.
- [39] ———, *On the existence of global integral forms on supermanifolds*, J.Math.Phys.26(1985), pp. 2749-2753.
- [40] ———, *Graded manifolds, supermanifolds and infinite-dimensional Grassmann algebra*, Commun.Math.Phys.105 (1986), pp. 375-384.
- [41] ———, *Realizing the Berezin integral as a superspace contour integral*, J.Math.Phys.27(1986), pp. 710-717.
- [42] ———, *Integration and global aspects of supermanifolds*, pp. 199-219, in “Topological properties and global structure of space-time” (eds. P. Bergmann and V. de Sabbata), New York, Plenum Press, 1986.
- [43] M.J. Rothstein, *The axioms of supermanifolds and a new structure arising from them*, Trans.Amer.Math.Soc. 297(1986), pp. 159-180.
- [44] ———, *Integration on non-compact supermanifolds*, Trans.Amer.Math.Soc. 299(1987), pp. 387-396.
- [45] K. Taniguchi, *A remark on composition formula of certain Fourier integral operators*, Mathematica Japonica 49(1999), pp. 81-90.
- [46] V.S. Vladimirov and I.V. Volovich, *Superanalysis I. Differential calculus*, Theor. Math. Phys. 59(1983), pp. 317-335.
- [47] ———, *Superanalysis II. Integral calculus*, Theor. Math. Phys. 60(1984), pp. 743-765.
- [48] K. Yagi, *Super differential calculus*, Osaka J.Math. 25(1988), pp. 243-257.
- [49] S. Yamamuro, *A Theory of Differentiation in Locally Convex Spaces*, Memoirs Amer.Math. Soc. 212 Amer. Math.Soc. Providence, Rhode Island, USA, 1979.
- [50] M.R. Zirnbauer, *Riemannian symmetric superspaces and their origin in random-matrix theory*, J.Math.Phys.37(1996), pp. 4986-5018.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, OH-OKAYAMA, MEGURO-KU, TOKYO, 152, JAPAN

E-mail address: inoue@math.titech.ac.jp